

determination, is unconstitutional under *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001), and related authority. *See* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Dkt. No. 1.

3. The federal habeas corpus statute provides that “[a] court, justice or judge entertaining an application for a writ of habeas corpus shall forthwith award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243.
4. Section 2243 further provides that the writ or order to show cause “shall be returned within three days unless for good cause additional time, not exceeding twenty days, is allowed.”
5. Section 2243 further provides that the court shall hold a hearing on the writ or order to show cause “not more than five days after the return unless for good cause additional time is allowed.”
6. In addition, Section 2243 states that the court “shall summarily hear and determine the facts, and dispose of the matter as law and justice require.”
7. Pursuant to Section 2243, Petitioner requests that the Court immediately issue an Order to Show Cause directing Respondents to file a return within three days of the Court’s order, showing cause, if any, why the writ of habeas corpus should not be granted, and to provide Petitioner an opportunity to file a reply within a reasonable number of days after Respondents file the return.
8. Granting Respondents additional time to respond is inappropriate in this case because Petitioner faces irreparable harm due to his continued, unlawful ICE detention at Joe Corley Processing Center. Such detention has caused, and continues to cause, serious

harm to Petitioner, including the ongoing deprivation of his liberty and significant emotional and financial hardship to him.

/s/ Kelly Bermudez
Kelly J. Bermudez, Esq
972 Broad Street, Suite 500
Newark, New Jersey 07102
212-389-1155 (tele)
347-783-0038 (fax)

Dated: 12/11/2025