

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 MICHAEL D. WALLACE
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Maryland Bar No. 9912160256
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: 619-546-8714
Email: Michael.Wallace4@usdoj.gov

6 Attorneys for Respondents
7
8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 PATRICIA CEDILLO-CERVANTES,
12

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 PAMELA BONDI, et al.,
16

17 Respondents.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 26-cv-1446-RSH-MSB

RESPONSE TO PETITION

1 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The government has
2 carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the
3 statutory authority for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) detention of
4 Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a). While reserving all rights, including
5 the right to appeal, the government respectfully submits this abbreviated response to
6 preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the
7 Court's consideration of this matter.

8 Petitioner was previously released from immigration custody on conditional parole
9 issued under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). It is the government's position that Petitioner is subject
10 to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2). However, the government acknowledges that
11 this Court, and Courts in this District, have repeatedly reached the opposite conclusion
12 under the same and/or similar facts. *See, e.g., Arias Torres v. Bondi*, No. 25-cv-2457-BAS-
13 MSB, 2025 WL 3214773 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2025); *Martinez Lopez v. LaRose*, No. 25-
14 cv-2717-JES-AHG, 2025 WL 3030457 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2025); *Beltran v. Noem*, No.
15 25cv2650-LL-DEB, 2025 WL 3078837 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, 803 F.
16 Supp. 3d 1064 (S.D. Cal. 2025); *Esquivel-Ipina v. LaRose*, No. 25-CV-2672 JLS (BLM),
17 2025 WL 2998361 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2025); *Lucas-Miguel v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3022-
18 RSH-JLB, 2025 WL 3251580 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2025); *Vasquez-Diaz v. LaRose*, No. 25-
19 cv-3038-TWR-JLB, ECF No. 6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *Cardoso v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-
20 3043-BJC-VET, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Maceda-Garcia v. Noem*, No. 25-
21 cv-2968-JO-JLB, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025); *A.S. v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-2876-
22 RBM-VET, ECF No. 9 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Prieto-Cordova v. LaRose*, No. 25-cv-
23 2824-CAB-DDL, 2025 WL 3228953 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2025); *Lagarda-Vega v. Noem*,
24 No. 25-cv-2970-GPC-DDL, 2025 WL 3558931 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Nayyer v.*
25 *LaRose*, No. 25-cv-3111-AGS-DDL, ECF No. 7 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025); *Amaya v.*
26 *Noem*, No. 25cv2892-BTM-DEB, 2025 WL 3182998 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2025).

27 The government acknowledges that this Court's prior decisions will control the
28

1 result here if the Court adheres to its prior decisions, as the facts are not materially
2 distinguishable for purposes of the Court's decision, and on that basis the Respondents do
3 not oppose the petition. The Respondents aver that the appropriate remedy is a bond
4 hearing pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §1226(a) at which Petitioner bears the burden to demonstrate
5 by clear and convincing evidence that they are not a danger to the community or a flight
6 risk. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(h)(3).¹ In this case, ICE appropriately provided notice of the
7 reason for the revocation of her prior conditional release based on her repeated violations
8 of the laws of the United States and an opportunity to respond to the revocation in an
9 informal interview. In 2009, Petitioner pleaded guilty to driving under the influence of
10 alcohol. In 2010, she pleaded guilty to possession of a forged instrument in the 2nd degree
11 which violated her probation from her DUI conviction. Then on January 8, 2025, she again
12 drove under the influence of alcohol resulting in a vehicle collision and bodily injury to
13 which she pleaded guilty. For these reasons, a bond hearing is the appropriate remedy.

14
15 DATED: March 20, 2026

ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

17 s/ Michael D. Wallace
18 MICHAEL D. WALLACE
Assistant United States Attorney
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ¹ To the extent the Court issues an order directing a bond hearing under 1226(a),
28 considering heavy caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that
such order provide the government 14 days from issuance to hold such bond hearing.