UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

Case No. SA-25-CA-01517-XR

SAMUEL OUANGRE, \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Petitioner v. WARDEN ROSE THOMPSON, KARNES COUNTY IMMIGRATION PROCESSING CENTER; MIGUEL VERGARA, ACTING/DIRECTOR OF THE SAN **FIELD** ANTONIO **OFFICE** U.S. **IMMIGRATION** AND **CUSTOMS** ENFORCEMENT; **KRISTI** NOEM, **SECRETARY** OF THE U.S. OF **HOMELAND DEPARTMENT** SECURITY; AND PAMELA BONDI, **ATTORNEY GENERAL** OF THE UNITED STATES, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES: Respondents

SERVICE ORDER

Before the Court is the 28 U.S.C. § 2241 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Petitioner Samuel Ouangre, who is currently detained in the Karnes County Immigrant Processing Center in Karnes City, Texas, located in the Western District of Texas. ECF No. 1.

Attorney in San Antonio, Texas, with copies of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) and this Order. Delivery by certified mail return receipt requested of those same documents shall constitute sufficient service of process on Respondents: Pamela Jo Bondi, U.S. Attorney General; Kristi Lynn Noem, Secretary of Homeland Security; and Miguel Vergara, Acting Director of the San Antonio Field Office of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. See FED. R. CIV. P. 4(i). Service should be directed to Stephanie Rico, the Civil Process Clerk for the United

States Attorney's Office, Western District of Texas, 601 N.W. Loop 410, Suite 600, San Antonio,

Texas 78216.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall serve Warden Rose Thompson,

Karnes County Immigrant Processing Center, 409 FM 1144, Karnes City, TX 78118, with copies

of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) and this Order. Delivery by certified mail,

return receipt requested, will constitute sufficient service of process.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Respondents shall file a response to the Petition within

three (3) days of the date of service. See 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Respondents may, in lieu of a response,

file a motion requesting additional time of up to 20 days to respond, as provided in 28 U.S.C. §

2243. See id. (noting, a return (i.e., a response) demonstrating why the writ should not be issued

shall be provided "within three days unless for good cause additional time, not exceeding twenty

days, is allowed").

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that, if Petitioner elects to file a reply, Petitioner may do so

no later than seven days after the Respondents file their answers/responses.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 19th day of November, 2025.

XAVIER RODRIGUEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE