

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 JACOB T. METZGER
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
3 Ohio State Bar No. 104783
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: (619) 546-8693
Mobile: (619) 885-2119
6 Facsimile: (619) 546-7751
Email: jacob.metzger@usdoj.gov

7 Attorneys for Respondents
8
9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 JOSE ISMAEL SORIANO AMAYA,
13

14 Petitioner,
15

16 v.
17

18 WARDEN, IMPERIAL REGIONAL
DETENTION FACILITY,
19

20 Respondents.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 26-cv-02902-LL-JLB

**RETURN TO PETITION FOR A
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

1 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The government has
2 carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the
3 statutory authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) detention of
4 Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including
5 the right to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to
6 preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the
7 Court's consideration of this matter.

8 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on conditional parole
9 issued under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). There is no evidence of release under 8 § 1182(d)(5)
10 parole. It is the government's position that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention
11 under § 1225(b)(2). However, the government acknowledges that this Court, and Courts in
12 this District, have repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion under the same and/or similar
13 facts. *See, e.g., Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-MSB, 2025 WL 3214773
14 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025
15 WL 3030457 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No. 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025
16 WL 3078837 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F. Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal.
17 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM), 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D.
18 Cal. Oct. 24, 2025); *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-RSH-JLB, 2025 WL
19 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3038-TWR-JLB,
20 ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3043-BJC-VET, ECF
21 No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF
22 No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-RBM-VET, ECF No. 9
23 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2824-CAB-DDL, 2025
24 WL 3228953 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-
25 DDL, 2025 WL 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-
26 AGS-DDL, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v. Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-
27 DEB, 2025 WL 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

1 The government acknowledges that this Court's prior decisions will control the
2 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially
3 distinguishable for purposes of the Court's decision, and on that basis the government does
4 not oppose the petition and defers to the Court on the appropriate relief.¹

5 DATED: June 8, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

6
7 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

8
9 s/ Jacob T. Metzger
10 JACOB T. METZGER
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
11 Attorney for Respondents
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ¹ To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),
24 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that
25 such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.
26 Respondents also respectfully note that the Ninth Circuit has confirmed that at a bond
27 hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), the burden is on the detainee to demonstrate by a
28 preponderance of the evidence that he is not a flight risk or danger to the community. *See*
Rodriguez Diaz v. Garland, 53 F.4th 1189, 1197 (9th Cir. 2022); *see also Dos Santos v.*
Becerra, No. 26-cv-01423-GPC-VET, 2026 WL 800201 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2026).