

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Georgia

FILED  
DISTRICT COURT  
DIV.

2026 JAN 27 P 2:05

MARIANO ENRIQUE CORONEL ESMERAL )

Petitioner )

v. )

Warden (or Facility Administrator), Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center )

Respondent )

(name of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)


Case No. )

(Supplied by Clerk of Court)

MM

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Personal Information

1. (a) Your full name: Mariano Enrique Coronel Esmeral
- (b) Other names you have used: N/A
2. Place of confinement:
  - (a) Name of institution: Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center
  - (b) Address: 3262 HWY 252 East  
Folkston, GA 31537
  - (c) Your identification number: 
3. Are you currently being held on orders by:
 

Federal authorities     State authorities     Other - explain:
4. Are you currently:
 

A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)

Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime

If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:

  - (a) Name and location of court that sentenced you:
  - (b) Docket number of criminal case:
  - (c) Date of sentencing:

Being held on an immigration charge

Other (explain):

Decision or Action You Are Challenging


5. What are you challenging in this petition:
 

How your sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example, revocation or calculation of good time credits)

Pd. Rept #400003033

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

- Pretrial detention
- Immigration detention
- Detainer
- The validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory maximum or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines)
- Disciplinary proceedings
- Other (explain):

6. Provide more information about the decision or action you are challenging:
- (a) Name and location of the agency or court: U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), DHS; Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center, Folkston, Georgia; 3262 Highway 252, Folkston, GA 31537.
  - (b) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 
  - (c) Decision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): Continued civil immigration detention by ICE without a timely and meaningful individualized bond hearing, including jurisdictional denials and prolonged delays in immigration court proceedings.
  - (d) Date of the decision or action: 12/13/2025


**Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action**

7. **First appeal**

Did you appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy?

- Yes  No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Atlanta – Ted Turner Drive Immigration Court (EOIR)
- (2) Date of filing: 01/12/2026
- (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 
- (4) Result: Bond request denied for lack of jurisdiction.
- (5) Date of result: 01/15/2026
- (6) Issues raised: Request for custody/bond redetermination; challenge to continued immigration concerns where Immigration Court states it lacks jurisdiction to provide a bond hearing.

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not appeal:

8. **Second appeal**

After the first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

- Yes  No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

---

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court:

(2) Date of filing:

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal:

9. **Third appeal**

After the second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

Yes  No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court:

(2) Date of filing:

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:

10. **Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255**

In this petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?

Yes  No

If "Yes," answer the following:

(a) Have you already filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that challenged this conviction or sentence?

Yes  No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

---

If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Date of filing:
- (2) Case number:
- (3) Result:
- (4) Date of result:
- (5) Issues raised:

(d) Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?

Yes  No

If "Yes," provide:

- (1) Name of court:
- (2) Date of filing:
- (3) Case number:
- (4) Result:
- (5) Date of result:
- (6) Issues raised:

12. **Other appeals**

Other than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the issues raised in this petition?

Yes  No

If "Yes," provide:

- (a) Kind of petition, motion, or application:
- (b) Name of the authority, agency, or court:
  
- (c) Date of filing:
- (d) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:
- (e) Result:
- (f) Date of result:
- (g) Issues raised:

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

---

---

**Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition**

13. State every ground (reason) that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

**GROUND ONE:** Detention without a timely and meaningful bond hearing (due process).

(a) Supporting facts (*Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.*):

Petitioner has been detained by ICE since 12/13/2025. Petitioner requested a custody/bond redetermination. On 01/15/2026, the Atlanta Immigration Court denied the request for lack of jurisdiction and made no ruling on the bond merits, leaving Petitioner detained without a meaningful opportunity to obtain release.

(b) Did you present Ground One in all appeals that were available to you?

Yes  No

**GROUND TWO:** Administrative remedies are unavailable or ineffective; continued detention is arbitrary.

(a) Supporting facts (*Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.*):

Petitioner sought administrative review of custody and an expedited hearing. The bond request was rejected/denied on jurisdictional grounds, and the expedited request has not resulted in timely relief. Petitioner remains detained without an effective administrative forum to obtain release.

(b) Did you present Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?

Yes  No

**GROUND THREE:** Government has not provided essential custody records and basis for detention.

(a) Supporting facts (*Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.*):

ICE/DHS has not provided key custody documents (including custody determination records). Petitioner cannot meaningfully challenge continued detention without the custody record and the statutory basis for detention, yet remains confined.

