

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
COLUMBUS DIVISION**

ANGEL ALIPIO BAYAR	:	
HERNANDEZ,	:	
Petitioner,	:	
v.	:	Case No. 4:25-CV-346-CDL-AGH
	:	28 U.S.C. § 2241
	:	
WARDEN, STEWART DETENTION	:	
CENTER,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

MOTION TO DISMISS

On November 3, 2025, the Court received Petitioner’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus (“Petition”). ECF No. 1. Respondent filed his Response on November 25, 2025. ECF No. 7. On March 18, 2026, the Court issued an order directing Respondent to file a status report. ECF No. 13. Respondent now files this Motion to Dismiss and shows the Court that Petitioner was released from custody on March 8, 2026, pursuant to an Order of Supervision as directed by the District Court of Arizona in *Bayar v. Rosa*, No. CV-26-01262-PHX-DJH (MTM) (D. Ariz. Mar. 4, 2026) (attached hereto as Ex. F).

BACKGROUND

Petitioner is a native and citizen of Cuba who at the time of his Petition was detained post-final order of removal pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a). Declaration of Supervisory Detention and Deportation Officer Tartanger Stephens (“Stephens Decl.”), ECF No. 7-1 at ¶ 4 & Ex. A. On March 8, 2026, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) / Enforcement and Removal Operations (“ERO”) issued Petitioner an Order of Supervision and released him from custody. *See* Ex. G, Order of Supervision.

ARGUMENT

On March 29, 2026, the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”), Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) released Petitioner from detention. *See* Ex G. Because Petitioner is no longer in Respondent’s custody, the Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over his claims. Accordingly, the Court should dismiss the Petition as moot.

The case-or-controversy requirement of Article III, section 2 of the United States Constitution subsists through all stages of federal judicial proceedings. *See Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). A petitioner “must have suffered, or be threatened with, an actual injury traceable to the defendant and likely to be redressed by a favorable judicial decision.” *Lewis v. Cont’l Bank Corp.*, 494 U.S. 472, 477 (1990). “The doctrine of mootness derives directly from the case or controversy limitation because an action that is moot cannot be characterized as an active case or controversy.” *Soliman v. United States*, 296 F.3d 1237, 1242 (11th Cir. 2002) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). “Put another way, a case is moot when it no longer presents a live controversy with respect to which the court can grant meaningful relief.” *Fla. Ass’n of Rehab. Facilities, Inc. v. Fla. Dep’t of Health & Rehab. Servs.*, 225 F.3d 1208, 1217 (11th Cir. 2000) (internal quotation mark and citation omitted). Thus, “[i]f events that occur subsequent to the filing of a lawsuit or an appeal deprive the court of the ability to give the plaintiff or appellant meaningful relief, then the case is moot and must be dismissed.” *Al Najjar v. Ashcroft*, 273 F.3d 1330, 1336 (11th Cir. 2001). “Indeed, dismissal is required because mootness is jurisdictional.” *Id.*; *see also De La Teja v. United States*, 321 F.3d 1357, 1362 (11th Cir. 2003). Once a petitioner has been removed from the United States, the dispute regarding his detention is rendered moot and must be dismissed. *See Soliman*, 296 F.3d at 1243.

Here, Petitioner requested release from custody. ECF No. 1. He was released from ICE/ERO detention on an Order of Supervision on March 8, 2026, and is no longer in Respondent's custody. Ex. G. Because Petitioner is not in Respondent's custody, the Court can no longer give Petitioner any meaningful relief regarding his detention. Accordingly, the Petition is moot and should be dismissed.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Respondent requests that Petitioner's application for habeas relief be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of April, 2026.

WILLIAM R. KEYES
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

BY: */s/ Michael P. Morrill*
MICHAEL P. MORRILL
Assistant United States Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 545410
United States Attorney's Office
Middle District of Georgia
P. O. Box 2568
Columbus, Georgia 31902
Phone: (706) 649-7728
michael.morrill@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this date filed the Respondent's Response with the Clerk of the United States District Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

N/A

I further certify that I have this date mailed by United States Postal Service the document and a copy of the Notice of Electronic Filing to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Angel Alipio Bayar Hernandez


Stewart Detention Center
P.O. Box 248
Lumpkin, GA 31815

This 3rd day of April, 2026.

BY: /s/ Michael P. Morrill
MICHAEL P. MORRILL
Assistant United States Attorney