

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Southern District of Georgia, Waycross Division

Eric Bockarie Musa
Petitioner

Tony Normand, Warden, Folkston
Ice Processing Center
Respondent
(name of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)

Case No. CV 526-224
(Supplied by Clerk of Court)

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Personal Information

1. (a) Your full name: ERIC BOCKARIE MUSA
(b) Other names you have used: NONE
2. Place of confinement:
(a) Name of institution: FOLKSTON ICE PROCESSING CENTER
(b) Address: 3424 GR HWY 252 E FOLKSTON, GA, 31537
(c) Your identification number: A 
3. Are you currently being held on orders by:
☒ Federal authorities ☐ State authorities ☐ Other - explain:

4. Are you currently:
☐ A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)
☐ Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime
If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:
(a) Name and location of court that sentenced you: _____
(b) Docket number of criminal case: _____
(c) Date of sentencing: _____
☐ Being held on an immigration charge
☒ Other (explain): I am being held by immigration and customs enforcement under a warrant of removal/deportation.

Decision or Action You Are Challenging

5. What are you challenging in this petition:
☐ How your sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example, revocation or calculation of good time credits)

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

United States District Court
for the
Southern District of Georgia, Waycross Division

P. 2 of 9 continued

Add Pamela Bondi Attorney General of the United States, Kristi Noem, Secretary of Homeland Security, Tod Lyons acting ICE Director and Andrew M Dubyak, Acting Deputy Field Office Director Atlanta as respondents.

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

- ☐ Pretrial detention
☒ Immigration detention
☐ Detainer
☐ The validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory maximum or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines)
☐ Disciplinary proceedings
☐ Other (explain): _____

6. Provide more information about the decision or action you are challenging:

- (a) Name and location of the agency or court: Office of enforcement and removal operations U.S. Department of Homeland Security 180 Ted Turner Dr. SW Atlanta, GA 30303.
 (b) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: _____
 (c) Decision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed):
I am challenging the decision by immigration and customs enforcement to continue my unlawful detention at the Jolksston ICE Processing Center
 (d) Date of the decision or action: Dec. 29, 2025 and Jan. 21, 2026

Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action

7. First appeal

Did you appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy?

☐ Yes ☒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: _____
 (2) Date of filing: _____
 (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: _____
 (4) Result: _____
 (5) Date of result: _____
 (6) Issues raised: _____

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not appeal: I have only sent numerous requests to immigration and customs enforcement asking about information about my re-detainment. For five months they never came to speak with me.

8. Second appeal

After the first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☐ Yes ☒ No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: _____

(2) Date of filing: _____

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: _____

(4) Result: _____

(5) Date of result: _____

(6) Issues raised: _____

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal: I made a decision to

give I.C.E a 180 days to remove me or release me. After a 180 days,
my plan was to file this petition I'm filing now.

9. **Third appeal**

After the second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☐ Yes

☒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: _____

(2) Date of filing: _____

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: _____

(4) Result: _____

(5) Date of result: _____

(6) Issues raised: _____

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal: The decision to continue

my unlawful detention was just made known to me on Jan. 2nd, 2026. ICE
wants to keep me in re-detention beyond a 180 days.

10. **Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255**

In this petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If "Yes," answer the following:

(a) Have you already filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that challenged this conviction or sentence?

☐ Yes

☐ No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Name of court: _____
- (2) Case number: _____
- (3) Date of filing: _____
- (4) Result: _____
- (5) Date of result: _____
- (6) Issues raised: _____

- (b) Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A), seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction or sentence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Name of court: _____
- (2) Case number: _____
- (3) Date of filing: _____
- (4) Result: _____
- (5) Date of result: _____
- (6) Issues raised: _____

- (c) Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: _____

11. **Appeals of immigration proceedings**

Does this case concern immigration proceedings?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," provide:

- (a) Date you were taken into immigration custody: January 2017
- (b) Date of the removal or reinstatement order: June 8 2017
- (c) Did you file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals?

☐ Yes ☒ No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

II. Appeals of Immigration Proceedings

Does this case concern Immigration Proceedings? Yes, but I am not challenging my proceedings only my unlawful detention at the Tolson Ice Processing Center.

(a) Date you were taken into immigration custody: I do not recall the exact day, but it was certainly late January 2017 upon release from FCI Manchester, Kentucky.

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Date of filing: _____

(2) Case number: _____

(3) Result: _____

(4) Date of result: _____

(5) Issues raised: _____

(d) Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court: _____

(2) Date of filing: _____

(3) Case number: _____

(4) Result: _____

(5) Date of result: _____

(6) Issues raised: _____

12. **Other appeals**

Other than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the issues raised in this petition?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(a) Kind of petition, motion, or application: _____

(b) Name of the authority, agency, or court: _____

(c) Date of filing: _____

(d) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: _____

(e) Result: _____

(f) Date of result: _____

(g) Issues raised: _____

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition

13. State every ground (reason) that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

GROUND ONE: Immigration and Customs enforcement failed to provide notice of the revocation of my supervised release.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):

I have been at the Tolson ICE Processing Center since July 16th, 2025. I still do not have any notice from ICE that my supervised release has been revoked.

(b) Did you present Ground One in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes

☒ No

GROUND TWO: Immigration and Customs enforcement have failed to articulate the basis for the revocation of my supervised release.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):

I have been at the Tolson ICE Processing Center since July 16th, 2025. Till this day, I.C.E have not given me any notice that my supervised release has been revoked nor informed me of the basis for that revocation. From July 16th 2025 to Dec. 9th 2025, I had no opportunity to speak with nor see my deportation officer.

(b) Did you present Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes

☒ No

GROUND THREE: Immigration and Customs enforcement have failed to conduct any informal interview.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):

It took five months in re-detainment before I.C.E said anything to me about my re-detainment. My deportation officer mentioned nothing about my existing order of supervision, they only asked me to sign a document acknowledging that my case is under review.

(b) Did you present Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes

☒ No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Ground FOUR: Immigration and customs enforcement have failed to show that my deportation is likely in the reasonably foreseeable future.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief, do not cite law or cases)

In the decision to continue detention from the Atlanta field office, I.C.E. did not check the box that pertains to being in receipt of or expects to receive the necessary travel documents to effectuate my removal, and that my removal is practicable, likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future, and in the public interest. In a 2nd notice from the headquarters in DC, I.C.E. only gave a vague statement that it is working with DHS and state department on a 3rd country removal, without providing any details.

(b) Did you present ground four in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did not N/A

Request for Relief

15. Grant Petitioner a writ of habeas corpus directing respondents to immediately release Petitioner from custody under the same conditions as his most recent order of supervision. Enjoin respondents from further unlawful detention of Petitioner. Prohibit I.C.E. from removing Petitioner to any 3rd country without meaningful notice and the opportunity to be heard in front of a immigration judge. Any other relief that this court deems necessary.

Grounds for your challenge in this Petition.

