

1 preservation of his liberty interest necessitates a final ruling on his habeas petition
2 as soon as possible. *Rodriguez v. Bostock*, 9th Cir. No. 25-6842, is distinguishable
3 from this case because it did not involve a re-detention, as happened here.
4

5 Regardless of the outcome of the *Rodriguez v. Bostock* case, Petitioner has still
6 suffered a constitutional violation. Petitioner would be further prejudiced by a
7 delay of the adjudication on the merits.
8

9 Date: January 18, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Cara Jobson

Cara Jobson
Wiley & Jobson

/s/ Joye Wiley

Joye Wiley
Wiley & Jobson
Attorneys for Petitioner