(b) Did you present Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?

Yes  No

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

---

**GROUND FOUR:** ICE/DHS has not provided essential custody records.

(a) Supporting facts (*Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.*):

Petitioner remains detained since 12/13/2025. ICE/DHS has not provided key custody documents produced the custody record or key custody documents despite requests, and immigration court review has been jurisdictionally unavailable/ineffective.

(b) Did you present Ground Four in all appeals that were available to you?

Yes  No

14. If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did not: Petitioner could not fully present all grounds through administrative appeals because the Immigration Court denied bond/custody redetermination for lack of jurisdiction and made no ruling on the merits. In addition, ICE/DHS has not produced key custody records (including Forms I-213 and I-286) despite requests, preventing meaningful administrative review.

**Request for Relief**

15. State exactly what you want the court to do: Petitioner requests that this Court order Respondents to (1) produce the complete custody record and state the legal basis for detention; and (2) provide Petitioner a prompt, individualized bond hearing before a neutral decision-maker. In the alternative, Petitioner requests immediate release from custody under appropriate conditions of supervision.

AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

---

**Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury**

If you are incarcerated, on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the information in this petition is true and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution for perjury.


Date: 01/16/2026

*No signature / Detained*  
*Signature of Petitioner*

*Signature of Attorney or other authorized person, if any*

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (28 U.S.C. § 2241)**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner Mariano Enrique Coronel Esmeral ("Petitioner"), A  respectfully submits this Memorandum of Law in support of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner is currently in the custody of the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") and is confined at, or under the authority of, Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center, 3262 Highway 252 East, Folkston, Georgia 31537. Petitioner reports that he was taken into immigration custody on December 13, 2025, and has remained continuously detained.

This case presents a straightforward due process violation: Petitioner sought custody/bond redetermination in Immigration Court, but the Immigration Judge denied the request not after an individualized determination of danger or flight risk, but because the court concluded it lacked jurisdiction to adjudicate bond and expressly made no ruling on the merits of the bond request. As a result, Petitioner remains subject to continuing civil detention without meaningful access to individualized custody review.

Petitioner also has substantial family ties and equities supporting release. He is married and is the primary financial provider for his household. His wife is economically dependent on him for basic living expenses. Petitioner is also the father of two daughters, one of whom is a United States citizen and the other a Colombian national. Both children are emotionally dependent on Petitioner, who plays a central role in their daily care, emotional stability, and well-being. Petitioner's

continued detention has caused substantial emotional and financial hardship to his wife and children and has disrupted the stability of the family unit.

## **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 because Petitioner challenges the legality of his present physical custody by federal officials. Habeas relief is available to remedy unlawful immigration detention and due process violations arising from civil confinement. See *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001).

Venue is proper in the district of confinement. In a core habeas challenge to present physical detention, the proper respondent is generally the immediate custodian. See *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426 (2004). Because Petitioner is detained in Folkston, Georgia, this Court has authority to grant effective relief.

## **III. RELEVANT FACTS**

Petitioner is in removal proceedings and is charged as removable under INA § 212(a)(6)(A)(i). DHS alleges Petitioner is a citizen of Colombia and alleges an entry near Tecate, California, on or about June 21, 2023, without admission or parole. Petitioner remains detained at or under the authority of Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center in Folkston, Georgia.

Petitioner sought immigration court review of custody, including a request for custody/bond redetermination. On January 15, 2026, in custody redetermination proceedings, the Immigration Judge denied the request because the court lacked jurisdiction to adjudicate bond redetermination and therefore made no ruling on the bond request itself. The written order states that the decision is final unless appealed to the Board of Immigration Appeals within the applicable deadline.

Petitioner reports that on or about the date he was taken into immigration custody, he was stopped while inside his vehicle, removed from the vehicle, placed in handcuffs, and transported by individuals who did not identify themselves at the time of the stop, and some of whom had their faces covered. Petitioner further reports that he was later taken to another location where personnel communicated with him in Spanish and that his personal documents were discarded. These circumstances raise serious concerns regarding the integrity of the initial apprehension and underscore the need for prompt judicial review and production of the complete custody record.