P. 8 of 9 continued

Ground Five: Immigration and customs enforcement failed to conduct a 90 day review of my custody.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief, do not cite cases or law):

It took five months in re-detainment for ICE to initiate a review of my custody on December 9th, 2025. Way beyond 90 days. After this review a decision was made to continue detention with still no notification of revocation nor the basis for the revocation. I-C-E is operating as if it is unaware that I was released on a order of supervision.

(b) Did you present Ground five in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Ground Six: The warrant of removal / deportation is problematic. see warrant of removal / deportation.

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief, do not cite law or cases)

See Page 2 of 2 in attached warrant of removal / deportation. It proves ICE had every intention to remove me with no regards for my constitutional right to due process.

(b) Did you present Ground ~~six~~ in all appeals that were available to you?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Grounds for your challenge in this petition

P. 8 of 9 continued

Ground 7: I.C.E cannot claim that Petitioner is a threat to Public safety based on Petitioner's criminal history, and use this rationale to continue my unlawful detention

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief, do not cite law or cases)

In cases in which preventive detention is of potentially indefinite duration, the United States Supreme Court has demanded that a dangerousness rationale be accompanied by some other special circumstance. Petitioner has not been classified as a sexually dangerous predator. The Government's previous decision to release Petitioner on a OSUP demonstrates that the Government found that Petitioner was not a danger to the community. See #31 on memorandum of law

(b) Did you present Ground 7 in all appeals that were available to you?
☐ Yes ☒ No

Ground 8: Immigration and Customs enforcement cannot send me to any 3rd country without the opportunity to be heard in front of a Immigration Judge.

a Supporting facts (Be brief, do not cite law or cases)

In a second letter titled decision to continue detention from the ICE Headquarters in Washington DC, ICE is now claiming that they are working with DHS and the state department on removing me to a third country. See # 28, # 29, and #30 on Petitioner's Memorandum of Law.

(b) Did you present Ground 8 in all appeals that were available to you?
☐ Yes ☒ No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury

If you are incarcerated, on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:

February 9th, 2026

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the information in this petition is true and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution for perjury.

Date:

2-6-26



Signature of Petitioner

Signature of Attorney or other authorized person, if any

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Instructions

1. **Who Should Use This Form.** You should use this form if
 - you are a federal prisoner and you wish to challenge the way your sentence is being carried out (*for example, you claim that the Bureau of Prisons miscalculated your sentence or failed to properly award good time credits*);
 - you are in federal or state custody because of something other than a judgment of conviction (*for example, you are in pretrial detention or are awaiting extradition*); or
 - you are alleging that you are illegally detained in immigration custody.
2. **Who Should Not Use This Form.** You should not use this form if
 - you are challenging the validity of a federal judgment of conviction and sentence (*these challenges are generally raised in a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255*);
 - you are challenging the validity of a state judgment of conviction and sentence (*these challenges are generally raised in a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254*); or
 - you are challenging a final order of removal in an immigration case (*these challenges are generally raised in a petition for review directly with a United States Court of Appeals*).
3. **Preparing the Petition.** The petition must be typed or neatly written, and you must sign and date it under penalty of perjury. **A false statement may lead to prosecution.**
4. **Answer all the questions.** You do not need to cite law. You may submit additional pages if necessary. If you do not fill out the form properly, you will be asked to submit additional or correct information. If you want to submit any legal arguments, you must submit them in a separate memorandum. Be aware that any such memorandum may be subject to page limits set forth in the local rules of the court where you file this petition. If you attach additional pages, number the pages and identify which section of the petition is being continued. All filings must be submitted on paper sized 8½ by 11 inches. **Do not use the back of any page.**
5. **Supporting Documents.** In addition to your petition, you must send to the court a copy of the decisions you are challenging and a copy of any briefs or administrative remedy forms filed in your case.
6. **Required Filing Fee.** You must include the \$5 filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). If you are unable to pay the filing fee, you must ask the court for permission to proceed in forma pauperis – that is, as a person who cannot pay the filing fee – by submitting the documents that the court requires.
7. **Submitting Documents to the Court.** Mail your petition and _____ copies to the clerk of the United States District Court for the district and division in which you are confined. For a list of districts and divisions, see 28 U.S.C. §§ 81-131. All copies must be identical to the original. Copies may be legibly handwritten.

If you want a file-stamped copy of the petition, you must enclose an additional copy of the petition and ask the court to file-stamp it and return it to you.
8. **Change of Address.** You must immediately notify the court in writing of any change of address. If you do not, the court may dismiss your case.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITION OF WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Name: ERIC BOCKARIE MUSA

Alien registration No: A 

Pro Se petitioner-detained

Detention Center: Folkston Ice Processing Center

Address: 3424 GA Hwy 252 E Folkston,
GA, 31537

CV 526 - 224

INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner, Eric Bocharie Musa, petitions this court for a writ of habeas corpus to remedy petitioner's unlawful detention by respondent. Petitioner submits this memorandum of law in support of the petition for a writ of Habeas corpus.

2. As the supreme court held in *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001), noncitizens cannot be detained indefinitely if the government is unable to carry out their removal. Instead, detention after a final order of removal is authorized only when removal is reasonably foreseeable. As a guide to courts, the court in *zadvydas* established a presumption that detention after a final order of removal was permissible for six months. Detention after a final order of removal may be unlawful even when six months have not passed, particularly if it is clear that the united states will not be able to effect a non-citizen's removal. But after that six month period, once a non-citizen provides "good reason to believe that there is no significant likelihood of removal in the reasonably foreseeable future, the government must respond with evidence sufficient to rebut that showing." And the longer a non-citizen is detained, the stronger the government's showing must be. Furthermore, the due process clause of the fifth amendment prohibits the Government from depriving individuals of their life, liberty, or property, without due process of law". *Hernandez v sessions*, 872 F3d 976, 990 (9th Cir.2017). The due process clause applies to all persons within the united states, including aliens, whether their presence is lawful, unlawful, temporary or permanent," *zadvydas* 533 U.S. At 679. A person at risk of suffering a serious loss being given notice and an opportunity to be heard, in a meaningful manner and at a meaningful time, is the essence of procedural due process. *Mathews v Eldridge* , 424 U.S. 319, 335, 96S.Ct, 893, 47L. Ed. 2D18(1976).

3. Petitioner is entitled to release because the government has failed to comply with its own regulations governing re-detention of non-citizens on a order of supervision, which in turn, violates his due process rights. Petitioner is also entitled to release under the framework of *zadvydas* unless the government promptly demonstrates that there is a significant likelihood of removal in the reasonably foreseeable future.

