

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**LUIS MAURICIO MARTINEZ**

Petitioner,

v.

**JL JAMISON, WARDEN.**

Federal Detention Center

Respondent.

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

**Immigration Habeas Case**

**INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves this Court pursuant to **Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)**, **28 U.S.C. § 2241**, and **Local Civil Rule 65.1** for an **Emergency Temporary Restraining Order** to prevent imminent and irreparable harm arising from Petitioner's unlawful detention and/or removal while the accompanying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is pending.

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1. Petitioner is a citizen and a national of HONDURAS, currently detained at the Philadelphia Federal Detention Center located at 700 Arch Street, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
2. Petitioner has a properly filed application for asylum presently pending, although jurisdiction and venue are currently in question. (Exhibit A)
3. Petitioner has also been under an Order of Supervision since, upon information and belief, 2011 (Exhibit B)
4. Petitioner should be given the opportunity to present the asylum claim so that he is not forced to return to his home country to which he fears returning
5. Since he entered the U.S. on in or about January of 2005 and to this day, petitioner has not committed any crimes.
6. He has been living at the same address since 2017, almost ten (10) years, and is gainfully employed;
7. ICE has apprehended the petitioner and intends to remove despite the existence and the pendency of a properly filed asylum case and in violation of an Order of Supervision that was most recently extended on January 13, 2026, without any of the underlying circumstances having changed;

8. Removal before adjudication of the habeas petition will cause irreparable harm, including the possibility/probability of being subjected to violence, loss of legal rights, and potential persecution.

### LEGAL STANDARD

Under **Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)** and **E.D. Pa. Local Civil Rule 65.1**, a TRO may issue without notice to the adverse party if specific facts in an affidavit or verified complaint clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury will result before the adverse party can be heard.

The Third Circuit applies the four-factor test:

- (1) likelihood of success on the merits.
- (2) irreparable harm absent relief.
- (3) balance of equities; and
- (4) public interest. *See Kos Pharm., Inc. v. Andrx Corp.*, 369 F.3d 700 (3d Cir. 2004).

### ARGUMENT

1. **Likelihood of Success** – Petitioner’s detention/removal violates statutory and constitutional protections, including due process under the Fifth. and the Fourteenth amendments
2. **Irreparable Harm** – Deportation before judicial review would permanently deprive Petitioner of the ability to pursue lawful relief.
3. **Balance of Equities** – The harm to Petitioner outweighs any administrative burden on Respondents.
4. **Public Interest** – Upholding constitutional rights and ensuring lawful process serves the public interest.

### REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Issue a Temporary Restraining Order Enjoining Respondents from removing Petitioner from the United States until final resolution of the Habeas petition.
2. Order Respondents to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued; and
3. Grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper

**PROPOSED ORDER**

AND NOW, this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026, upon consideration of Petitioner's Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Respondents, and all persons acting on their behalf, are temporarily restrained from removing Petitioner from the United States pending further order of this Court;
2. Respondents shall appear before this Court on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026, at : \_\_. m., to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; and
3. This Order shall remain in effect until further order of the Court.

**Respectfully submitted,**



Christine M. Flowers, Esquire  
Joseph M Rollo & Associates P.C.  
2527 South Broad Street  
Phila Pa 19148  
[team@rollolawoffice.com](mailto:team@rollolawoffice.com)

Dated :

Exhibit A

Exhibit B

**EXHIBIT A**

**EXHIBIT B**

