

1 Gurpreet Kaur, Esq.
2 Kaur Legal Group, PC
3 674 County Square Dr, Suite 305
4 Ventura, CA 93003
5 Ph. 805-300-9003; Cell 909-997-4570
6 Fax: 805-716-6100
7 E-mail: gurpreetkauresq@gmail.com
8 *Attorney for Petitioner*

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NANAK SINGH

Petitioner,

v.

JEREMY CASEY, Warden of the Imperial
Regional Detention Center; TODD LYONS,
Acting Director of Immigration and Customs
Enforcement; MARKWAYNE MULLIN,
Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland
Security; TODD BLANCHE, Attorney General of
the United States

Respondents.

Case No. 3:26-cv-3659-JES-MMP

**NOTICE OF RESPONDENTS'
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND
REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

**NOTICE OF RESPONDENTS' FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

1 Petitioner, through undersigned counsel, respectfully notifies the Court that Respondents have
2 failed to comply with this Court's June 23, 2026 Order requiring a response to the Petition for Writ of
3 Habeas Corpus and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order.

4 On June 23, 2026, this Court entered an Order directing Respondents to show cause why the
5 Petition and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order should not be granted by filing a written response
6 no later than June 29, 2026. The Court further authorized Petitioner to file an optional traverse by July 1,
7 2026 and entered an order preserving the status quo by prohibiting Petitioner's removal pending further
8 order of the Court.
9

10 As of the filing of this Notice, Respondents have not filed any response, nor have they requested
11 an extension of time or otherwise sought relief from the Court's scheduling order.
12

13 Respondents' failure to comply with the Court's Order warrants the Court's attention because:

14 1. This case challenges Petitioner's ongoing civil immigration detention and presents
15 substantial constitutional questions concerning the deprivation of liberty under the Fifth Amendment.
16

17 2. The Court expressly declined to summarily dismiss the Petition and instead ordered
18 Respondents to file a substantive response addressing both the Petition and the Motion for Temporary
19 Restraining Order.

20 3. The Court has already entered a status quo order prohibiting Petitioner's removal while this
21 matter remains pending, recognizing the need to preserve its jurisdiction.
22

23 4. Petitioner remains detained and continues to experience an ongoing deprivation of liberty
24 while this matter remains pending before the Court.

25 Although default judgment is generally unavailable in habeas proceedings, Respondents remain
26 obligated to comply with Court-ordered deadlines. Courts possess inherent authority to enforce their
27 orders and to require parties to explain their failure to comply with scheduling directives.
28

1 Accordingly, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court:

- 2 1. Order Respondents to immediately show cause for their failure to comply with the Court's
3 June 23, 2026 Order;
- 4 2. Require Respondents to file their response within forty-eight (48) hours, or within such
5 other expedited deadline as the Court deems appropriate; and
- 6 3. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper, including
7 consideration of the Petition and Motion based upon the record then before the Court if Respondents fail
8 to file a timely response after any further deadline the Court may establish.

9
10 Petitioner remains detained while this matter is pending and respectfully requests prompt
11 enforcement of the Court's scheduling Order in light of the liberty interests at stake.

12
13 Respectfully,

14 /s/ Gurpreet Kaur

15 Gurpreet Kaur, Esq.

16 Attorney for Petitioner

17 Dated this 30th day of June, 2026.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28