

1 ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney
2 ROBBIN O. LEE
Assistant United States Attorney
3 New York Bar No. 5738067
Office of the U.S. Attorney
4 880 Front Street, Room 6293
San Diego, CA 92101-8893
5 Telephone: (619) 546-7462
Email: robbin.lee@usdoj.gov

6 Attorneys for Respondents
7
8

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11
12 JUNYA ZHANG,

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 PAMELA BONDI, et al,

16 Respondents.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 3:26-cv-00468-CAB-MSB

RESPONSE TO PETITION

1 On July 8, 2025, the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) instituted a notice
2 titled “Interim Guidance Regarding Detention Authority for Applicants for Admission”
3 (the “Notice”) requiring, in general, that anyone arrested in the United States and charged
4 with being inadmissible to be considered an “applicant for admission” under 8 U.S.C.
5 § 1225(b)(2)(A), subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A) and not
6 subject to detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).

7 In *Maldonado Bautista v. Santacruz*, No. 5:25-CV-01873-SSS-BFM, --- F.R.D. ----
8 , 2025 WL 3289861 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2025), the district court declared the Notice
9 unlawful under the Administrative Procedures Act but did not issue a final judgment. On
10 December 18, 2025, however, the *Bautista* court entered final judgement. *Bautista*, ECF
11 No. 94. Accordingly, Respondents acknowledge that Petitioner is detained under 8 U.S.C.
12 § 1226(a) and is entitled to an order from this Court directing a bond hearing be held
13 pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).¹

14 Respondents reserve the right to supplement this response in the event of a stay of
15 enforcement of the *Bautista* final judgment, appellate relief, or a change in DHS policy.

16
17 DATED: January 30, 2026

ADAM GORDON
United States Attorney

s/Robbin O. Lee
Robbin O. Lee
Assistant United States Attorney

18
19
20
21
22
23
24 ¹ In its Order to Respond, ECF No. 2, the Court also ordered Respondents to notify the
25 Court whether they agree Petitioner is entitled to a bond hearing by February 10, 2026.
26 While Respondents do not necessarily oppose such an order, to the extent the Court issues
27 an order directing a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), considering the heavy
28 caseloads and staffing levels, Respondents respectfully request that such order provide the
Government at least 14 days from issuance to hold such a hearing.