Petitioner has strong family ties and significant humanitarian equities favoring release. Petitioner is married and is the primary financial provider for his household. His wife is economically dependent on him for basic living expenses. Petitioner is also the father of two daughters, one of whom is a United States citizen and the other a Colombian national. Both children are emotionally dependent on Petitioner, who plays a central role in their daily care, emotional stability, and well-being. Petitioner's continued detention has caused substantial emotional and financial hardship to his wife and children and has disrupted the stability of the family unit.

#### **IV. LEGAL STANDARD**

The Due Process Clause applies to noncitizens and protects against arbitrary civil detention. Civil confinement must bear a reasonable relationship to its purposes and must be accompanied by procedures sufficient to guard against erroneous deprivation of liberty. When immigration detention becomes constitutionally problematic as applied, habeas relief is available. See *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001).

Although *Jennings v. Rodriguez*, 583 U.S. 281 (2018), rejected reading a categorical bond-hearing requirement into certain detention statutes as a matter of statutory interpretation, *Jennings* did not foreclose as-applied constitutional challenges. Federal courts retain authority in § 2241 proceedings to address whether detention and the procedures actually available in a given case satisfy due process.

## **V. ARGUMENT**

### **A. Habeas relief is appropriate because Petitioner challenges present physical detention and the absence of meaningful custody review.**

Petitioner challenges his ongoing physical confinement by federal officials and seeks relief from detention that is unconstitutional as applied. Where a detainee is confined without meaningful access to individualized custody review, habeas is the appropriate vehicle to remedy the deprivation of liberty and to require constitutionally adequate procedures.

### **B. The Immigration Court's jurisdictional denial forecloses meaningful bond adjudication and renders administrative remedies unavailable or ineffective.**

Petitioner attempted to obtain custody/bond redetermination through EOIR. The Immigration Judge denied the request solely on jurisdictional grounds and expressly made no ruling on the merits of the bond request. This posture is critical. Petitioner is not simply challenging an adverse discretionary bond determination; he is detained in circumstances where the Immigration Court has declined to adjudicate bond at all.

When the agency forum that ordinarily provides individualized custody review becomes unavailable due to a jurisdictional refusal, continued detention without meaningful bond adjudication raises serious due process concerns. Requiring additional administrative steps that do

not provide meaningful merits review would be futile and would not cure the ongoing constitutional violation.

**C. Continued detention without meaningful individualized bond review violates due process as applied here, particularly given family hardship and strong equities.**

Due process requires procedures that meaningfully reduce the risk of erroneous and arbitrary civil confinement. Here, Petitioner remains detained after the Immigration Court declined jurisdiction over bond and did not reach an individualized merits determination. Without a meaningful opportunity to obtain individualized custody review, detention operates as a default rather than a tailored civil restraint justified by evidence.

The equities further support immediate relief. Petitioner has a wife and two daughters who depend on him for emotional and financial support. Continued detention causes severe hardship to his immediate family, including disruption of caregiving and household stability. These family ties also mitigate any flight risk and support release under reasonable conditions. These significant family ties and dependencies further demonstrate that continued detention is excessive and unnecessary, and that Petitioner is an appropriate candidate for release under reasonable conditions of supervision.

**D. The proper remedy is immediate release or, at minimum, an expedited individualized bond hearing with constitutionally adequate procedures.**

Where detention is constitutionally problematic because meaningful individualized custody review is unavailable, this Court has authority to grant effective relief. The Court may order release, or at minimum, order a prompt individualized custody hearing before a neutral decision-maker with procedures sufficient to satisfy due process. At such a hearing, the

Government should be required to justify continued detention based on individualized evidence, and Petitioner should be permitted to present evidence and alternatives to detention, including family support and conditions of supervision.

In addition, Petitioner's account of irregularities surrounding the initial apprehension underscores the need for immediate judicial review and an order requiring Respondents to produce the complete custody record and clearly state the legal basis for detention.

