

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

Rubenia Argentina Rivera Munguia,

*Petitioner,*

-v-

Todd M. Lyons, Acting Director of US ICE;  
Miguel Vergara, San Antonio Field Office  
Director, US Immigration and Customs  
Enforcement; Daren K. Margolin, Director of  
the Executive Office for Immigration Review;  
Warden, Dilley Immigration Processing  
Center,

*Respondents.*

Case No: 5:26-cv-44

**Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus  
Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241**

**INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner Rubenia Argentina Rivera Munguia is an asylum seeker from Honduras who was unlawfully detained by Respondents on November 15, 2025, and is being held subject to mandatory detention without bond. She is ineligible for bond under Respondents' current policies. Respondents detained her without justification or warrant for her arrest. Without intervention by this Court, Petitioner will be subject to indefinite detention without the possibility of release on bond. For these reasons, she prays that this Court will grant her release from her unlawful detention.


**JURISDICTION**

1. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States and the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq.
2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and Article I, § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution (Suspension Clause).
3. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1361, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651 because Petitioner asks this Court to compel Respondents, officers of the United States, to perform their duties owed under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).
4. The jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 which authorizes the issuance of declaratory judgments.
5. Petitioner seeks costs and fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 and 28 U.S.C. § 2412(2) et seq.
6. This Court may grant relief under the habeas corpus statutes, 28 U.S.C. § 2241 et seq., the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

**VENUE**


7. Venue is proper in this District because Petitioner is detained at the Dilley Immigration Processing Center located at 300 El Rancho Wy, Dilley, TX 78017, which is within the jurisdiction of this District.
8. Venue is proper in this District because Respondents are officers, employees, or agencies of the United States and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Petitioner's claims occurred in this District.

**PARTIES**

9. Petitioner is an applicant for asylum who is being detained at the Dilley Immigration Processing Center located at 300 El Rancho Wy, Dilley, TX 78017. She was assigned alien number  Petitioner is under the direct control and in the custody of Respondents and their agents.
10. Respondent Todd M. Lyons is the Acting Director of US ICE. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Todd M. Lyons is sued in his official capacity.
11. Respondent Miguel Vergara is the San Antonio Field Office Director of US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Miguel Vergara is sued in his official capacity.
12. Respondent Daren K. Margolin is the Acting Director of the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR). He has the legal authority to order an immigration judge to provide Petitioner a fair and impartial bond hearing. He is sued in his official capacity.

13. The Warden of the Dilley Immigration Processing Center is sued as the direct custodian of Petitioner. The Warden's name is not publicly listed on any materials that were available at the time of filing. The Warden is sued in his or her official capacity.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

14. Petitioner came to the United States seeking asylum. She entered the United States on July 16, 2022, and was assigned alien number  See Exhibit A.
15. Petitioner was permitted to enter the United States and was released into the country to pursue any applications for relief that might entitle her to remain permanently. She was placed into full removal proceedings pursuant to Section 240 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. She is not in expedited removal proceedings. See Exhibit A.
16. Petitioner's immigration proceedings take place within the immigration courts administered by EOIR. Petitioner has applied for asylum and is permitted to remain in the United States until a final determination has been made on her application. See Exhibit B.
17. Petitioner is married to a US Citizen, Rosario Juanita Lagunes. Petitioner and her spouse have a common law marriage and have been unable to obtain a certificate of marriage due to her detention, despite their best efforts. See Exhibit C.
18. As the spouse of a US Citizen, Petitioner is eligible to remain in the United States and seek permanent residency. Her continued detention creates insurmountable hurdles for her to complete this process. She is unable to get a certificate of marriage, cannot obtain the required medical exam from a physician outside of the detention center, and will have to wait in detention while USCIS adjudicates her spouse's petition, which may require more than one year.

19. Petitioner was detained by US Immigration and Customs Enforcement officers on or around November 15, 2025. She was not provided any reason for her detention or warrant for her arrest. Her detention violated the conditions of her release because Respondents did not revoke their previous decision, nor did they adhere to any specific process before detaining her.
20. Petitioner continues to be unlawfully detained by Respondents. *See* Exhibit D.

**CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

**COUNT I**

**Violation of Fifth Amendment Right to Due Process**

21. The above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.
22. The Department of Homeland Security is detaining Petitioner under “mandatory detention” authority reserved for aliens who are in the process of entering the United States, 8 U.S.C. § 1225.
23. Respondents have determined that all individuals like Petitioner are ineligible for bond and must be detained due to a recent change in policy, despite her having resided freely in the United States for more than ten years.
24. The Executive Office for Immigration Review issued precedential decisions holding that immigration judges unequivocally have no jurisdiction to entertain granting bond in Petitioner’s exact circumstances. *See, e.g., Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I&N Dec. 216 (BIA 2025). This decision is contrary to the previous interpretation of the relevant statutes which has persisted for more than two decades and through various administrations.

25. Petitioner was not arriving in the United States at the time of her detention; she had been in the United States for more than three years. Her detention is unlawful because she is being subjected to mandatory detention provisions which did not apply to her at the time of her detention.
26. Petitioner should be eligible for immigration bond under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and release from detention because she is not properly subject to mandatory detention.
27. The unlawful detention of Petitioner is a violation of her rights under the Fifth Amendment of the US Constitution.

## **COUNT II**

### **Violation of Petitioner's Order of Release**

28. The allegations in the above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.
29. Regulations at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) and (g) require specific procedures to release or revoke the release of an individual. This regulation also limits the authority to make these decisions to specific enumerated officers.
30. At the time Petitioner was detained, Petitioner's release on her own recognizance had not been lawfully revoked.
31. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because they did not make individualized findings specific to Petitioner's circumstances.
32. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because Petitioner's custody determination was not rendered by one of the specified officers.
33. Petitioner's detention is unlawful because the Department of Homeland Security violated the regulations and procedures at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9).

34. This unlawful detention and violation of existing regulations also violates the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Petitioner respectfully asks that the Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- B. Issue an Order to Show Cause ordering Respondents to show cause why this Petition should not be granted within three days;
- C. Issue a Temporary Restraining Order that Respondents not transfer Petitioner from the Western District of Texas;
- D. Order that Respondents not remove Petitioner from the United States until this matter has concluded;
- E. Order that Respondents immediately release Petitioner from detention under the same conditions of release that she had prior to her unlawful detention on November 15, 2025;
- F. Award Petitioner attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act, and on any other basis justified under law; and
- G. Grant any further relief this Court deems just and proper.

**VERIFICATION**

On Petitioner's behalf, I, Joseph Krebs Muller, verify that the factual statements made in the foregoing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph Krebs Muller

Joseph Krebs Muller  
*Counsel for Petitioner*  
Bar: MO #65066, TX #24117397  
Law Office of Joseph Muller  
9600 Great Hills Trl. Ste. 150W  
Austin, TX 78759  
Email: joseph@jkmllaw.cc  
Phone: (512) 593-8258  
Fax: (512) 361-4938