

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 HUNTER V. NORTON
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Washington, D.C. Bar No. 1780736
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: (619) 546-8592
Email: Hunter.Norton2@usdoj.gov

6 Attorneys for Respondents
7
8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ELDAR MURSALOV,
12

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR, ICE San
16 Diego Field Office; *et al.*,

17 Respondents.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 26-cv-03360-AGS-BJW

**RETURN TO PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

1 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and Motion for
2 Temporary Restraining Order. ECF Nos. 1-2. The government has carefully reviewed the
3 Petition and Motion and determined that the legal issues presented concern the statutory
4 authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) detention of Petitioner
5 under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including the right
6 to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to preserve the
7 legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the Court's
8 consideration of this matter.

9 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on parole issued under
10 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5). Respondents have insufficient information regarding termination of
11 that parole, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 212.5(e)(2), to provide to the Court. It is the
12 government's position that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2).
13 However, the government acknowledges that this Court, and Courts in this District, have
14 repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion under the same and/or similar facts. *See, e.g.,*
15 *Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-MSB, 2025 WL 3214773 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18,
16 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025 WL 3030457 (S.D.
17 Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No. 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025 WL 3078837 (S.D.
18 Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F. Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal. 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina*
19 *v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM), 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2025);
20 *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-RSH-JLB, 2025 WL 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov.
21 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3038-TWR-JLB, ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal.
22 Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3043-BJC-VET, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec.
23 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov.
24 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-RBM-VET, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19,
25 2025); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2824-CAB-DDL, 2025 WL 3228953 (S.D.
26 Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-DDL, 2025 WL
27 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-AGS-DDL, ECF
28

1 No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v. Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-DEB, 2025 WL
2 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

3 The government acknowledges that this Court's prior decisions will control the
4 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially
5 distinguishable for purposes of the Court's decision, and on that basis the government does
6 not oppose the petition and defers to the Court on the appropriate relief.¹

7 DATED: June 10, 2026

ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

9 s/ Hunter Norton
10 HUNTER V. NORTON
Assistant United States Attorney

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 ¹ To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),
24 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that
25 such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.
26 Respondents also respectfully note that the Ninth Circuit has confirmed that at a bond
27 hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), the burden is on the detainee to demonstrate by a
28 preponderance of the evidence that he is not a flight risk or danger to the community. *See*
Rodriguez Diaz v. Garland, 53 F.4th 1189, 1197 (9th Cir. 2022); *see also Dos Santos v.*
Becerra, No. 26-cv-01423-GPC-VET, 2026 WL 800201 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2026).