

Respondents bear the burden of justifying Petitioner's continued detention. Petitioner also requests that the Court set aside recent policies implemented by Respondents that are contrary to the statutory right of non-citizens like Petitioner to seek release and bail through an exercise of the Respondents' discretion.

Mr. Jacinto Salas is a native of Peru seeking asylum in the United States. Mr. Jacinto Salas entered the United States on September 26, 2022, near San Luis, Arizona and was encountered by the Department of Homeland Security and was detained, prior to being paroled on September 8, 2022. See US Department of Homeland Security Parole Certificate attached as Exhibit "A".

A Master's Hearing has been scheduled for February 9, 2026, before the Immigration Court in Elizabeth, New Jersey. See EOIR Case Automated Information attached as Exhibit "B".

On January 16, 2025, Mr. Jacinto Salas attended a routine check-in at an ICE Office in Philadelphia, PA. ICE agents arrested Mr. Jacinto Salas without stated justification. Respondents arbitrarily detained, Mr. Jacinto Salas despite the requirement under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and its implementing regulations that immigration officials make an individualized custody determination. Moreover, Respondents have adopted policies enshrined in administrative decisions by the

Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) that subject non-citizens like Mr. Jacinto Salas to mandatory detention in violation of Section 1226(a).

Mr. Jacinto Salas is presently detained at the Federal Detention Center in Philadelphia. See ICE Detainee locator and Federal Bureau of Prison inmate locator attached, collectively, as Exhibit “C”.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus); 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act); 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); 5 U.S.C. § 702 (Administrative Procedures Act); U.S. Const. amend. V (Due Process Clause); and U.S. Const. art. I, § 9, cl. 2 (Suspension Clause).

2. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), because at the time of filing his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1), Mr. Jacinto Salas is imprisoned at the Federal Detention Center (“FDC”) in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

3. Petitioner Mr. Jacinto Salas is a native of Peru seeking asylum and withholding from removal. He is detained at the Federal Detention Center in Philadelphia, PA.

4. Respondent J.L. Jamison is named in his official capacity as the Warden of FDC, Philadelphia, which detains individuals suspected of civil immigration violations. Respondent Jamison is the immediate physical custodian responsible for the detention of Petitioner.

5. Respondent Brian McShane is the Acting Philadelphia Field Office Director for Immigration and Customs Enforcement's ("ICE") Enforcement and Removal Operations. In this capacity he is responsible for the custody of all noncitizens detained by ICE at FDC, Philadelphia and has the authority to release Mr. Jacinto Salas or transfer him to a different facility. He is one of Mr. Jacinto Salas's immediate custodians and is sued in his official capacity.

6. Respondent Todd Lyons is the Acting Director of ICE. In this capacity he is responsible for enforcing immigration laws, and as such is a legal custodian of Mr. Jacinto Salas. He is sued in his official capacity.

7. Respondent Kristi Noem is Secretary of Homeland Security. In this capacity she runs the Department of Homeland Security, and is charged pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(1) with administering and enforcing immigration laws. She is the ultimate legal custodian of Mr. Jacinto Salas and is sued in her official capacity.

8. The Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) is the agency of the federal government responsible for enforcing the immigration laws. DHS is also Mr. Jacinto Salas’s legal custodian.

9. Respondent Pamela Bondi is the Attorney General of the United States and the head of the U.S. Department of Justice, which encompasses the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) and immigration courts, known collectively as the Executive Office of Immigration Review (“EOIR”). Ms. Bondi shares responsibility for the implementation and enforcement of immigration laws along with Respondent Noem. Ms. Bondi is a legal custodian of Mr. Jacinto Salas. She is sued in her official capacity.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

10. For non-citizens attempting to enter the United States, the INA provides under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A) that “in the case of [a non-citizen] who is an applicant from admission, if the examining immigration officer determines that an alien seeking admission is not clearly and beyond a doubt entitled to be admitted, the alien shall be detained.” “A noncitizen detained under Section 1225(b)(2) may be released only if he is paroled ‘for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit’ pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)(A).” *Gomes v. Hyde*,

25 Civ. 11571, 2025 WL 1868288, at *2 (D. Mass. July 7, 2025) (quoting *Jennings v. Rodriguez*, 583 U.S. 281, 300 (2018)).