4. petitioner respectfully requests that the court use its authority under 28 U.S.C. §2243 (stating that an order to show cause why a petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied is returnable "within three days unless for good cause additional time, not exceeding twenty days, is allowed").
5. Inorder to permit full judicial review of the claims herein and requested relief, petitioner respectfully requests that the court order respondent not to transfer petitioner outside the jurisdiction of this court pending consideration of this petition.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

6. Petitioner was born in Sierra Leone
7. Petitioner entered the united states on or about June 24, 1997 through New York, NY
8. An immigration judge ordered petitioner removed from the the united states on or about June 8th, 2017
9. petitioner did not file an appeal with the board of immigration appeals.
10. Petitioner has cooperated with all of I.C.E.'s efforts to remove petitioner. Petitioner has cooperated with ice in the following ways petitioner gave ice correct information about my

Place of birth, citizenship and date of entry. I showed up for every report date.

I have selected numerous countries to be removed to as required by I.C.E. I

have also provided e-mail responses from embassies and consulates.

11. Nonetheless, I.C.E. Has been unable to remove petitioner from the united states. I.C.E. Is unlikely to remove petitioner in the reasonably foreseeable future because: petitioner cannot be removed to Sierra Leone because he was

granted deferral under Article III of the Convention Against Torture. ICE haven't

removed petitioner in about 9 years since his removal became final.

ICE haven't removed petitioner in almost seven months in re-detainment.

12. Regarding petitioner Detention: petitioner has been living in Atlanta under a ICE order of supervision since 2017. Petitioner was also on supervision with the united states federal Probation office. Petitioner showed up for his report dates, kept a job, and abided to the requirements of his supervision. Even when it wasn't his report date, petitioner walked inside the Atlanta field office to update his address. In 2020, petitioner was placed on a new order of supervision after serving 13 months for technical Probation violation. For example, petitioner was violated for drinking alcohol when he wasn't suppose to. It had nothing to do with a repeat of the behaviour petitioner was accused of pertaining to his aggravated felony. There has been no such repeat. Petitioner went through a full evaluation at the highland institute and was not classified as a sexually dangerous predator nor someone who is attracted to children. upon release, petitioner got his

job back and moved into his own place. In 2023, petitioner pled guilty to 2 counts of misdemeanor disorderly conduct and received time served. Because he was on probation, he was violated and sentenced to six months to be reinstated back on probation. Upon release from FCI Jessup, I.C.E. assumed custody of petitioner under a warrant of removal/deportation. I.C.E. failed to give me any notice that my supervised release has been revoked and the basis for revocation. I was never provided any informal interview. 7 months in re-detainment and I.C.E. still haven't notified me that my supervision is revoked and why. I.C.E. failed to renew my case in 90 days. It took ICE five months to initiate renewal. ICE decided to continue my unlawful detention without ever interviewing me to hear what I have to say. Even though I was illegally detained under a warrant of removal/deportation, in almost seven months, I.C.E. still hasn't removed me. I.C.E. haven't provided any details about efforts to remove me to a 3rd country. I am presently still languishing in illegal detention.

13. if released, petitioner will be supported by family and friends in the united states. In particular: [REDACTED]

They are all citizens of the great united states of America. Petitioner will have full family support if released. Petitioner will also be under the direct supervision of a united states probation officer. If released, petitioner will have 72 hours to report to the nearest U.S. Probation office or face dire consequences. Petitioner has already proven that he can be trusted not to try to elude ICE and be cooperative. Petitioner made it to every report date, held a job, and kept his address updated. I can be trusted to do so again. Petitioner is not a child molester. Petitioner is 42 now, he was 26 when he caught his aggravated felony. [REDACTED] Ever since [REDACTED]

then, petitioner has never been inside of a strip club again. If released, petitioner will continue attending AA/NA meetings to prevent relapse, hang around positive people, work and pay taxes like I've always done, be there for my family, especially my aging mother and my daughters. This is the only country I know. I've never left its shores since a child. I've studied and love its history. I graduated high school here. I pray this court would release me into the country that I love.

ARGUMENT

14. This action arises under the constitution of the united states and the immigration and nationality Act ("INA") §§ 101-507, 8 U.S.C. § 1101-1537, amended by the illegal immigration Reform and immigrant responsibility act of 1996, pub. L. No.104-208, 110 Stat 3009-1570.

15. This court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §2241, the suspension clause, U.S. Const. Art. I § 9, cl.2, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as petitioner is presently in custody under color of the authority of the united states, and petitioner's custody is in violation of the constitution, laws, or treaties of the united states. See *Zadvydas*, 566 U.S. 678. This court may grant relief under 28 U.S.C. §2241 (habeas corpus), 5 U.S.C § 702 (establishing the right of review for a person suffering a legal wrong due to agency action), and 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act)

16. The due process clause applies to all persons in the united states , "whether their presence here is lawful, unlawful, temporary, or permanent". *Zadvydas*, 533 U.S. At 693. In *zadvydas*, the supreme court emphasized , "[F]reedom from imprisonment-from government custody,detention,or other forms of physical restraint lies at the heart of the liberty that [the due process] clause protects." 533 U.S. At 690 (citing *Foucha v. Louisiana*, 504 U.S.71,80 (1992)). A person at risk of suffering, a serious loss being given notice and an opportunity to be heard ,in a meaningful manner and at a meaningful time,is the essence of procedural due process. *Mathew v Eldridge* ,424 U.S. 319,335,96S. Ct. 893, 47L Ed.2d 18 (1976).

17. Courts have found that when ice fails to follow its own regulations in revoking release , the detention is unlawful, and the petitioner's release must be ordered. See *Cesay v. kurzdorfer*. 781 F. Supp.3d.137,169-170 C.W.D.N.Y. 2025; *Rombat v. Souza*, 296 F. Supp. 3d 383, 387 C D. Moss. 2017; *M.S.L. V. Bostock*, N06: 25 Cv-01204-AA,2025 U.S. Dist. Lexis 162519, 2025 WL 2430267, at 11 C.D. Or Aug.21,2025).

18. The plain language of 8 C.F.R 24.13(i) and section 241.4(i) state that upon revocation, the alien will be notified of the reasons for revocation of his or her release. After being detained, non citizens are provided "an opportunity to respond to the reasons for revocation stated in the notification of revocation. " 8 C.F.R § 241.4 (i)(i). It would be impossible for petitioner to

challenge and successfully overcome I.C.E.'s reasons for revoking his release if he is never informed of those reasons. Over a 180 days in re-detainment, I.C.E. Haven't even notified petitioner that his order of supervision has been terminated let alone why. The government failed to provide petitioner with a prompt informal interview, which prevented him from contesting his revocation as required by 8 C.F.R § 241.4(i)(i).

19. It is well established that government agencies must follow their own regulations. *Morton v. Ruiz*, 415 U.S. 199, 235, 94S. Ct 1055, 39 L. Ed. 2d 270 (1974) ("where the rights of individuals are affected, it is incumbent upon agencies to follow their own procedures. This is so even where the internal procedures are possibly more rigorous than otherwise would be required.

