

From: [Thomas Evans](#)
To: [Morrill, Michael \(USAGAM\)](#)
Cc: [Dustin Baxter](#); [Charles Kuck](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Numerous Violations of Habeas Orders
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 4:40:54 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-dijjegjn.png](#)
[Outlook-1sbtdfsi.png](#)
[Outlook-yww2ghc0.png](#)
[Outlook-yznonnvc.png](#)

Mike,

Thank you again for your assistance on our habeas cases.

We recently received information from a trusted source that at some point within the past two weeks, local immigration judges received instructions that bonds generally and particularly in habeas cases should be denied. The instruction was provided in a conference call so that there would be no paper trail. We have seen the results of this call, particularly in the last week or two. Today, for example, Judge Brown denied 20/20 bonds. These are bond matters where the facts of the case would historically have resulted in reasonable bond grants, and none of which involved concern regarding danger to the community. In one of the cases, an individual with a clear path to relief based on hardship to his six US citizen children was told his bond request was denied because his child was “healthy, other than the tumor.”

This has been a consistent theme with many of our cases where certain judges have denied bonds for clients who normally would have low bonds granted. We are planning on filing motions to enforce ordering immediate release of our clients because of EOIR's blatant refusal to abide by the habeas orders and the immigration judges' failure to serve as neutral magistrates. Bond hearings have become largely futile.

I wanted to reach out to see if we could avoid further litigation by allowing these clients who normally would have been granted bond to have new bond hearings in front of an immigration judge who is acting as a neutral magistrate and is not following orders from EOIR to disregard regulations and controlling case law, and abandoning judicial impartiality. If not, we are prepared to file motions to enforce in all such cases and will be arguing that the proper remedy in all 1225/1226 cases is immediate release without a bond hearing based on the EOIR acting in bad faith. We would request that new bond hearings be scheduled within a week for these clients given that EOIR is expediting removal proceedings for detained individuals. I would be happy to give you a list of those clients who we know were negatively affected by EOIR's misconduct. I will warn you, the list is long. We have attorneys drafting affidavits, and the bond records will back the statements up in each case.

Thank you again for your assistance, and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best,
Thomas Evans

THOMAS EVANS

ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY



D. [\(404\) 949-8176](tel:(404)949-8176)

F. [\(404\) 816 8615](tel:(404)816-8615)

tevens@immigration.net

365 Northridge Road, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30350

www.immigration.net



Assistant: Emma Carter

D. [\(404\) 949-8157](tel:(404)949-8157)

ecarter@immigration.net

Please note that you can contact your attorney and paralegal at their direct phone lines. For all future phone communications, please contact us directly instead of using the main phone number. Our direct phone lines are listed at the bottom of our emails, located in our signature blocks.

Por favor tenga presente que usted debe comunicarse directamente con su paralegal y abogado marcando su numero directo. Para llamadas futuras, por favor, comuniquese a nuestro numero directo antes de llamar al numero de la oficina principal. Puede ubicar nuestro numero directo debajo de mi firma electronica a continuacion.