11. In contrast, the Supreme Court has found that “U.S. immigration law authorizes the Government to detain certain [non-citizens] *already in the country* pending the outcome of removal proceedings under §§ 1226(a) and (c).” *Jennings*, 583 U.S. at 288-89.

12. Section 236 of the INA provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) Arrest, Detention, and Release. On a warrant issued by the Attorney General, *an alien may be arrested and detained pending a decision on whether the alien is to be removed from the United States*. Except as provided in subsection (c) and pending such decision, *the Attorney General—*

(1) *may continue to detain the arrested alien; and*

(2) *may release the alien on—*

(A) *bond of at least \$1,500 with security approved by, and containing conditions prescribed by, the Attorney General; or*

(B) *conditional parole ...*

13. The Supreme Court has interpreted similar “may” language in other provisions of the INA to require “some level of individualized determination.” *I.N.S. v. Nat’l Ctr. For Immigrants’ Rights*, 502 U.S. 183, 194 (1991). The regulation implementing Respondents’ authority to arrest non-citizens present in the United States reads:

“Any officer authorized to issue a warrant of arrest may, in the officer’s discretion, release an alien not described in [8 U.S.C. §

1236(c)(1)] of the Act, under the conditions at section [8 U.S.C. § 1236(a)(2) and (3)]; *provided that the alien must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the officer that such release would not pose a danger to property or persons, and that the alien is likely to appear for any future proceeding.*”

8 U.S.C. § 1236.1(c)(8).

14. Noncitizens may request a review of an initial custody determination before an Immigration Judge (“IJ”). 8 C.F.R. § 1236.1(d)(1); 8 C.F.R. § 1002.19(a). At this hearing an IJ may make the decision “upon any information that is available to the [Immigration Judge] or that is presented to him or her by the [non-citizen] or the [government].” 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(d); *see also Matter of Guerra*, 24 I&N Dec. 37, 39 (BIA 2006). Non-citizens may appeal a negative decision in a custody review before an IJ to the Board of Immigration Appeals. 8 C.F.R. § 1236.1(d)(3)(i). The current statutory scheme was created through the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (“IIRIRA”).

15. For the first time in nearly three decades, Respondents have taken the position through a series of precedential decisions by the Board of Immigration Appeals that non-citizens residing in the interior of the United States are not entitled to a custody redetermination (a “bond hearing”) before an Immigration Judge.

16. First, in *Matter of Q. Li*, 29 I&N Dec. 66 (BIA 2025), the BIA held that a non-citizen who had been apprehended at the border and subsequently released into the United States is subject to mandatory detention without a possibility of bail upon re-detention, pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b), even if that re-detention occurs years after their initial release from custody. The BIA reasoned that “an applicant for admission who is arrested and detained without a warrant while in the process of arriving in the United States, whether or not at a port of entry, and subsequently placed in removal proceedings is detained under section [1225(b)] [], and is ineligible for any subsequent release on bond under section [1226(a)].” *Q. Li*, 29 I&N Dec. at 74.

17. Then in *Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I&N Dec. 216 (BIA 2025), the BIA stated that all non-citizens who are present in the United States without admission are subject to mandatory detention under Section 1225(b), regardless of how long they have been residing in the U.S. and absent any prior interaction with immigration authorities.

FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

18. Mr. Jacinto Salas is a native of Peru.

19. On September 6, 2022, Mr. Jacinto Salas entered the United States at San Luis, Arizona. Officials from Customs and Border Patrol (“CBP”), a

sub-agency of DHS, admitted Mr. Jacinto Salas into the United States after he was paroled on September 8, 2022.