20. Under 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(2), noncitizens subject to final orders of removal "shall" be detained during the first 90 days-the "removal period"-and they "shall" be removed during that period if a noncitizen falls within certain broad categories of removability or is determined "to be a risk to the community or unlikely to comply with the order of removal." 8 U.S.C. § 1231 (a)(6).

21. In *Zadvydas*, the supreme court construed 8 U.S.C. § 1231 (a)(6), to authorize detention only where it is significantly likely that removal will occur in the reasonably foreseeable future, in order to avoid the serious due process concerns that would be presented by permitting detention for an indefinite period of time. *Zadvydas*, 533 U.S. 678. After a noncitizen meets his or her initial burden to show that no such likelihood of removal exists, the burden shifts to the government to "respond with evidence sufficient to rebut [the alien's] showing." *Id* at 701.

22. Courts have rejected conclusory claims by ICE which claim, without submitting concrete factual information about working with the state department on a third country removal, scheduled flights, or repatriation agreements, that removal is imminent. "[A] theoretical possibility of eventually being removed does not satisfy the government's burden once the removal period has expired and the petitioner establishes good reason to believe his removal is not significantly likely in the reasonably foreseeable future." *Balza v. Barr*, No. 6:20-CV-00866, 2020 WL 6143643, at *5 (W.D. La. Sept. 17, 2020) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "[I]f [ICE] has no idea of when it might reasonably expect petitioner to be removed, [a] court certainly cannot

conclude that [a] removal is likely to occur -or even that it might occur- in the reasonably foreseeable future.” Id.at *5 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

23. See *Tai truong v. Noem*, 2025 U.S. LEXIS 209266 (Ordering immediate release of petitioner from custody, under the same conditions as his existing order of supervision because the government failed to follow its own regulations in re-detaining truong by failing to: (1) state an adequate basis to revoke petitioner's supervised release pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §241.4(i); (2) provide timely notice of revocation of petitioner's order of supervision; and (3) provide petitioner with a “prompt” informal interview , which prevented him from contesting his revocation, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 241.4 (i)(i). The court noted that in doing so, the government violated petitioner's constitutional due process rights

24. In *Sering Ceesay v. kurzdorfer* , 781 F. Supp. 3D 137 the government answered Yes forcefully to the question of whether a noncitizen subject to a final order of removal and released on a order of supervision is entitled to due process when the government decides-in its discretion-to revoke that release. While the united states department of homeland security might want to enforce this country's immigration laws efficiently, it cannot do that at the expense of fairness and due process. See *united states ex rel Accardi v. shaughessy*, 347 U.S, 260, 266-68, 745. Ct. 499, 98L. Ed. 681 (1954), As the framers recognized centuries ago, fair process-not just the correct outcome-matters. After all, without due process, there is no way to tell whether the result is in fact correct.

25. *Tabatabaee v. Noem*, 2025 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 234668 (ordering release of petitioner whom the government did not tell why his supervision was revoked or give him an opportunity to respond to the reason his supervision was revoked.

26. *Gomez Barco v. witte*, No. 6:20-CV-00497, 2020 WL 7393786 (W.D. La. Dec. 16, 2020) (ordering release of a petitioner who was detained longer than six months because ICE had not been able to secure necessary travel documents, noting that the Ice officer “clearly has no factual basis for his “belief” that there is no foreseeable impediment to petitioner's removal or that her removal is imminent,” and that there was no foundation for the “expectation” that the COVID 19 related travel restrictions in place would soon be lifted); *Balza v. Barr*, No. 6:20-CV-00866, 2020 WL 6064881 (W.D. La. Oct. 14, 2020) (same). In granting Ms. Balza's release, the court considered and rejected a conclusory declaration by a local ICE Assistant field officer that removal was imminent. *Id.* At *5, in *Alexis v. Smith*, the petitioner, Mr. Alexis, had been in detention for almost a year and subject to a removal order for over a year. An ICE official testified to an informal agreement that permitted removals but acknowledged that there were far fewer removals to Haiti in the aftermath of the 2010 hurricane. The haitian government had an issue with identity documents and it was unknown when that would be resolved. The magistrate did not credit ICE's vague statements that it was “endeavoring to rectify the issue” and concluded there was no end in sight for detention, and recommended release. The District Court judge agreed and ordered release, ICE then released Mr. Alexis on an order of supervised release and moved to get the judgement vacated on mootness, which it was. However, this does not invalidate the reasoning and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and District judge on this subject.
27. As the length of detention grows, the period of time that would be considered the “reasonable foreseeable future” shrinks. See, e.g., *Zadvydas*, 533 U.S. At 701 (stating that as the length of time in detention grows “what counts as the 'reasonably foreseeable future' conversely would have to shrink”), *Senor*, 401 F. Supp. 3D at 430 (“[T]he passage of time combined with the government [being] no closer to.....repatriating [a detainee] than they were once they first took him into custody ' [is] sufficient to meet that “initial burden” lawrikow, 2009 WL 2905549, at *12.

28. ICE's current policy, based on a July 9, 2025 memorandum, allows for removal to a third country "if the state department confirms it has received diplomatic assurances that individuals will not be persecuted or tortured." ICE in its discretion may reopen removal proceedings if U.S.C.I.S determines that a person is eligible for withholding of removal and protection under the convention against torture Act. A U.S.C.I.S screening interview will be provided only if a person affirmatively states they have a fear of persecution or torture within 24 hours to evaluate and determine whether they face harm if removed to a third country, and then 24 additional hours to collect and present evidence supporting their position. 3rd country removal without meaningful notice and opportunity to respond violates the fifth amendment's due process clause, the immigration and nationality Act (INA), implementing regulations, the convention against torture, and the Administrative procedure Act. It imposes punishment without a criminal trial in violation of the fifth and eighth amendments.

29. Though I was granted deferral of removal prohibiting my removal to Sierra Leone, ICE have failed to take any necessary steps toward 3rd country removal until January 21, 2026 when it notified me that it is working with DHS and the state department on a 3rd country removal without providing any details. Which is deficient in proving that petitioner's removal is likely in the reasonably foreseeable future. In other words, ICE has kept petitioner in redetention for over a 197 days with no plan for removal. ICE has given no indication any country has agreed to accept petitioner in over six months in re-detainment and about 9 years since petitioner's removal became final. ICE has given no projected timeline, or any plausible strategy for effecting removal.

30. In *Aden v. Nielson*, the court held that a non-citizen must be given sufficient notice of a country of deportation that, given his capacities and circumstances, he would have reasonable opportunity to raise and pursue his claim for withholding of deportation. Numerous courts in the ninth circuit have found that noncitizens have a due process right to have their fear based claims for relief from removal to third countries heard by a neutral adjudicator before the removal can be effectuated. See *AAM*,

2025 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 250678; 2025 WL 3485219 at *11 (“due process requires that petitioner receives a full and fair hearing of his fear based claim in front of a neutral adjudicator.”)

