

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

Laura Andrea Angulo Figueroa,

Petitioner,

-v-

Todd M. Lyons, Acting Director of US ICE;
Miguel Vergara, San Antonio Field Office
Director, US Immigration and Customs
Enforcement; Daren K. Margolin, Director of
the Executive Office for Immigration Review.

Respondents.

Case No: 5:25-cv-1788

**Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241**

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Laura Andrea Angulo Figueroa is an asylum seeker from Ecuador who was unlawfully detained by Respondents on December 18, 2025, and is being held subject to mandatory detention without bond. She was detained as she left the courtroom in the San Antonio Immigration Court and is currently being held on a bus outside of the court. Respondents detained her without justification or warrant. Without intervention by this Court, Petitioner will be subject to indefinite detention without the possibility of release on bond. For these reasons, she prays that this Court will grant her release from her unlawful detention.


JURISDICTION

1. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States and the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq.
2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and Article I, § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution (Suspension Clause).
3. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1361, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651 because Petitioner asks this Court to compel Respondents, officers of the United States, to perform their duties owed under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).
4. The jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 which authorizes the issuance of declaratory judgments.
5. Petitioner seeks costs and fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 and 28 U.S.C. § 2412(2) et seq.
6. This Court may grant relief under the habeas corpus statutes, 28 U.S.C. § 2241 et seq., the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.


VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District because Petitioner is detained on a bus outside the San Antonio Immigration Court located at 800 Dolorosa St #300, San Antonio, TX 78207. Petitioner was informed that she will be transferred to the US Immigration and Customs Enforcement office located at 3523 Crosspoint, San Antonio, TX 78217 within several hours.
8. Venue is proper in this District because Respondents are officers, employees, or agencies of the United States and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Petitioner's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

9. Petitioner is an applicant for asylum who is being detained outside the San Antonio Immigration Court located at 800 Dolorosa St #300, San Antonio, TX 78207. She was assigned alien number A . Petitioner is under the direct control and in the custody of Respondents and their agents.
10. Respondent Todd M. Lyons is the Acting Director of US ICE. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Todd M. Lyons is sued in his official capacity.
11. Respondent Miguel Vergara is the San Antonio Field Office Director of US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Miguel Vergara is sued in his official capacity.
12. Respondent Daren K. Margolin is the Acting Director of the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR). He has the legal authority to order an immigration judge to provide Petitioner a fair and impartial bond hearing. He is sued in his official capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

13. Petitioner came to the United States seeking asylum. She entered the United States without inspection or admission on April 4, 2024, and was assigned alien number A 
14. Petitioner's immigration proceedings take place within the immigration courts administered by EOIR. Petitioner's application for asylum has been pending with EOIR since December 10, 2024. She is permitted to remain in the United States until a final determination has been made on her application.
15. Following Petitioner's entry to the United States, she was detained for one day and then released on her own recognizance pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226. *See* Exhibit A.
16. Petitioner was placed in full removal proceedings rather than expedited proceedings. Those proceedings have been pending since at least April 5, 2024. *See* Exhibit B.
17. After her release, Petitioner complied with all conditions of her release. She attended all hearings in immigration court and all appointments with Respondents.
18. Petitioner was detained by US Immigration and Customs Enforcement officers on December 18, 2025. At the time of her detention she was leaving the courtroom at the San Antonio Immigration Court following her mandatory court hearing which she was required to attend in person. The Immigration Judge had only moments before scheduled her for a final "merits hearing" on her asylum application. She was not provided any reason for her detention or warrant for her arrest. *See* Exhibit C.
19. Petitioner is currently detained on a bus outside the San Antonio Immigration Court located at 800 Dolorosa St #300, San Antonio, TX 78207. Petitioner was informed that she will be transferred to the US Immigration and Customs Enforcement office located at

3523 Crosspoint, San Antonio, TX 78217 within several hours. She is under the custody of ICE and has not yet been transferred to any detention facility.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

Violation of Fifth Amendment Right to Due Process

20. The above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.
21. The Department of Homeland Security is detaining Petitioner under “mandatory detention” authority reserved for aliens who are in the process of entering the United States, 8 U.S.C. § 1225.
22. Respondents have determined that all individuals like Petitioner are ineligible for bond and must be detained due to a recent change in policy. The Executive Office for Immigration Review issued a precedential decision holding that immigration judges unequivocally have no jurisdiction to entertain granting bond in Petitioner’s exact circumstances. *See Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I&N Dec. 216 (BIA 2025). This decision is contrary to the previous interpretation of the relevant statutes which has persisted for more than two decades and through various administrations.
23. Petitioner was not arriving in the United States at the time of her detention; she had been in the United States for more than one year and she was not seeking admission. Her detention is unlawful because she is being subjected to mandatory detention provisions which did not apply to her at the time of her detention.
24. Respondents’ previously informed Petitioner that she was detained pursuant to INA § 236 (8 U.S.C. § 1226). *See Exhibit A*. As such, Petitioner should be eligible for immigration

bond under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and release from detention because she is not properly subject to mandatory detention.

25. The unlawful detention of Petitioner is a violation of her rights under the Fifth Amendment of the US Constitution.

COUNT II

Violation of Petitioner's Order of Release

26. The allegations in the above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.
27. Regulations at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) and (g) require specific procedures to release or revoke the release of an individual. This regulation also limits the authority to make these decisions to specific enumerated officers.
28. At the time Petitioner was detained, Petitioner's release on her own recognizance had not been lawfully revoked.
29. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because they did not make individualized findings specific to Petitioner's circumstances.
30. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because Petitioner's custody determination was not rendered by one of the specified officers.
31. Petitioner's detention is unlawful because the Department of Homeland Security violated the regulations and procedures at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9).
32. This unlawful detention and violation of existing regulations also violates the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Petitioner respectfully asks that the Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- B. Issue an Order to Show Cause ordering Respondents to show cause why this Petition should not be granted within three days;
- C. Issue a Temporary Restraining Order that Respondents not transfer Petitioner from the Western District of Texas;
- D. Order that Respondents not remove Petitioner from the United States until this matter has concluded;
- E. Order that Respondents immediately release Petitioner from detention under the same conditions of release that she had prior to her unlawful detention on December 18, 2025;
- F. Award Petitioner attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act, and on any other basis justified under law; and
- G. Grant any further relief this Court deems just and proper.

VERIFICATION

On Petitioner's behalf, I, Joseph Krebs Muller, verify that the factual statements made in the foregoing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph Krebs Muller

Joseph Krebs Muller
Counsel for Petitioner
Bar: MO #65066, TX #24117397
Law Office of Joseph Muller
9600 Great Hills Trl. Ste. 150W
Austin, TX 78759
Email: joseph@jkmlaw.cc
Phone: (512) 593-8258
Fax: (512) 361-4938