

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

1. Gurlal SINGH SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

2. Mary De Anda-Ybarra et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. CIV-26-128-J

**PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO VACATE IN
PART THE COURT'S ORDER IN RESPONSE TO PETITION**

In response to the filing of the Petition in this case, the Court ordered Respondents to produce documents and evidence pertaining to Petitioner, including but not limited to removal orders, Orders of Supervision, Notices to Appear, and detention decisions. Respondents now request that the Court vacate this provision of its Order. Petitioner respectfully opposes vacatur.

INTRODUCTION

On January 30, 2026, the Court entered an Order governing the initial proceedings in this habeas matter and specifically directed Respondents to produce "any and all documents/evidence in the possession of ICE officials pertaining to the Petitioner," including key custody and charging documents. Doc. No. 6.

That directive is important to ensure the Court has an adequate record to resolve the Petition efficiently and accurately.

ARGUMENT

Respondents seek to vacate the Court's production requirement on the premise that Petitioner's A-File is "voluminous" and contains documents Respondents deem irrelevant. But the Court requires the record necessary to evaluate the legality of Petitioner's custody and the statutory authority for detention (and, where applicable, the basis for re-detention or revocation of release).

If the Court determines additional documentation is necessary beyond what has already been submitted, the appropriate remedy is not to vacate, but to order Respondents to produce the relevant portions of the file sufficient to address the issues raised by the Petition—particularly the custody and proceedings materials the Court already identified as examples (e.g., removal-order documentation, Orders of Supervision, and Decisions to continue Detention).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court deny Respondents' motion to vacate the document-production provision and direct Respondents to produce the relevant portions of Petitioner's file.

DATED this 10th day of February, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Christine Hoffman

Christine Hoffman, OB#35023
Gilarranz-Hoffman Law, PLLC
5830 NW Expressway, #177

Oklahoma City, OK 73132
Telephone: 405-726-1075
christine@cghoffmanlaw.com
Attorney for Petitioner