

1 ADAM GORDON  
United States Attorney  
2 CAMILLE SAVEDRA  
California Bar No. 336490  
3 MICHAEL WALLACE  
Maryland Bar No. 9912160256  
4 Assistant U.S. Attorneys  
5 Office of the U.S. Attorney  
880 Front Street, Room 6293  
6 San Diego, CA 92101-8893  
7 Telephone: (619) 546-5084/8714  
Facsimile: (619) 546-7751  
8 Email: [camille.savedra@usdoj.gov](mailto:camille.savedra@usdoj.gov)  
[michael.wallace4@usdoj.gov](mailto:michael.wallace4@usdoj.gov)

9 Attorneys for Respondents

10  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12  
13 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 WALTER OSWALDO QUIROGA  
15 PARDO,

16 Petitioner,

17 v.

18 MARKWAYNE MULLIN,  
19 SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF  
20 HOMELAND SECURITY; et al,

21 Respondents.

Case No.: 26-cv-02134-CAB-MMP

**RESPONSE TO PETITION**

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The government has  
2 carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the  
3 statutory authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) detention of  
4 Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including  
5 the right to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to  
6 preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the  
7 Court's consideration of this matter.

8 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on conditional parole  
9 issued under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). It is the government's position that Petitioner is subject  
10 to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2). However, the government acknowledges that  
11 this Court, and Courts in this District, have repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion  
12 under the same and/or similar facts. *See, e.g., Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-  
13 MSB, 2025 WL 3214773 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-  
14 cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025 WL 3030457 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No.  
15 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025 WL 3078837 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F.  
16 Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal. 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM),  
17 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2025); *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-  
18 RSH-JLB, 2025 WL 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-  
19 cv-3038-TWR-JLB, ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-  
20 3043-BJC-VET, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-  
21 cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-  
22 RBM-VET, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-  
23 2824-CAB-DDL, 2025 WL 3228953 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*,  
24 No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-DDL, 2025 WL 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v.*  
25 *LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-AGS-DDL, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v.*  
26 *Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-DEB, 2025 WL 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

27 The government acknowledges that this Court's prior decisions will control the  
28

1 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially  
2 distinguishable for purposes of the Court's decision, and on that basis the government does  
3 not oppose the petition and defers to the Court on the appropriate relief.<sup>1</sup>

4  
5 DATED: April 9, 2026

ADAM GORDON  
United States Attorney

6  
7 s/ Camille Savedra  
8 CAMILLE SAVEDRA  
MICHAEL WALLACE  
Assistant United States Attorney

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),  
28 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that  
such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.