## **VI. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court grant the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and order: (1) Petitioner's immediate release from ICE custody; or, in the alternative, (2) a prompt, individualized custody/bond hearing with constitutionally adequate procedures; and (3) any further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Charles R. Mackenzie, Esq.**

Freedom Way Immigration & Mackenzie Law Offices

250 Park Avenue – Suite 7003, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10177


Tel: (929) 670-0567

Email: [gerenciafreedomway@gmail.com](mailto:gerenciafreedomway@gmail.com)


Charles R. MacKenzie  
MacKenzie Law Offices  
250 Park Avenue, STE 7003, 7 FL  
New York, NY 10177  
Phone: 929-670-0567  
Email: gerenciafreedomway@gmail.com

01/16/2026

**AFFIDAVIT OF ATTORNEY CHARLES R. MACKENZIE**

In Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus  
(Mariano Enrique Coronel Esmeral, A )

I, Charles R. MacKenzie, declare the following:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of New York, Bar No. 2653954, and I am in good standing. My professional address is 250 Park Avenue, Suite 7003, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10177. My telephone number is 929-670-0567, and my email address is gerenciafreedomway@gmail.com.
2. I represent Mariano Enrique Coronel Esmeral ("Mr. Coronel Esmeral" or "Petitioner"), A#  in his immigration proceedings before the Executive Office for Immigration Review ("EOIR").
3. My representation relates to Petitioner's immigration case, including custody and bond-related matters. I am not entering an appearance as counsel in the federal habeas corpus proceedings; this affidavit is submitted solely as supporting evidence based on my role as Petitioner's immigration counsel.
4. Based on my review of Petitioner's immigration file and my representation of him, I am familiar with the procedural posture of his case.
5. Mr. Coronel Esmeral has been detained by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") since December 13, 2025, and has remained continuously in ICE custody since that date.
6. Petitioner is currently detained at, or under the authority of, Folkston D. Ray ICE Processing Center, located at 3262 Highway 252 East, Folkston, Georgia 31537.
7. Petitioner's removal proceedings are pending before the Atlanta Immigration Court (Ted Turner Drive). He is charged as removable under INA § 212(a)(6)(A)(i).
8. Petitioner sought custody and bond redetermination before the Immigration Court. On January 15, 2026, the Immigration Judge issued a written order denying custody/bond redetermination for lack of jurisdiction, expressly stating that the court made no ruling on the bond request itself.

9. Because the Immigration Court denied bond redetermination on jurisdictional grounds, Petitioner has been unable to obtain any meaningful individualized custody determination on the merits, including any assessment of danger or flight risk.
10. Additionally, ICE/DHS has not provided Petitioner with key custody documentation necessary to meaningfully evaluate or challenge the legal basis for his detention, including Form I-213 and Form I-286, despite requests.
11. Petitioner has significant family and humanitarian equities. He is married and is the primary financial provider for his household. His wife is economically dependent on him for basic living expenses. Petitioner is also the father of two daughters, one of whom is a United States citizen and the other a Colombian national. Both children are emotionally dependent on Petitioner, who plays a central role in their care, stability, and well-being. Petitioner's continued detention has caused substantial emotional and financial hardship to his wife and children.
12. Based on my professional knowledge of Petitioner's case, the denial of bond based solely on jurisdiction, and the absence of any meaningful custody adjudication, Petitioner remains detained without an effective administrative avenue to seek release. Continued detention under these circumstances causes severe hardship and warrants prompt judicial review and relief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 16, 2026,  
at New York, New York.

MacKenzie Law Offices  
250 Park Avenue, STE 7003, 7 FL  
New York, NY 10177  
Phone: 929-670-0567  
Email: gerenciafreedomway@gmail.com

# EXHIBIT A

Official Website of the Department of Homeland Security



**U.S. Immigration  
and Customs  
Enforcement**

Report Crimes: Email or Call 1-866-DHS-2-ICE


[Home](#) [Who We Are](#) [What We Do](#) [Newsroom](#) [Information Library](#) [Contact ICE](#)

[<BACK TO RESULTS](#)

## Center Page

Detention Information For:

MARIANO ENRIQUE CORONEL ESMERAL



Current Detention Center:

[LEARN MORE>](#)

## ERO Office Information

[BACK TO SEARCH>](#)

## Related Information

### Useful Information

- [Case Status](#)
- [About the Detainee Locator](#)
- [Brochure](#)
- [ICE ERO Field Offices](#)
- [ICE Detention Centers](#)
- [Privacy Notice](#)

### External Links

- [Network of Prisons Inmate](#)



U.S. Department of Homeland Security | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement | 2025-01-27 10:00 AM EST

Uploaded on: 12/24/2025 at 12:03:40 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Base City: NYC