31. ICE has used the rationale that petitioner would be a threat to public safety to continue petitioner's unlawful detention. In cases in which preventive detention is of potentially indefinite duration, the United States Supreme Court has demanded that a dangerousness rationale be accompanied by some other special circumstance that helps to create the danger. The government has no legitimate interest in detaining individuals who have been determined not to be a danger to the community and whose appearance at future immigration proceedings can be reasonably ensured by a lesser bond or alternative conditions. *Hernandez v Sessions*, 872 F.3d 976, 994 (9th Cir, 2017). The government's previous decision to release petitioner on a bond demonstrates that the government found that petitioner was not a danger to the community and that his presence in future immigration proceedings could be “ensured by....alternative conditions.” *id.* Petitioner has not been classified as a sexually dangerous predator, and there has been no repeat of any such behavior since release in 2017. Petitioner hasn't harmed anyone nor threatened to do so. Petitioner kept his address updated with ICE, held a job, and showed up for every report date dressed like he is going to church as a gesture of respect. If released petitioner will be under the direct supervision of a United States Probation Officer. Petitioner does not participate in gang activity or terrorism and he is not specially dangerous. Petitioner is 42 years old now. He was 26 years old when he plead guilty to an aggravated felony in 2009. Petitioner was unaware that a 15 year old child will be allowed to dance in a adult club where petitioner had to show his ID to enter. Petitioner has two American born daughters of his own, and will never harm a child. Petitioner has no other felony on his record.

32. Petitioner's continued detention is unlawful, and petitioner is unlikely to be removed in the reasonably foreseeable future. Therefore, petitioner's detention violates the statute and he is entitled to immediate release.

33. Petitioner's detention also violates the due process clause. The due process clause of the fifth Amendment forbids the government from depriving any “person” of liberty “without due process of law.” The government failed to follow its own regulations by not informing petitioner that his supervision is revoked and the basis

for revocation. The government also failed to provide petitioner a prompt informal interview, which prevented him from contesting his revocation as required under 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(i)(i) in doing so the government violated petitioner's constitutional due process rights.

34. The government has kept petitioner in unlawful re-detention for well beyond a 180 days without a plan for removal. Even though petitioner was illegally taken into custody to be removed without any regards for his right to due process under the great constitution of the united states of America.

CONCLUSION

35. In conclusion, petitioner's detention violates the detention statute and is unconstitutional. Petitioner respectfully requests that this court order respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted "within three days unless for good cause additional time, not exceeding twenty days, is allowed." and set a hearing on this petition within five days of the return, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243 and grant the writ of Habeas corpus ordering respondent to immediately release petitioner from custody.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature: *Eric Backarie Musa*

Name: *Eric Backarie Musa*

A-Number: *A* 

Detention Center: *Polisbon Ice Processing Center*

Address: 3424 GA Hwy 252 E Rockton, GA,
31537

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
WARRANT OF REMOVAL/DEPORTATION


Date: June 3, 2025

To any immigration officer of the United States Department of Homeland Security:

ERIC BOCKARIE MUSA AKA: Musa, EricB; Musa, Eric; Musa, EricBockazie; Musa, See I-831

(Full name of alien)

who entered the United States at Unknown Place on Unknown Date
(Place of entry) (Date of entry)

is subject to removal/deportation from the United States, based upon a final order by:

- ☒ an immigration judge in exclusion, deportation, or removal proceedings
☐ a designated official
☐ the Board of Immigration Appeals
☐ a United States District or Magistrate Court Judge

and pursuant to the following provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act:

237a2Aiii

I, the undersigned officer of the United States, by virtue of the power and authority vested in the Secretary of Homeland Security under the laws of the United States and by his or her direction, command you to take into custody and remove from the United States the above-named alien, pursuant to law, at the expense of:

Salaries and Expenses, Department of Homeland Security 2025

L 3510 FRANCIS

(Signature of immigration officer)

Field Office Director

(Title of immigration officer)

June 3, 2025, Atlanta, GA

(Date and office location)


Alien No:



To be completed by immigration officer executing the warrant: Name of alien being removed:

ERIC BOCKARIE MUSA

Port, date, and manner of removal:



Photograph of alien removed

Right index fingerprint of alien removed

(Signature of alien being fingerprinted)

(Signature and title of immigration officer taking print)

Departure witnessed by:

(Signature and title of immigration officer)

If actual departure is not witnessed, fully identify source or means of verification of departure:

If self-removal (self-deportation), pursuant to 8 CFR 241.7, check here. ☐

Departure Verified by:

(Signature and title of immigration officer)

Office of Enforcement and Removal Operations

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
500 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20536



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

Eric Bockarie Musa
C/O Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Atlanta Field Office



Decision to Continue Detention

This letter is to inform you that the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) has reviewed your custody status and determined that you will not be released from custody at this time. This decision was based on a review of your file record, personal interview and consideration of any information you submitted to ICE reviewing officials and upon review of the factors for consideration set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(e), (f), and (g).

You are a native and citizen of Sierra Leone. On June 24, 1997, you entered the United States through New York, NY as a visitor for pleasure. On August, 12, 1998, your status was adjusted to an asylee. On June 8, 2017, an Immigration Judge ordered you removed from the United States to Sierra Leone, however granted you deferral under Article III of the Convention Against Torture.

ICE is actively working with the Department of State and the Department of Homeland Security on removal to a third country.

This decision does not preclude you from bringing forth evidence in the future to demonstrate a good reason why your removal is unlikely. You are advised that pursuant to Section 241(a)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) you must demonstrate that you are making reasonable efforts to comply with the order of removal, and that you are cooperating with ICE efforts to remove you by taking whatever actions ICE requests to affect your removal.

You are also advised that any willful failure or refusal on your part to make timely application in good faith for travel or other documents necessary for your departure, or any conspiracy or actions to prevent your removal or obstruct the issuance of a travel document, may subject you to criminal prosecution under 8 USC § 1253(a).

Date: 2026.01.21
15:35:51 -05'00'

Unit Chief - HQ RIO

1/21/26

Date

Decision to Continue Detention

MUSA, Eric A 

Page 2

PROOF OF SERVICE

(1) Personal Service (Officer to complete both (a) and (b) below.)

(a) I LORU Deportation Officer
Name of ICE Officer Title
certify that I served Eric Backarie Musa with a copy of
Name of detainee
this document at FOLK on 1/24/26, at _____
Institution Date Time

(b) I certify that I served the custodian LORU
Name of Official
Deportation Officer, at FOLK, on
Title
1/24/26 with a copy of this document.
Date Institution

OR

(2) Service by certified mail, return receipt. (Attach copy of receipt)

I _____, _____, certify
Name of ICE Officer Title
that I served _____ and the custodian _____
Name of detainee Name of Official
with a copy of this document by certified mail at _____ on _____
Institution Date

Detainee Signature: _____

Date: 1/24/26

() cc: Attorney of Record or Designated Representative

() cc: A-File

Office of Enforcement and Removal Operations

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
180 Ted Turner Dr. SW
Atlanta, GA 30303



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

MUSA, Eric

A 

c/o Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Atlanta Field Office

Decision to Continue Detention

This letter is to inform you that your custody status has been reviewed, and it has been determined that you will not be released from the custody of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at this time. This decision has been made based on a review of your file, consideration of the information you submitted to ICE's reviewing officials on Dec 9, 2025 and upon review of the factors for consideration set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(e), (f), and (g).