20. Mr. Jacinto Salas has submitted his I-589, Application for Asylum, with the Department of Homeland Security. He was issued a social security card and has a valid work permit.

21. Mr. Jacinto Salas was issued a Notice to Appear charging him as removable from the United States pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i) (“A [non-citizen] present in the United States without being admitted or paroled, or who arrives in the United States at any time or place other than as designated by the Attorney General, is inadmissible”).

22. Mr. Jacinto Salas settled in Reading, Pennsylvania where he was living before his incarceration.

23. On two occasions prior to his arrest, Mr. Jacinto Salas reported to the ICE office in Philadelphia, PA for a check-in without incident.

24. On January 16, 2026, Mr. Jacinto Salas attended a check-in at the ICE office in Philadelphia, PA. Without prior warning, an ICE official took Mr. Jacinto Salas into custody. Mr. Jacinto Salas was transported to FDC, Philadelphia. He remains detained there.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, U.S. CONST. AMEND. V

25. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

26. The Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause prevents the Government from depriving any person of "life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." U.S. Const. amend. V.

27. The Due Process Clause extends to noncitizens residing in the United States, whether they have lawful status or not. *See Mathews v. Diaz*, 426 U.S. 67, 77 (1976); *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678, 693 (2001). Specifically, "[i]t is well established that the Fifth Amendment entitles [non-citizens] to due process of law in deportation proceedings. *Reno v. Flores*, 507 U.S. 292, 306 (1993); *see also Abdulai v. Ashcroft*, 239 F.3d 542, 549 (3d Cir. 2001) ("[Non-citizens] facing removal are entitled to due process"); *Calderon-Rosas v. Atty' Gen.*, 957 F.3d 378, 386 (3d Cir. 2020) ("In sum, petitioners seeking discretionary relief are entitled to fundamentally fair removal proceedings, which constitutes a protected interest supporting a due process claim.").

28. Evaluating the adequacy of the process provided to a non-citizen requires a balancing of factors. “First, the private interest that will be affected by the official action; second, the risk of an erroneous deprivation of such interest through the procedures used, and the probable value, if any, of additional or substitute procedural safeguards; and finally, the Government's interest, including the function involved and the fiscal and administrative burdens that the additional or substitute procedural requirement would entail.” *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976).

29. First, Mr. Jacinto Salas faces “the most significant liberty interest there is—the interest in being free from imprisonment.” *Velasco Lopez v. Decker*, 978 F.3d 842, 851 (2d Cir. 2020) (citing *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*, 542 U.S. 507, 529 (2004)). Second, Respondents have erroneously deprived Mr. Jacinto Salas of his liberty without any individualized assessment of his circumstances. Third, Respondents did not make any individualized finding that Mr. Jacinto Salas was a danger or flight risk, so there does not appear to be a significant government interest in detaining Mr. Jacinto Salas.

30. An application of these factors requires that Mr. Jacinto Salas should have been provided with additional process before being detained.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a)

31. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

32. The mandatory detention provision at 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2) does not apply to all noncitizens residing in the United States who are subject to grounds of inadmissibility. As, relevant here, it does not apply to those who have been residing in the United States at liberty after being briefly detained at or near the border. Such noncitizens, if detained, are done so under § 1226(a), and are generally eligible release on bond.

33. Respondents' authority to detain Mr. Jacinto Salas is derived from 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) as Mr. Jacinto Salas is already present in the United States.

34. Respondents have detained Mr. Jacinto Salas without making an individualized determination regarding whether he posed a danger or flight risk as required by 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and its regulations.

35. Moreover, Respondents' current policies as set forth in the BIA's decisions in *Matter of Q. Li* and *Matter of Yajure Hurtado* unlawfully prevent Mr. Jacinto Salas from obtaining a custody redetermination in front of an Immigration Judge as is his right by statute.

