

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

Marco CANTERO GARCIA, Jose
VILLALVOZO-BENITEZ, Armando
BENITEZ CHAVEZ, Kevin MUNOZ-
QUITERIO, Manuel VILLALBA
CORDOVA,

Petitioners,

v.

Cammilla WAMSLEY, Seattle Field
Office Director, Enforcement and
Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration
and Customs Enforcement (ICE); U.S.
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY; EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR
IMMIGRATION REVIEW; Bruce
SCOTT, Warden, Northwest ICE
Processing Center,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:25-cv-2092

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS**

**INDIVIDUAL
ENFORCEMENT OF
RODRIGUEZ VAZQUEZ BOND
DENIAL CLASS JUDGMENT**

1 **FACTS**

2 1. Petitioners Marco Cantero Garcia, Jose Villalvozo-Benitez, Armando Benitez
3 Chavez, Kevin Munoz-Quiterio, and Manuel Villalba Cordova bring this petition for a writ of
4 habeas corpus to seek enforcement of their rights as members of the Bond Denial Class certified
5 in *Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock*, No. 3:25-CV-05240-TMC (W.D. Wash. filed Mar. 20, 2025).¹

6 2. On September 30, 2025, this Court issued a final judgment “declar[ing] that Bond
7 Denial Class members are detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and are not subject to mandatory
8 detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2).” *Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock*, No. 3:25-CV-05240-
9 TMC, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2025 WL 2782499, at *27 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 30, 2025).

10 3. The Court further declared “that the Tacoma Immigration Court’s practice of
11 denying bond to Bond Denial Class members on the basis of § 1225(b)(2) violates the
12 Immigration and Nationality Act.” *Id.*

13 **Petitioner Marco Cantero Garcia**

- 14 4. Petitioner Cantero is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he:
- 15 (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained
16 at NWIPC after being apprehended by U.S. Immigration and Customs
Enforcement (ICE) on May 19, 2025, *see* Ex. A;²
 - 17 (b) entered the United States without inspection over twenty years ago and
18 was not apprehended upon arrival; *see id.*; and
 - 19 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.

20 5. After apprehending Mr. Cantero on May 19, the Department of Homeland
21 Security (DHS) placed Petitioner in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has
22
23

24 ¹ The Bond Denial Class is comprised of “[a]ll noncitizens without lawful status detained at the
25 Northwest ICE Processing Center [NWIPC] who (1) have entered or will enter the United States
without inspection, (2) are not apprehended upon arrival, (3) are not or will not be subject to
26 detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231 at the time the noncitizen is
scheduled for or requests a bond hearing.” *Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock*, No. 3:25-CV-05240-
TMC, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2025 WL 2782499, at *6 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 30, 2025).

27 ² All exhibit citations are to the authenticating declaration of Sydney Maltese filed
contemporaneously with this petition.

1 charged Petitioner as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who
2 entered the United States without inspection. *See* Ex. B.

3 6. On August 20, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner’s bond request based on lack of
4 jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.
5 § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ
6 would have set bond at \$10,000. Ex. C.

7 7. On October 7, 2025, Mr. Cantero filed a motion for reconsideration of his bond
8 order based on his *Rodriguez Vazquez* class membership. The following day, and
9 notwithstanding the *Rodriguez Vazquez* declaratory judgment, the IJ denied the motion for
10 reconsideration, reasoning that there was “no order or injunction requiring the immigration court
11 to conduct a bond hearing.” Ex. D.

12 **Petitioner Jose Villalvozo-Benitez**

- 13 8. Petitioner Villalvozo-Benitez is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as
- 14 (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained
15 at NWIPC after being apprehended by U.S. Immigration and Customs
Enforcement (ICE) on September 20, 2025, *see* Ex. E;
 - 16 (b) entered the United States without inspection around forty years ago and
17 was not apprehended upon arrival; *see id.*; and
 - 18 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.

19 9. After apprehending Mr. Villalvozo-Benitez on September 20, DHS placed
20 Petitioner in removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Petitioner as
21 being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States
22 without inspection. *See* Ex. F.

23 10. On October 20, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner’s bond request based on lack of
24 jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.
25 § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ
26 would have set bond at \$10,000. *See* Ex. G.

