

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA  
Civil No. 0:25-cv-04816-MJD-JFD

MARCO VINICIO MORETA DURAN,

Petitioner,

v.

**FEDERAL RESPONDENTS'  
OBJECTIONS TO REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

PAMELA BONDI, *et al.*,

Respondents.

On January 20, 2026, a magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation that this habeas petition be granted. Dkt. 8. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) and Local Rule 72.2(b), the Federal Respondents object to the R&R's conclusion that Petitioner is detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and therefore entitled to a bond hearing. The Court should decline to adopt the R&R, conclude that Petitioner is detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2), and deny this petition.

Courts across the country have agreed with the government's interpretation of § 1225 in factually similar cases. *See, e.g., Calderon Lopez v. Lyons*, 2025 WL 3683918 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 19, 2025); *Urbina Zapata v. Chestnut*, 2025 WL 3687643 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2025); *E.R.J.B. v. Wofford*, 2025 WL 3683118 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2025); *Romero Rebolledo v. Chestnut*, 2025 WL 3683122 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2025); *Liang v. Almodovar*, 2025 WL 3641512 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 15, 2025); *Pablo Coronado v. Secretary, DHS*, 2025 WL 3628229 (S.D. Ohio Dec. 15, 2025); *P.B. v. Bergami*, 2025 WL 3632752 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 13, 2025); *Yanyun Mo v. Chestnut*, 2025 WL 3539063 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2025);

*Ugarte-Arenas v. Olson*, (E.D. Wis. Dec. 8, 2025); *Chen v. Almodovar*, 2025 WL 3484855 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 4, 2025); *Candido v. Bondi*, 2025 WL 7484932, (W.D.N.Y. Dec. 4, 2025); *Topal v. Bondi*, 2025 WL 3486894 (W.D. La. Dec. 3, 2025); *Hernandez Cruz v. Noem*, 2025 WL 3482630 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2025); *Maceda Jimenez v. Thompson*, 2025 WL 3265493 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 24, 2025); *Alves De Andrade v. Patterson*, 2025 WL 3252707 (W.D. La. Nov. 21, 2025); *Valencia v. Chestnut*, 2025 WL 3205133 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 17, 2025); *Alonzo v. Noem*, 2025 WL 3208284 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 17, 2025); *Cabanas v. Bondi*, 2025 WL 3171331 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2025); *Ramos v. Lyons*, 2025 LX 568700 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2025); *Oliveira v. Patterson*, 2025 WL 3095972 (W.D. La. Nov. 4, 2025); *Sandoval v. Acuna*, 2025 WL 3048926 (W.D. La. Oct. 31, 2025); *Rojas v. Olson*, 2025 WL 3033967 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 30, 2025); *Garibay-Robledo v. Noem*, 2025 WL 3264478 (N.D. Tex. Oct. 24, 2025); *Chavez v. Noem*, 2025 WL 2730228 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2025); *Pipa-Aquise v. Bondi*, 2025 WL 2490657 (E.D. Va. Aug. 5, 2025). The R&R did not address any of this authority or the Federal Respondents' textual and structural arguments for concluding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2), reasoning instead that the petition should be granted because it seems likely the district judge assigned to this case will not agree with the government's arguments. Dkt. 8, at 2.

The Federal Respondents request de novo review of the R&R's interpretation of § 1225 and § 1226, particularly in light of the authority cited in the Federal Respondents' response to the petition and the textual and structural arguments that they advanced.

*[signature page follows]*

Dated: January 21, 2026

DANIEL N. ROSEN  
United States Attorney

*s/ Trevor Brown*

BY: TREVOR C. BROWN  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney ID Number 396820  
600 U.S. Courthouse  
300 South Fourth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55415  
(612) 664-5600  
trevor.brown@usdoj.gov