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

NOTICE TO EOIR: ALIEN ADDRESS


Event No: 

Date: December 24, 2025

To: Enter Name of BIA or Immigration Court I-830 NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK  
 Enter BIA or Immigration Court Three Letter Code@usdoj.gov NYC

From: Enter Name of ICE Office ERO - D Ray ICE Processing Center  
 Enter Street Address of ICE Office 3262 GA-252

Enter City, State and Zip Code of ICE Office Folkston, GA 31537

Respondent: Enter Respondent's Name CORONEL ESMERAL, MARIANO  
 Alien File No: Enter Respondent's Alien Number 

This is to notify you that this respondent is:

Currently incarcerated by federal, state or local authorities. A charging document has been served on the respondent and an Immigration Detainer-Notice of Action by the ICE (Form I-247) has been filed with the institution shown below. He/she is incarcerated at:  
 Enter Name of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Street Address of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter City, State and Zip code of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Respondent's Inmate Number \_\_\_\_\_

His/her anticipated release date is Enter Respondent's Anticipated Release Date. \_\_\_\_\_

Detained by ICE on Enter Date Respondent was Detained by ICE at: December 13, 2025  
 Enter Name of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent is being detained FOLKSTON D RAY ICE PROCESSING CTR  
 Enter Street Address of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent is being detained 3262 HIGHWAY 252  
 Enter City, State and Zip Code of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent is being detained FOLKSTON GA 31537

Detained by ICE and transferred on Enter Date Respondent was transferred to: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Name of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent has been transferred \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Street Address of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent has been transferred \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter City, State and Zip Code of ICE Detention Facility where Respondent has been transferred \_\_\_\_\_

Released from ICE custody on the following condition(s):  
 Order of Supervision or Own Recognizance (Form I-220A)  
 Bond in the amount of Enter Dollar Amount of Respondent's Bond \_\_\_\_\_  
 Removed, Deported, or Excluded  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

Upon release from ICE custody, the respondent reported his/her address and telephone number would be:  
 Enter Respondent's Street Address \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Respondent's City, State and Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_  
 Enter Respondent's Telephone Number (including area code) \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby certify that the respondent was provided an EOIR-33 Form and notified that they must inform the Immigration Court of any further change of address.

ICE Official: Enter Your First, Last Name and Title Deportation Officer DWAYNE MAURICE *Dwayne P. Maurice*

Uploaded on: 12/27/2025 at 06:22 51 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Base City: NYC  
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

**NOTICE TO EOIR: ALIEN ADDRESS**

Date: December 24th, 2025

To: ELIZABETH DETENTION CENTER  
ELZ

From: ERO - Elizabeth, NJ Detention Center, Sub Office  
U.S. IMMIGRATION & CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT DRO - Elizabeth,  
NJ Sub Office 625 EVANS STREET  
ELIZABETH, NJ 07201

Respondent: CORONEL ESMERAL . MARIANO

Alien File No. 

This is to notify you that this respondent is:

Currently incarcerated by federal, state or local authorities. A charging document has been served on the respondent and an Immigration Detainer-Notice of Action by the ICE (Form I-247) has been filed with the institution shown below. He/she is incarcerated at:

Enter Name of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_

Enter Street Address of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_

Enter City, State and Zip code of Institution where Respondent is being detained \_\_\_\_\_

**Enter Respondent's Inmate Number** \_\_\_\_\_

His/her anticipated release date is Enter Respondent's Anticipated Release Date. \_\_\_\_\_

Detained by ICE on 12/20/2025

at: Folkston D Ray ICE Processing Center

3026 GA-252 E

Folkston, GA 31537

Detained by ICE and transferred on \_\_\_\_\_

to: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Released from ICE custody on the following condition(s):

- Order of Supervision or Own Recognizance (Form I-220A)
- Bond in the amount of Enter Dollar Amount of Respondent's Bond
- Removed, Deported, or Excluded
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

Upon release from ICE custody, the respondent reported his/her address and telephone number would be:

Enter Respondent's Street Address \_\_\_\_\_

Enter Respondent's City, State and Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

Enter Respondent's Telephone Number (including area code) \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby certify that the respondent was provided an EOIR-33 Form and notified that they must inform the Immigration Court of any further change of address.

ICE Official: ISO Mirhom