

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

DIOSDANIS GARCES-GUEVARA,

Petitioner,

v.

No.2:26-cv-00231-SMD-JFR

DORA CASTROM Warden of the Otero  
County processing Center; and MARY DE  
ANDA-YBARRA, Field Office Director of  
The El Paso Field Office,

Respondents.

**RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

This Office represents Federal Respondents (“Respondents”) in this habeas corpus action, in which Petitioner challenges his detention by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”). On February 2, 2026, Petitioner filed a petition for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. (Doc. 1). Petitioner concurrently filed a motion for a temporary restraining order. (Doc. 2). On February 10, 2026, the Court ordered the government to file a response. (Doc. 6).

Respondents have carefully reviewed this petition and determined that the legal issues presented concern the statutory authority for ICE’s detention of Petitioner under 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225(b)(2)(A) or 1226(a), whether Petitioner is entitled to a bond hearing, and whether Petitioner must first exhaust his administrative remedies before applying to this Court. While reserving all rights, including the right to appeal, Respondents respectfully submit this abbreviated response in lieu of a formal responsive memorandum of law to preserve the legal issues, to conserve judicial and party resources, and to expedite the Court’s consideration of this matter. If the Court prefers to receive a formal memorandum of law, Respondents will be happy to submit one upon request.

It is Respondents’ position that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b), because he was present in the United States without being admitted or paroled. *See*

*Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I. & N. Dec. 216, 228 (BIA 2025). However, Respondents acknowledge that this Court recently reached the opposite conclusion in *Martin Ramirez v. Noem*, Case No. 2:26-cv-00063, (D.N.M. Feb. 11, 2026) on facts substantially similar to those currently before the Court. In a decision issued on February 11, 2026, this Court, following the rationale of other courts that have addressed the issue, including others in this District, concluded that the petitioner’s detention was not governed by § 1225, and that his detention was instead pursuant to § 1226.<sup>1</sup> *See Martin Ramirez v. Noem*. Specifically, the Court stated that “Petitioner is not subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2)(A).” *Id.*

On the legal issue of which statute governs Petitioner’s detention here—whether it is 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), or 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)—Respondents acknowledge that this Court’s decision in *Martin Ramirez v. Noem*, would control the result here if the Court adheres to that decision, as the facts are not materially distinguishable for purposes of the Court’s decision on the legal issue of which statutory provision authorizes Petitioner’s detention.

Thus, while Respondents do not consent to issuance of the writ and reserves all rights, including the right to appeal, and to conserve judicial and party resources while expediting the Court’s consideration of this case, Respondents hereby rely upon, and incorporate by reference, the legal arguments presented in *Martin Ramirez v. Noem*, and the Court can decide this issue

---

<sup>1</sup> The Fifth Circuit recently addressed the same issue in which it held that individuals like the Petitioner are subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2)(A). *See Buenrostro-Mendez v. Bondi et al.*, --- F.4th---, 2026 WL 323330 (5th Cir. 2026). While Respondents recognize that the Fifth Circuit’s holding is not binding on this Court, they nonetheless alert the Court to this decision as persuasive authority that may influence the Court to reconsider its position on this issue.

without further briefing.

Finally, the government believes that this matter can be decided without a hearing. If, however, the Court determines that a hearing would be helpful, the government will attend and present Respondent's position.

Respectfully submitted,

TODD BLANCHE  
Deputy Attorney General  
RYAN ELLISON  
First Assistant United States Attorney

*/s/ Allysa Gambarella*  
ALLYSA GAMBARELLA  
Assistant United States Attorney  
201 Third Street NW, Suite 900  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 346-7274; Fax (505) 346-7205  
Allysa.Gambarella@usdoj.gov

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on February 17, 2026, I filed the foregoing pleading electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused all parties and counsel of record to be served, as more fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing.

*/s/ Allysa Gambarella*  
ALLYSA GAMBARELLA  
Assistant United States Attorney