As explained below, after such review, ICE has determined to maintain your custody because:

- ☒ You have not demonstrated that, if released, you will not: (Check only those that apply) *a*
- ☒ Pose a danger to the community, to the safety of other persons, or to property.
- ☐ Pose a significant risk of flight pending your removal from the United States.

OR

- ☐ ICE is in receipt of or expects to receive the necessary travel documents to effectuate your removal, and removal is practicable, likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future, and in the public interest.

ICE has made such determination based upon:

You are citizen of Sierra Leone who is subject to an order of removal. Your criminal history indicate you would pose a danger to the community if released from custody. Additionally, ICE is working with the Department of State to obtain a travel document to effect your removal from the United States.

Based on the above, you are to remain in ICE custody pending your removal from the United States as ICE is unable to conclude that the factors set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(e) have been satisfied. You are advised that you must demonstrate that you are making reasonable efforts to comply with the order of removal and that you are cooperating with ICE's efforts to remove you by taking whatever actions ICE requests to affect your removal. You are also advised that any willful failure or refusal on your part to make timely application in good faith for travel or other documents necessary for your departure, or any conspiracy or actions to prevent your removal or

Decision to Continue Detention

MUSA, Eric

A



Page 2

obstruct the issuance of a travel document, may subject you to criminal prosecution under 8 U.S.C. § 1253(a).

If you have not been released or removed from the United States at the expiration of the three-month period after this 90-day review, jurisdiction of the custody decision in your case will be transferred to the ICE Headquarters (ERO Removal Division), Potomac Center North, 500 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20536. The ERO Removal Division will thereafter conduct a custody review and will make a determination regarding whether you will continue to be detained pending removal or may be released.

To assist in the ERO Removal Division custody review, you will be afforded a personal interview. You and your representative who has filed a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance, if any, will be notified of the date and time of the interview approximately 30 days prior to the scheduled interview date. This interview may be in person or through a video teleconference. If ERO needs to change the date of the interview, ERO will provide notice to you and your representative who has filed a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance, if any.

You may be accompanied during the interview by a person of your choice, subject to security requirements at the detention facility, as long as this person is able to attend the interview at the scheduled time.

You may submit any additional documentation in English you wish to be considered in support of your release at the time of the interview or via mail service up to five business days prior to the scheduled time of your interview to the following address:

3026 GA-252 E., Folkston, GA 31537

Such documentation should contain a cover letter indicating that the material is submitted in support of your Post Order Custody Review personal interview. An attorney or other person may submit materials on your behalf.

You are required to complete the below information.

I do _____ do not _____ want a personal interview.

If you do want an interview, please check the appropriate box(es) below:

☐ Check this box if you need an interpreter for your interview.
Language Dialect: _____

☐ I will be assisted at this interview by a representative of my own choosing.

Name: _____

Decision to Continue Detention

MUSA, Eric

A 

Page 3

If your representative has not filed a G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance, on your behalf, you are responsible for notifying any other person you have selected to assist you of the date, time, and location of the interview. The representative must be at least 18 years of age.

You will be sent a separate Notice to Alien of Interview for Review of Custody Status approximately 30 days before the interview is scheduled. If you wish to request additional time to prepare for the interview, you must notify your deportation officer within five business days of receipt of the Notice of Interview. If ERO agrees to postpone the interview at your request, you will be deemed to have waived its completion prior to jurisdiction over your case transferring to the ERO Removal Division.

You will be notified of the decision in your case when the custody review has been concluded by the ERO Removal Division.

ANDREW M
DUBYAK

Andrew M. Dubyak

Acting Deputy Field Office Director

December 29, 2025

Date

PROOF OF SERVICE

(Officer to complete both (a) and (b) below.)

(a) I LCRUL, Deportation Officer,
Name of ICE Officer Title
certify that I served Eric MUSA with a copy of
Name of detainee
this document at FIPC on 1/2/26 at 0935,
Institution Date Time

(b) I certify that I served the custodian LCRUL,
Name of Official
Deportation Officer at Folk on
Title Institution
1/2/26 with a copy of this document.
Date

Detainee Signature: YDate: 1/2/26

() cc: Attorney of Record or Designated Representative

() cc: A-File

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

ORDER OF SUPERVISION

File No. Event Number: Date: August 21, 2020Name: MUSA, ERIC BOCKARIEOn June 8, 2017, you were ordered:
(Date of Final Order)

- ☐ Excluded or deported pursuant to proceedings commenced prior to April 1, 1997.
- ☒ Removed pursuant to proceedings commenced on or after April 1, 1997.


Because the agency has not effected your deportation or removal during the period prescribed by law, it is ordered that you be placed under supervision and permitted to be at large under the following conditions:

- ☒ That you appear in person at the time and place specified, upon each and every request of the agency, for identification and for deportation or removal.
- ☒ That upon request of the agency, you appear for medical or psychiatric examination at the expense of the United States Government.
- ☒ That you provide information under oath about your nationality, circumstances, habits, associations and activities and such other information as the agency considers appropriate.
- ☒ That you do not travel outside GA, NC, SC for more than 48 hours without first having notified this agency office of the dates and places, and obtaining approval from this agency office of such proposed travel.
(Specify geographic limits, if any)
- ☒ That you furnish written notice to this agency office of any change of residence or employment 48 hours prior to such change.
- ☒ That you report in person on 11/18/2020 09:00 to this agency office at:
(Day/Date/Time)
ICE ATLANTA GA 30303, 180 Ted Turner Dr SW, Atlanta, GA 30303
(Reporting Address)

☐ That you assist U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement in obtaining any necessary travel documents.

☐ Other: _____


☐ See attached sheet containing other specified conditions (Continue on separate sheet if required)



(Signature of ICE Official)

 GILES, THOMAS
(Print Name and Title of ICE Official)

Alien's Acknowledgement of Conditions of Release under an Order of Supervision

I hereby acknowledge that I have (read) (had interpreted and explained to me in the ENGLISH language) the contents of this order, a copy of which has been given to me. I understand that failure to comply with the terms of this order may subject me to a fine, detention, or prosecution.