1 **Petitioner Armando Benitez Chavez**

2 11. Petitioner Benitez is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he

- 3 (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained
4 at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on September 29, 2025, *see*
5 Ex. H;
6 (b) entered the United States without inspection nearly twenty ago and was
7 not apprehended upon arrival; *see id.*; and
8 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.

9 12. After apprehending Mr. Benitez on September 29, DHS placed Petitioner in
10 removal proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Petitioner as being
11 inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States
12 without inspection. *See* Ex. I.

13 13. On October 20, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of
14 jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.
15 § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ
16 would have set bond at \$10,000. *See* Ex. J.

17 **Petitioner Kevin Munoz-Quiterio**

18 14. Petitioner Munoz-Quiterio is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he

- 19 (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained
20 at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on April 30, 2025, *see* Ex. K;
21 (b) entered the United States without inspection over twenty-five years ago
22 and was not apprehended upon arrival; *see id.*; and
23 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231.

24 15. Mr. Munoz-Quiterio was arrested and initially placed in removal proceedings in
25 2016. At that time, DHS has charged Petitioner as being inadmissible under 8 U.S.C.
26 § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection. *See* Ex. L. As
27 noted, he was re-arrested in April of this year, and his removal proceedings were transferred to
the Tacoma Immigration Court.

1 16. On July 31, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of
2 jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.
3 § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ further ruled that Mr. Munoz-Quiterio is a danger to the community and
4 should not be released for this additional reason. *See* Ex. M. Neither the IJ nor DHS argued or
5 suggested that Mr. Munoz-Quiterio is subject to detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c).

6 17. Mr. Munoz-Quiterio has since filed a notice of appeal to the Board of
7 Immigration Appeals. That appeal remains pending. Ex. N.

8 **Petitioner Manuel Villalba Cordova**

9 18. Petitioner Villalba is a member of the Bond Denial Class, as he

- 10 (a) does not have lawful status in the United States and is currently detained
11 at NWIPC after being apprehended by ICE on July 4, 2025, *see* Ex. O;
12 (b) was charged with and was found by the IJ to have entered the United
13 States without inspection over twenty years ago and was not apprehended
14 upon arrival; *see id.*; and
15 (c) is not detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c), § 1225(b)(1), or § 1231

16 19. After apprehending Mr. Villalba on July 4, DHS placed Petitioner in removal
17 proceedings pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1229a. DHS has charged Petitioner as being inadmissible
18 under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(A)(i), as someone who entered the United States without inspection.
19 *See* Ex. P.

20 20. On October 21, 2025, an IJ denied Petitioner's bond request based on lack of
21 jurisdiction, finding that Petitioner is subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C.
22 § 1225(b)(2)(A). The IJ ruled that, in the alternative, if mandatory detention did not apply, the IJ
23 would have set bond at \$25,000. *See* Ex. Q.

24 21. For all Petitioners, Respondents are bound by the judgment in *Rodriguez Vazquez*,
25 as it has the full "force and effect of a final judgment." 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a). Nevertheless,
26 Respondents continue to flagrantly defy the judgment in that case and continue to subject
27 Petitioners to unlawful detention despite their clear entitlement to consideration for release on
bond as Bond Denial Class members.

1 22. The Court should expeditiously grant this petition. Because Respondents are not
2 complying with the final declaratory judgment in *Rodriguez Vazquez*, it should order immediate
3 release as to all Petitioners except for Mr. Munoz-Quiterio.

4 23. In the alternative, for those with alternative bond orders, the Court should order
5 that within one day, Respondent Department of Homeland Security (DHS) must release
6 Petitioners or allow for Petitioners' release upon payment of the alternative bond amount set by
7 the IJs.

8 24. Finally, as for Mr. Munoz-Quiterio, the Court should order that Respondents must
9 consider him detained under § 1226(a) and that they may not deny his bond appeal or affirm the
10 IJ order on the basis that § 1225(b)(2) detention applies to him.

