

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MAURO ANTONIO FLORES ROQUE, *et al.*,

Petitioners,

v.

VERNON LIGGINS, *et al.*,

Respondents.

No. 1:26-cv-00775 (MJM)

PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENTS' NOTICE

Pursuant to the Court's order, dated February 27, 2026, Petitioner hereby notifies the Court that the parties have conferred further. For the reasons provided in Petitioner's habeas petition, he requests that the Court grant his petition and order that Respondents provide him a bond hearing pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). Dkt. 1, Habeas Petition. Given that the facts of this case do not differ materially from others where this Court has determined that a bond hearing is warranted, he agrees with Respondents that no briefing is necessary and that this petition can be decided without a hearing. Dkt. 8, Resp't Notice at 3. At this time, Petitioner does not oppose Respondents' requested terms for the Court's order, as provided in their Notice. Resp't Notice at 3.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ivan Yacub

IVAN YACUB

Yacub Law

12761 Darby Brook Court

Woodbridge, VA 22192

(703) 533-2347

iyacub@yacubl.com

Date: March 3, 2026

Counsel for Petitioner