(Signature of ICE Official Serving Order)


(Signature of Alien)

08/21/2020
Date

Alien Name		MUSA, ERIC BOCKARIE		Picture	Right Index Print
File Number					
Date		August 21, 2020			
Alien's Signature					
Alien's Telephone Number (if applicable)		(US Prob Office) 404 215 1950			
Alien's Address					

[illegible]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

ORDER OF SUPERVISION (Addendum)

File No.:



Date: August 21, 2020

Name: MUSA, ERIC BOCKARIE

- ☒ That you do not associate with know gang members, criminal associates, or be associated with any such activity.
- ☐ That you register in a substance abuse program within 14 days and provide ICE with written proof of such within 30 days. The proof must include the name, address, duration, and objectives of the program as well as the name of a counselor.
- ☐ That you register in a sexual deviancy counseling program within 14 days and provide ICE with written proof of such within 30 days. You must provide ICE with the name of the program, the address of the program, duration and objectives of the program as well as the name of a counselor.
- ☒ That you register as a sex offender, if applicable, within 7 days of being released, with the appropriate agency(s) and provide ICE with written proof of such within 10 days.
- ☒ That you do not commit any crimes while on this Order of Supervision.
- ☐ That you report to any parole or probation officer as required within 5 business days and provide ICE with written verification of the officer's name, address, telephone number, and reporting requirements.
- ☒ That you continue to follow any prescribed doctor's orders whether medical or psychological including taking prescribed medication.
- ☒ That you provide ICE with written copies of requests to Embassies or Consulates requesting the issuance of a travel document.
- ☒ That you provide ICE with written responses from the Embassy or Consulate regarding your request.
- ☒ Any violation of the above conditions will result in revocation of your employment authorization document.
- ☒ Any violation of these conditions may result in you being taken into Service custody and you being criminally prosecuted.
- ☐ Other:



Alien's Signature

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

ORDER OF SUPERVISION (Outprocessing Checklist)

All Aliens

- ☒ Probation/Parole Officer Notified
- ☒ Obtain address where living and telephone number
- ☒ Enter into IDENT
- ☒ NCIC Check
- ☐ Travel Document Application

Sex Offenders

- ☒ Probation/Parole Officer Notified
- ☒ Registered as sex-offender as required by state statute within 7 days
- ☐ Victim/Witness Coordinator Notified
- ☐ Victim/Witness Notified
- ☐ Written Proof of Counseling

Substance Abusers


- ☒ Probation/Parole Officer Notified
- ☐ Written Proof of Counseling

Completed By	
ICE Official ANNALA, JOHN	Date 08/21/2020

Concurrence By	
Supervisory ICE Official GILES, THOMAS	Date 08/21/2020

Request #619542581**Profile Photo:****Detainee Info**

Name: Eric bockarie Musa ([REDACTED])
 Booking Number: [REDACTED]
 Nationality:
 Submitted Date: 10/30/25 14:32

**Form Info**

Category: ICE
 Form: ICE Request

Request Info

Status: CLOSED by D. Householder
 Facility Deadline: 11/04/25 23:59

Summary of Request:

Case status

Details of Request:**What is your request?:**


Ive been here close to 4 months and no one has said anything to me. I haven't seen my D.O. im under the united nations convention against torture. Ive been on ice supervision in Atlanta. I will like to transfer that supervision to the great state of Pennsylvania where my family is. I've been reinstated back on probation. I promise to stay out of trouble and be a upstanding resident of the united states. No one from ice is giving me any information about what's going on.

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/08/26 11:38	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
01/04/26 14:34	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
01/03/26 09:06	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
12/30/25 17:46	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/13/25 12:22	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/12/25 13:50	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/11/25 14:37	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/11/25 12:08	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/09/25 13:45	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/01/25 09:20	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
11/01/25 09:19	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
10/30/25 14:58	D. Householder	Changed Status	From 'Open' to 'Closed'
10/30/25 14:32	Eric bockarie Musa	Submitted New	Case status

Request #587951481**Profile Photo:****Detainee Info**

Name: Eric bockarie Musa ([REDACTED])
 Booking Number: [REDACTED]
 Nationality: [REDACTED]
 Submitted Date: 07/31/25 19:18

**Form Info**

Category: ICE
 Form: ICE Request

Request Info

Status: CLOSED by T. Kummert
 Facility Deadline: 08/05/25 23:59

Summary of Request:

I want to know if you are overturning the Immigration judge's decision?

Details of Request:

For question 5 please select the docket that best matches your country of origin:

Group one (Northern triangle cases/Mexicans) Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico, and El Salvador. Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. :

Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Select your Docket:

Please be specific
 Group 3 All Others

What is your request?:


M morris. I already went to court and got relief from deportation under the united nations convention against torture. I am now in custody for a final order of removal. Why are you telling me that ice is prepping me for the next available plane? I have cat, and its still on the record when I call the speed dial number 111.

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
08/09/25 12:55	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 13:10	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	


DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
08/08/25 13:09	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 13:05	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 04:43	T. Kummet	Changed Status	From 'Pending' to 'Closed'
08/08/25 04:43	T. Kummet	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "Your request is being processed. If you have a recent Immigration Judge Order of Deportation or have signed for Voluntary Departure, ICE is working to get you to a staging facility for removal. "
08/08/25 04:43	T. Kummet	Staff Response	Your request is being processed. If you have a recent Immigration Judge Order of Deportation or have signed for Voluntary Departure, ICE is working to get you to a staging facility for removal.
08/08/25 04:41	T. Kummet	Changed Status	From 'New' to 'Pending'
08/08/25 04:41	T. Kummet	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "Your request is being processed. If you have a recent Immigration Judge Order of Deportation or have signed for Voluntary Departure, ICE is working to get you to a staging facility for removal. "
08/08/25 04:41	T. Kummet	Staff Response	Your request is being processed. If you have a recent Immigration Judge Order of Deportation or have signed for Voluntary Departure, ICE is working to get you to a staging facility for removal.
08/07/25 15:42	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:28	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:28	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:27	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Changed Status	From 'New' to 'Closed'
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "your request is being processed. "
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Staff Response	your request is being processed.
08/07/25 11:15	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 12:44	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/05/25 17:53	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 21:48	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 20:12	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 18:53	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 12:27	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/03/25 15:56	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/03/25 11:17	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/02/25 22:35	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/02/25 22:23	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/02/25 14:04	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/01/25 19:28	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/01/25 17:36	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/01/25 15:40	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/01/25 12:24	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
07/31/25 19:18	Eric bockarie Musa	Submitted New	I want to know if you are overturning the Immigration judge's decision?

Request #588497061

Profile Photo:

**Detainee Info**

Name: Eric bockarie Musa
 Booking Number:
 Nationality:
 Submitted Date: 08/02/25 14:22

**Form Info**

Category: ICE
 Form: ICE Request

Request Info

Status: CLOSED by MMorris
 Facility Deadline: 08/06/25 23:59

Summary of Request:

M morris. Can ice lift my detainer?

