

**ENTERED**

December 29, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

DALZO D. S.<sup>1</sup>,  
Petitioner,

v.

MIGUEL VERGARA,  
*Field Office Director of Enforcement  
And Removal Operations Harlingen  
Field Office, et al.,*  
Respondents.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:25-cv-354

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2243  
AND TO FILE PROOF OF SERVICE**

Before the Court is Petitioner Dalzo D. S.’s “Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus” (Dkt. No. 1). Petitioner, a citizen of Brazil, claims his continued detention violates the Immigration and Nationality Act, bond regulations, and his right to due process under the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. *Id.* at p. 12-14.

Petitioner’s allegations raise a genuine question as to whether his continued detention violates federal law and the U.S. Constitution. Because it does not plainly appear that Petitioner is not entitled to the requested relief, the Court must “forthwith award the writ [of habeas corpus] or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted[.]” 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243, Respondents are **ORDERED** to show cause why the writ of habeas corpus should not be granted **no later than 20 days after service upon Respondents** of this § 2241 petition. If Petitioner chooses to file a reply to Respondents’ response to this order, Petitioner must do so **no later than 15 days** after receiving Respondents’ response.

Further, Petitioner is **ORDERED** to file proof of service of the Petition upon Respondents as a docket entry in this litigation **no later than January 12, 2026**.

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to significant privacy concerns in immigration cases and noting that judicial opinions are not subject to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2, any opinion, order, judgment, or other disposition in this case will refer to the petitioner only by first name and last initial.

At this time, the Court finds good cause exists to forego setting a hearing until the issues in the case are fully briefed.

Signed on December 29, 2025.

Karen Betancourt  
Karen Betancourt  
United States Magistrate Judge