

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
BRUNSWICK DIVISION**

**CV 526 165**

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO EXPEDITE  
(PENDING HABEAS CORPUS PETITION)  
28 U.S.C. § 2241**

PETITIONER: Yandri Castillo Aller

A-Number: A [REDACTED]

Current Detention Facility: Folkston Main Detention Facility  
3026 GA 252 E, Folkston, GA 31537

NEXT FRIEND:

Lariatna Arnedo Pérez

Lawful Permanent Resident of the United States

Address: [REDACTED]

Telephone: [REDACTED]

Email: lariatnaarnedo7@gmail.com

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner, through his Next Friend, respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an order expediting review and adjudication of his pending Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Given the ongoing unconstitutional detention, extreme family hardship, and deteriorating conditions of confinement, expedited consideration is necessary to prevent irreparable harm.

**II. AUTHORITY TO EXPEDITE**

Federal courts possess inherent authority to manage their dockets and expedite proceedings when justice so requires. See *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248 (1936); *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681 (1997). This authority is particularly compelling in habeas corpus cases involving unlawful restraint of liberty.

**III. FACTUAL BASIS FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW**

Petitioner has remained in immigration detention since September 30, 2025, without meaningful individualized review. He suffers ongoing psychological harm and is separated from his three minor children, including two United States citizens.

Petitioner reports overcrowding, lack of adequate food, rationed water, and psychological mistreatment at the detention facility. Each additional day of detention compounds the constitutional injury.

#### **IV. LEGAL STANDARD**

Expedited consideration is warranted where delay would result in irreparable harm and where the interests of justice outweigh any administrative burden. See *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418 (2009). Habeas proceedings, by their nature, require prompt judicial review.

#### **V. ARGUMENT**

##### **A. Continued Detention Constitutes Irreparable Harm**

Loss of physical liberty, family separation, and exposure to unconstitutional conditions constitute irreparable harm. See *Elrod v. Burns*, 427 U.S. 347 (1976).

##### **B. High Likelihood of Success on the Merits**

Petitioner's habeas petition raises substantial constitutional questions regarding arbitrary and prolonged detention in violation of the Fifth Amendment.

##### **C. No Prejudice to Respondents**

Expedited review will not prejudice Respondents, who are already obligated to justify Petitioner's detention under federal law.

#### **VI. RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Expedite review and adjudication of the pending Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus;
2. Set shortened briefing deadlines for Respondents;
3. Grant any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



Lariatna Arnedo Pérez  
Next Friend for Petitioner

Date: 01-28-2026