

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 DANIELLE L. BROWN
Colorado State Bar No. 57814
3 Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: (619) 546-8693
Facsimile: (619) 546-7751
6 Email: Danielle.Brown2@usdoj.gov

7 Attorneys for Respondent
8
9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 MUHAMMET RESUL YILDIZ,
13

14 Petitioner,

15 v.

16 CHRISTOPHER LAROSE,
17 *Warden of Otay Mesa Detention Center*

18 Respondents.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 26-cv- 03815-DMS-AHG

RESPONSE TO PETITION

1
2 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The government has
3 carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the
4 statutory authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) detention of
5 Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including
6 the right to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to
7 preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the
8 Court's consideration of this matter.

9 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on conditional parole
10 issued under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). It is the government's position that Petitioner is subject
11 to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2). However, the government acknowledges that
12 this Court, and Courts in this District, have repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion
13 under the same and/or similar facts. *See, e.g., Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-
14 MSB, 2025 WL 3214773 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-
15 cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025 WL 3030457 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No.
16 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025 WL 3078837 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F.
17 Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal. 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM),
18 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2025); *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-
19 RSH-JLB, 2025 WL 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-
20 cv-3038-TWR-JLB, ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-
21 3043-BJC-VET, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-
22 cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-
23 RBM-VET, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-
24 2824-CAB-DDL, 2025 WL 3228953 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*,
25 No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-DDL, 2025 WL 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v.*
26 *LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-AGS-DDL, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v.*
27 *Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-DEB, 2025 WL 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

1 The government acknowledges that this Court's prior decisions will control the
2 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially
3 distinguishable for purposes of the Court's decision,¹ and on that basis the government
4 does not oppose the petition and defers to the Court on the appropriate relief.²

5 DATED: July 7, 2026

ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

7
8 s/Danielle L. Brown
DANIELLE L. BROWN
Special Assistant United States Attorney

10 Attorney for Respondents

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

¹ Petitioner also challenges his detention citing violations of the Administrative Procedure
20 Act Due Process, Should the Court grant the petition, it should decline to address these
21 additional arguments. *INS v. Bagamasbad*, 429 U.S. 24, 25 (1976) ("As a general rule
22 courts and agencies are not required to make findings on issues the decision of which is
unnecessary to the results they reach.").

23 ² To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),
24 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that
25 such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.
26 Respondents also respectfully note that the Ninth Circuit has confirmed that at a bond
27 hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), the burden is on the detainee to demonstrate by a
28 preponderance of the evidence that he is not a flight risk or danger to the community. *See*
Rodriguez Diaz v. Garland, 53 F.4th 1189, 1197 (9th Cir. 2022); *see also Dos Santos v.*
Becerra, No. 26-cv-01423-GPC-VET, 2026 WL 800201 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2026).