

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MARTIN ALONSO SALAS VALLEJO,
12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 CHRISTOPHER J. LAROSE, Senior
15 Warde, Otay Mesa Detention Center, et
16 al.,

16 Respondents.
17

Case No.: 26cv3682 DMS (JAC)

ORDER REQUIRING RESPONSE


18 On June 23, 2026, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
19 Respondents are hereby ordered to show cause why the Petition should not be granted by
20 filing a written response no later than **July 6, 2026**. Petitioner may file a reply no later
21 than **July 9, 2026**.

22 To maintain the status quo, Respondents, their officers, agents, servants, employees,
23 attorneys, and other persons who act in concert or participation with Respondents
24 **MUST NOT** transfer Petitioner outside the Southern District of California pending the
25 Court's resolution of the Petition. *See Doe v. Bondi*, Case No.: 25-cv-805-BJC-JLB, 2025
26 WL 1870979 at *1 (S.D. Cal. June 11, 2025) ("Federal courts retain jurisdiction to preserve
27 the status quo while determining whether [they have] subject matter jurisdiction over a case
28 and while a petition is pending resolution from the court.") (collecting cases).

1 Furthermore, the Court appreciates the nature and urgency of every individual
2 habeas petition, but asks the parties to refrain from filing additional documents after the
3 requested briefing has been submitted unless there is a valid emergency, e.g., Petitioner is
4 at risk of imminent removal from the country or some other emergency circumstance. The
5 Court is dealing with unprecedented numbers of habeas petitions and will issue its decision
6 as soon as possible.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: June 29, 2026

9 
10 Hon. Dana M. Sabraw
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28