



go forward with the bond hearing, but because ICE did not bring Mr. Sanchez to the hearing, the immigration judge could not proceed.

Instead of conducting the bond hearing the afternoon of February 24, 2026, the immigration court reset the hearing for February 25, 2026 at 1:00 pm. *See* Attachment. Critically, a hearing outside the seven-day period provided by this Court fails to comply with the Court's order. It is also problematic. Most notably, should Mr. Sanchez receive a bond ruling in his favor at the afternoon hearing, and if the immigration judge issued a simultaneous (and not a later-filed written) order granting bond, it will likely be too late in the day for ICE to accept payment, leading to further delay in an improper detention that is closing in on nine months. Additionally, upon information and belief, the immigration court in Aurora, Colorado has been plagued by technical difficulties this week thus not even guaranteeing that an afternoon hearing on February 25, 2026 will transpire. Mr. Sanchez therefore requests the Court order Respondents to immediately release him where they have been unable to comply with this Court's instructions. *See* ECF No. 1, Prayer for Relief ¶ 5; *Pena-Gil v. Lyons*, No. 25-CV-03268-PAB-NRN, 2026 WL 25143, at \*2 (D. Colo. Jan. 5, 2026) (“[B]ecause a federal court always retains jurisdiction to enforce its lawful judgments, including habeas judgments, the court has the authority to see that its judgment is fully effectuated.”) (quoting *Gall v. Scroggy*, 603 F.3d 346, 352 (6th Cir. 2010)).

Dated: February 24, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarah L. Vuong  
SARAH L. VUONG  
Ariela Lake Law & Consulting, PLLC  
3355 Hudson St., #7098  
Denver, CO 80207  
(202) 996-5757  
sarah@allc.law

Counsel for Petitioner

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

In accordance with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(a), I certify that on February 24, 2026, I contacted counsel for Respondents to discuss Respondents' failure to comply with this Court's order and the proposed motion to enforce. Notably, counsel for Respondents acknowledged the failure to complete the bond hearing today, February 24. Counsel for Respondents suggested a joint extension of time to allow Respondents to comply with the order, which, for the reasons discussed in this motion, were not acceptable to counsel for Petitioner. Counsel for Respondents and Petitioner discussed whether a hearing could take place in the morning on February 25, 2026, but for reasons described in this motion and Mr. Sanchez's immigration counsel's unavailability, that option was also not a reasonable alternative. The parties were unable to come to agreement on how to proceed without the Court's involvement.

Dated: February 24, 2026

/s/ Sarah L. Vuong  
SARAH L. VUONG  
Counsel for Petitioner