COUNT THREE

VIOLATION OF THE BOND REGULATIONS, 8 C.F.R. §236.1, 1236.1 and 1003.19

36. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

37. In 1997, after Congress amended the INA through IIRIRA, EOIR the then-Immigration and Naturalization Service issued an interim rule to interpret and apply IIRIRA. Specifically, under the heading of “Apprehension, Custody, and Detention of [Non-citizens],” the agencies explained that “[d]espite being applicants for admission, [noncitizens] who are present without having been admitted or paroled (formerly referred to as [noncitizens] who entered without inspection) *will be eligible for bond and bond redetermination.*” 62 Fed. Reg. at 10323 (emphasis added). Thus, the agencies made clear that non-citizens present in the United States would be eligible for consideration for bond and bond hearings before IJs under 8 U.S.C. § 1226 and its implementing regulations.

38. Yet, Respondents have adopted a policy and practice of applying § 1225(b)(2) to non-citizens like Mr. Jacinto Salas who are present in the United States without being admitted or paroled.

39. The application of § 1225(b)(2) to Mr. Jacinto Salas unlawfully mandates his continued detention in violation of 8 C.F.R. §§ 236.1, 1236.1, and 1003.19.

COUNT FOUR

VIOLATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT (“APA”), 5 U.S.C. § 701, et. seq.

40. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

41. Mr. Jacinto Salas is aggrieved by agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701 *et. seq.* Specifically, Respondents have acted arbitrarily in detaining Mr. Jacinto Salas without conducting an individualized determination into his circumstances. In other words, Respondents have not presented any indication that Mr. Jacinto Salas’s circumstances have changed such that he is now a danger or flight risk in a way that he was not when he was admitted into the United States at the border in September of 2022.

42. Additionally, Respondents’ recent policies announced through administrative decisions issued by the BIA unlawfully withhold the right to a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) to Mr. Jacinto Salas.

43. These policies are arbitrary, capricious, and not in accordance with the text of the INA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Court grant the following relief:

1. Issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus ordering Respondents to release Petitioner from custody immediately on his own recognizance or under parole, bond or reasonable conditions of supervision, on the ground that his continued detention by the Department of Homeland Security violates his Due Process rights;
2. Set aside Respondents' unlawful detention policy contained *Matter of Q. Li* and *Matter of Yajure Hurtado* under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2), as contrary to law, arbitrary and capricious, and contrary to constitutional right;
3. Issue a writ requiring an immediate, constitutionally adequate hearing before an Immigration Judge, at which: (i) DHS bears the burden to demonstrate, by clear and convincing evidence, that Petitioner's continued detention is necessary, and (ii) the immigration judge considers Petitioner's ability to pay a bond.

4. While this petition is pending, order Petitioner's immediate release pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to release habeas corpus petitioners on bail;

5. Enter a judgment declaring that Respondents' detention of Petitioner is unauthorized by statute and contrary to law and the U.S. Constitution;

6. Award Petitioner reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and

7. Grant any further relief that this Court may deem fit and proper.

Dated: January 21, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Jose C. Campos, Esquire
Jose C. Campos (PA Bar 207646)
The Campos Firm
251 East Broad Street
Bethlehem, PA 18018
p. (610) 868-2230
jc@jccamposlaw.com
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exh. A. US Department of Homeland Security Parol Certificate

