

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 HUNTER V. NORTON
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Washington, D.C. Bar No. 1780736
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: 619-546-8592
Email: Hunter.Norton2@usdoj.gov

6 Attorneys for Respondents
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9
10 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 CECILIA ALEJANDRA
JACO-CASTILLO,

12
13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 WARDEN, Otay Mesa Detention Center,

16 Respondents.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 26-cv-02093-CAB-VET

**RETURN TO PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

1 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The government has
2 carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the
3 statutory authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement’s (ICE) detention of
4 Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including
5 the right to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to
6 preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the
7 Court’s consideration of this matter.

8 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on conditional parole
9 issued under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and enrolled in the Alternatives to Detention Program
10 (ADT). *See* ECF No. 8 (Amended Petition) at 24. On February 18, 2026, Petitioner was re-
11 detained after numerous violations of the terms of the ADT program. *Id.* at 3, 24. It is the
12 government’s position that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2).
13 However, the government acknowledges that this Court, and Courts in this District, have
14 repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion under the same and/or similar facts. *See, e.g.,*
15 *Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-MSB, 2025 WL 3214773 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18,
16 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025 WL 3030457 (S.D.
17 Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No. 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025 WL 3078837 (S.D.
18 Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F. Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal. 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina*
19 *v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM), 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2025);
20 *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-RSH-JLB, 2025 WL 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov.
21 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3038-TWR-JLB, ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal.
22 Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3043-BJC-VET, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec.
23 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov.
24 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-RBM-VET, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19,
25 225); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2824-CAB-DDL, 2025 WL 3228953 (S.D.
26 Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*, No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-DDL, 2025 WL
27 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-AGS-DDL, ECF

1 No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v. Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-DEB, 2025 WL
2 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

3 The government acknowledges that this Court’s prior decisions will control the
4 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially
5 distinguishable for purposes of the Court’s decision, and on that basis the government does
6 not oppose the petition and defers to the Court on the appropriate relief.¹

7 DATED: May 5, 2026

ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

9 *s/Hunter V. Norton*
10 HUNTER V. NORTON
Assistant United States Attorney

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 _____
27 ¹ To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),
28 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that
such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.