

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EDIN ODONIEL LEIVA PINTO

Petitioner,

v.

JL JAMISON, WARDEN.

Federal Detention Center

Respondent.

Civil Action No. 26-cv-646

Administrative No.: A 

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Immigration Habeas Case

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves this Court pursuant to **Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)**, **28 U.S.C. § 2241**, and **Local Civil Rule 65.1** for an **Emergency Temporary Restraining Order** to prevent imminent and irreparable harm arising from Petitioner's unlawful detention and/or removal while the accompanying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is pending.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. Petitioner is a citizen and a national of HONDURAS, currently detained at the Philadelphia Federal Detention Center located at 700 Arch Street, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
2. Petitioner is the father of two United States Citizens, proof of which is attached as Exhibits A and B;
3. Petitioner is the beneficiary of a pending I-130 petition filed on his behalf by his sister, a US Citizen. Proof of which is attached as Exhibit C
3. Petitioner is eligible for the relief of Non LPR Cancellation of Removal pursuant to Section 42B of the Immigration and Nationality Act, in addition to the relief filed on his behalf by his sister;
4. Petitioner should be given the opportunity to present this relief to the Immigration Court so that he is not forced to return to my country which he has not seen in almost three (3) decades;
5. Since he entered the U.S. in 1998, Twenty Eight (28) years ago, and to this day, petitioner has not committed any crimes.

6. Petitioner has been lawfully paying taxes during his time in the United States, and owns his own construction and masonry business;
7. ICE has apprehended the petitioner and intends to remove him and deprive him of the opportunity to seek the above-referenced relief;
8. Removal before adjudication of the habeas petition will cause irreparable harm, including the possibility/probability of being subjected to violence, loss of legal rights, and potential persecution.

LEGAL STANDARD

Under **Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)** and **E.D. Pa. Local Civil Rule 65.1**, a TRO may issue without notice to the adverse party if specific facts in an affidavit or verified complaint clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury will result before the adverse party can be heard.

The Third Circuit applies the four-factor test:

- (1) likelihood of success on the merits.
- (2) irreparable harm absent relief.
- (3) balance of equities; and
- (4) public interest. *See Kos Pharm., Inc. v. Andrx Corp.*, 369 F.3d 700 (3d Cir. 2004).

ARGUMENT

1. **Likelihood of Success** – Petitioner’s detention/removal violates statutory and constitutional protections, including due process under the Fifth. and the Fourteenth amendments
2. **Irreparable Harm** – Deportation before judicial review would permanently deprive Petitioner of the ability to pursue lawful relief.
3. **Balance of Equities** – The harm to Petitioner outweighs any administrative burden on Respondents.
4. **Public Interest** – Upholding constitutional rights and ensuring lawful process serves the public interest.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Issue a Temporary Restraining Order Enjoining Respondents from removing Petitioner from the United States until final resolution of the Habeas petition.

2. Order Respondents to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued; and
3. Grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper

PROPOSED ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of _____, 2026, upon consideration of Petitioner's Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Respondents, and all persons acting on their behalf, are temporarily restrained from removing Petitioner from the United States pending further order of this Court;
2. Respondents shall appear before this Court on the ___ day of _____, 2026, at : __. m., to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; and
3. This Order shall remain in effect until further order of the Court.

Respectfully submitted,



Christine M. Flowers, Esquire
PA State Attorney ID Number 51181
Joseph M Rollo & Associates P.C.
2527 South Broad Street
Phila Pa 19148
team@rollolawoffice.com
Dated :02/01/2026