Exh. B. EOIR Case Automated Information

Exh. C. ICE Detainee Case Locator

CONTROL Name (Last, First, Middle) JACINTO BALAS, AUGUSTO						
Birth	982	Age	40	Marital Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Widowed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single <input type="checkbox"/> Married <input type="checkbox"/> Separated <input type="checkbox"/> Divorced	File Number
Sex	M	Hair	BLK	Eyes	BRO	Complexion
						MED
				Height	68	Weight
						160
Scars or Marks TATTOO BACK						
U.S. Address/Mail (Number) (Street) (City) (State) (ZIP CODE) ING, PENNSYLVANIA 196013224						
Alien's Telephone # (347)				Date of Action 09/08/2022		Location Code YUS
City, Province (State) and Country of Birth LIMA, LIMA, PERU					Country of Citizenship PERU	
Date, Place, Time, and Manner of Last Entry/Attempted Entry 09/06/2022, 2015, 14 mile(s) NE of SLD, PWA (Afoot)					Status at Entry PWA Mexico	
Foreign Address/Residence (Number, Street, City, Province (State), Country) JIRON LAS AMERICAS 380 COMAS, LIMA PERU						
Method of Location/Apprehension PB UNKNOWN				(At/Near) SAN LOUIS, AZ		Date & Hour 09/06/2022 2049

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
PAROLED
Until November 05, 2022
Purpose: 212(d)(5)
09/06/22 SDC JAN E36
(Date) (Location) (Officer)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Retreat ID



 An official website of the United States government
Here's how you know



EOIR Automated Case Information

Court Closures Today January 21, 2026

Please check <https://www.justice.gov/eoir-operational-status> for up to date closures.

[Home](#) > [JACINTO SALAS, AUGUSTO](#) ()



Automated Case Information

Name: JACINTO SALAS, AUGUSTO | A-Number:  |
Docket Date: 1/20/2026

Next Hearing Information

Your upcoming **MASTER** hearing is on **February 9, 2026** at **1:30 PM**.

JUDGE

Ryan, Dennis

COURT ADDRESS

625 EVANS STREET ROOM 148A
ELIZABETH, NJ 07201



Court Decision and Motion Information



This case is pending.



BIA Case Information

No appeal was received for this case.



Court Contact Information

If you require further information regarding your case, or wish to file additional documents, please contact the immigration court.

COURT ADDRESS

625 EVANS STREET ROOM 148A
ELIZABETH, NJ 07201

PHONE NUMBER

(908) 787-1355

[Archive](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Information Quality](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Legal Policies & Disclaimers](#)

[Social Media](#)

[Budget & Performance](#)

[Office of the Inspector General](#)

[No FEAR Act](#)

[For Employees](#)

[EOIR Freedom of Information Act \(FOIA\)](#)

[USA.gov](#)

[Contact EOIR](#)

[EOIR Home](#)

[Justice.gov](#)

[Immigration Court Online Resource](#)

[Contact Technical Support](#)

This site is protected by hCaptcha:

[hCaptcha Privacy Policy](#)

[hCaptcha Terms of Service](#)

Department of Justice | Executive Office for Immigration Review
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2600, Falls Church, VA 22041



EOIR Automated Case Information



Main Menu

[< BACK TO RESULTS](#)

Facility Page

Detention Information For:

AUGUSTO JACINTO SALAS

Country of Birth: Peru

A-Number: 

Current Detention Facility:

Philadelphia Federal Detention Center

700 Arch Street

NA

Philadelphia, PA 19106

Visitor Information: (215) 521-4000

[MORE INFORMATION >](#)

ERO Office Information

Family members and legal representatives may be able to obtain additional information about this individual's case by contacting this ERO office:

PHILADELPHIA, PA, DOCKET CONTROL OFFICE

Phone Number: (215) 656-7164

[BACK TO SEARCH >](#)

Related Information

- ### Helpful Info
- [Status of a Case](#)
 - [About the Detainee Locator](#)
 - [Brochure](#)
 - [ICE ERO Field Offices](#)
 - [ICE Detention Facilities](#)
 - [Privacy Notice](#)

- ### External Links
- [Bureau of Prisons Inmate Locator](#)



[DHS.gov](#)[USA.gov](#)[OIG](#)[OpenFOIA](#)[Metrics](#) [No Site](#) [Site](#)
[Gov](#) [FearMap](#)[Policies](#)
[Act](#) [&](#)
[Plug-](#)
[Ins](#)