11 **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

12 25. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus), 28 U.S.C.
13 § 1331 (federal question), and Article I, section 9, clause 2 of the United States Constitution (the
14 Suspension Clause). The Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241; the Declaratory
15 Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 *et seq.*; and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

16 26. Venue is proper in this District because Petitioners are detained at the Northwest
17 ICE Processing Center (NWIPC) in Tacoma, Washington. Venue is also proper under 28 U.S.C.
18 § 1391(e) because Respondents are employees, officers, and agencies of the United States, and a
19 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

20 **PARTIES**

21 27. Petitioner Marco Cantero Garcia was apprehended by immigration officers on
22 May 19, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class
23 certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

24 28. Petitioner Jose Villalvozo-Benitez was apprehended by immigration officers on
25 September 20, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial
26 Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

1 29. Petitioner Armando Benitez Chavez was apprehended by immigration officers on
2 September 29, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial
3 Class certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

4 30. Petitioner Kevin Munoz-Quiterio was apprehended by immigration officers on
5 April 23, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class
6 certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

7 31. Petitioner Manuel Villalba Cordova was apprehended by immigration officers on
8 July 4, 2025, and is currently detained at NWIPC. He is a member of the Bond Denial Class
9 certified in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

10 32. Respondent Cammilla Wamsley is the Seattle Field Office Director of ICE's
11 Enforcement and Removal Operation division. As Petitioners' immediate custodian, she is
12 responsible for Petitioners' detention and removal. She is named in her official capacity.

13 33. Respondent U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is the federal agency
14 responsible for implementing and enforcing the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA),
15 including the detention and removal of noncitizens.

16 34. Respondent Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) is the federal
17 agency responsible for implementing and enforcing the INA in removal proceedings, including
18 for custody redeterminations in bond hearings.

19 35. Respondent Bruce Scott is employed by The Geo Group, Inc., as Warden of the
20 NWIPC, where Petitioners are detained. He has immediate physical custody of Petitioners. He is
21 sued in his official capacity.

22 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

23 **COUNT I**

24 **Violation of the INA:**

25 **Request for Relief Pursuant to *Rodriguez Vazquez***

26 36. Petitioners repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference each and every
27 allegation in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

1 37. As members of the Bond Denial Class, Petitioners are entitled to consideration for
2 release on bond under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).

3 38. The judgment in *Rodriguez Vazquez* makes clear that Respondents violate the
4 INA in applying the mandatory detention statute at § 1225(b)(2) to class members.

5 39. Respondents are parties to *Rodriguez Vazquez* and bound by the Court's
6 declaratory judgment, which has the full "force and effect of a final judgment." 28 U.S.C.
7 § 2201(a).

8 40. By denying Petitioners a bond hearing under § 1226(a) and asserting that they are
9 subject to mandatory detention under § 1225(b)(2), Respondents violate Petitioners' rights under
10 the INA and this Court's judgment in *Rodriguez Vazquez*.

11 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

12 WHEREFORE, Petitioners pray that this Court grant the following relief:

- 13 a. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- 14 b. Issue a writ of habeas corpus and order the immediate release of Petitioners with
15 alternative bond orders;
- 16 c. Alternatively, issue a writ of habeas corpus requiring that within one day,
17 Respondents release Petitioners with alternative bond orders unless they allow for
18 those Petitioners' release upon payment of the alternative bond amount and any
19 other conditions set by the IJs;
- 20 d. Issue a writ of habeas corpus as to Petitioner Kevin Munoz-Quiterio requiring
21 Respondents to consider him detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and ordering that
22 they may not deny his bond appeal or affirm the IJ order on the basis that 8 U.S.C.
23 § 1225(b)(2) detention applies to him;
- 24 e. Award Petitioners attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act
25 ("EAJA"), as amended, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and on any other basis justified under
26 law; and
- 27 f. Grant any other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

1 DATED this 24th of October, 2025.

2 s/ Matt Adams
3 Matt Adams, WSBA No. 28287
4 matt@nwirp.org

s/ Aaron Korthuis
Aaron Korthuis, WSBA No. 53974
aaron@nwirp.org

5 s/ Glenda M. Aldana Madrid
6 Glenda M. Aldana Madrid, WSBA No. 46987
7 glenda@nwirp.org

s/ Leila Kang
Leila Kang, WSBA No. 48048
leila@nwirp.org

8 s/ Amanda Ng
9 Amanda Ng, WSBA No. 57181
10 amanda@nwirp.org

11 NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT
12 RIGHTS PROJECT
13 615 Second Ave., Suite 400
14 Seattle, WA 98104
15 (206) 957-8611

16 *Counsel for Petitioners*
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27