Details of Request:

For question 5 please select the docket that best matches your country of origin:

Group one (Northern triangle cases/Mexicans) Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico, and El Salvador. Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. :

Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Select your Docket:

Please be specific

Group 3 All Others

What is your request?:


Ive been on ice supervision in Atlanta. I never missed a report date, kept a job and my address updated. I violated probation for catching a misdemeanor disorderly conduct charge. It has nothing to do with what I'm on probation for, which happened when I was 26, I am 42 now. Ive been reinstated back on probation. Can I be put back on ice supervision. I promise to go back to work, stay out of trouble and be a upstanding resident of the united states.

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/08/26 11:51	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	


DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
08/12/25 21:45	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Changed Status	From 'New' to 'Closed'
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "your request is being processed. "
08/07/25 14:42	MMorris	Staff Response	your request is being processed.
08/07/25 11:15	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 18:23	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 15:43	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 14:46	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 12:44	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/05/25 17:51	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/05/25 17:50	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 21:47	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 20:11	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 18:53	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/04/25 12:26	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/03/25 18:33	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/03/25 12:14	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/03/25 11:16	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/02/25 22:34	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/02/25 14:22	Eric bockarie Musa	Submitted New	M morris. Can ice lift my detainer?

Request #587430351

Profile Photo:

**Detainee Info**

Name: Eric bockarie Musa ([REDACTED])
 Booking Number: [REDACTED]
 Nationality:
 Submitted Date: 07/30/25 14:11

**Form Info**

Category: ICE
 Form: ICE Request

Request Info

Status: CLOSED by T. Kummet
 Facility Deadline: 08/04/25 23:59

Summary of Request:

Have to speak with you asap.

Details of Request:

For question 5 please select the docket that best matches your country of origin:

Group one (Northern triangle cases/Mexicans) Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico, and El Salvador. Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. :

Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Select your Docket:


Please be specific
 Group 3 All Others

What is your request?:


I've been here 15 days, still haven't had the chance to speak with you. Im on ice supervision in Atlanta. I've never missed a report date in the past 6 years. I violated probation because I plead guilty to misdemeanor disorderly conduct. It has nothing to do with why I'm on probation. I plead guilty because I didnt wanna wait in jail for trial. I am not a threat to the community. Ive been reinstated on probation. My family and I want to know if I'll be put back on supervision.

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/08/26 11:43	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/03/26 09:11	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/19/25 22:03	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 18:23	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
07/31/25 19:18	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
07/31/25 11:41	T. Kummet	Changed Status	From 'New' to 'Closed'
07/31/25 11:41	T. Kummet	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "ICE is diligently working on your case. ASYLUM: If you're pending an asylum claim your case is with USCIS and outside the jurisdiction of ICE IMMIGRATION COURT: If you're pending a Court your case is outside the jurisdiction of ICE Voluntary Departure: If you have signed your removal documents, you are waiting on a plane as well. If you are eligible for VD officers will meet with you. If you have already signed VD paperwork, you're just waiting on a seat in a plane. FINAL ORDER OF REMOVAL: If you have a final order of removal your case is being worked and prepped for removal on the next available plane "
07/31/25 11:41	T. Kummet	Staff Response	ICE is diligently working on your case. ASYLUM: If you're pending an asylum claim your case is with USCIS and outside the jurisdiction of ICE IMMIGRATION COURT: If you're pending a Court your case is outside the jurisdiction of ICE Voluntary Departure: If you have signed your removal documents, you are waiting on a plane as well. If you are eligible for VD officers will meet with you. If you have already signed VD paperwork, you're just waiting on a seat in a plane. FINAL ORDER OF REMOVAL: If you have a final order of removal your case is being worked and prepped for removal on the next available plane
07/31/25 11:25	T. Kummet	Changed Status	From 'New' to 'Closed'
07/31/25 11:25	T. Kummet	Responded to Detainee	Custom bulk-responded with "ICE is diligently working on your case. ASYLUM: If you're pending an asylum claim your case is with USCIS and outside the jurisdiction of ICE IMMIGRATION COURT: If you're pending a Court your case is outside the jurisdiction of ICE Voluntary Departure: If you have signed your removal documents, you are waiting on a plane as well. If you are eligible for VD officers will meet with you. If you have already signed VD paperwork, you're just waiting on a seat in a plane. FINAL ORDER OF REMOVAL: If you have a final order of removal your case is being worked and prepped for removal on the next available plane "
07/31/25 11:25	T. Kummet	Staff Response	ICE is diligently working on your case. ASYLUM: If you're pending an asylum claim your case is with USCIS and outside the jurisdiction of ICE IMMIGRATION COURT: If you're pending a Court your case is outside the jurisdiction of ICE Voluntary Departure: If you have signed your removal documents, you are waiting on a plane as well. If you are eligible for VD officers will meet with you. If you have already signed VD paperwork, you're just waiting on a seat in a plane. FINAL ORDER OF REMOVAL: If you have a final order of removal your case is being worked and prepped for removal on the next available plane
07/30/25 14:11	Eric bockarie Musa	Submitted New	Have to speak with you asap.

Request #590121381**Profile Photo:****Detainee Info**

Name: Eric bockarie Musa
 Booking Number: [REDACTED]
 Nationality: [REDACTED]
 Submitted Date: 08/06/25 22:39

**Form Info**

Category: ICE
 Form: ICE Request

Request Info

Status: CLOSED by D. Householder
 Facility Deadline: 08/11/25 23:59

Summary of Request:

M morris. I cannot be on a final order of removal.

Details of Request:

For question 5 please select the docket that best matches your country of origin:

Group one (Northern triangle cases/Mexicans) Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico, and El Salvador. Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Group two (IAO) Jamaica, Brazil, Peru, Nicaragua, Colombia, Ecuador and Dominican Republic. :

Group three All other countries not listed in groups one or two. :

Select your Docket:

Please be specific

Group 3 All Others

What is your request?:

M morris. It has already been found credible that I face persecution in my country. Both at the court in Jenna and on my original asylum application. Ice has already waived appeal. A warrant for a final order of removal should never have been taken out for me. I have been reinstated back on probation. I am on supervision at the Atlanta field office and would like to transfer that supervision to DC or Pennsylvania where my family is. Please let me know what's going on.

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/08/26 11:40	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
01/03/26 09:10	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/16/25 09:01	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/14/25 22:14	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/13/25 21:59	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/13/25 14:28	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/12/25 21:47	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/12/25 18:20	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/12/25 08:31	D. Householder	Staff Response	ICE is working on your case and will give you the information as soon as possible.
08/12/25 08:31	D. Householder	Changed Status	From 'Open' to 'Closed'
08/10/25 18:28	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/09/25 18:33	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/09/25 15:32	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/09/25 12:54	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/09/25 12:06	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 22:04	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 13:09	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/08/25 13:04	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:42	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:31	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 15:26	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/07/25 11:14	Eric bockarie Musa	Viewed Staff Response	
08/06/25 22:39	Eric bockarie Musa	Submitted New	M morris. I cannot be on a final order of removal.