

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

Civil Action No. 25-cv-03328-DDD-STV

SALOMON JUAN MARCOS VILLAREAL,

Plaintiff,

v.

JUAN BALTASAR;  
ROBERT GUADIAN;  
TODD LYONS;  
KRISTI NOEM; and  
PAMELA BONDI,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

---

Entered By Chief Magistrate Judge Scott T. Varholak

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) and (b), this case has been referred to this Court to, *inter alia*, convene a scheduling conference under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and enter a scheduling order meeting the requirements of D.C.COLO.LCivR 16.2. [#6]

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Local Civil Rule 16.1, the Court shall hold a scheduling conference on:

**December 18, 2025 at 10:00 AM**

in Courtroom A-402, Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse, 901 19th Street, Denver, Colorado. Please remember that anyone seeking entry into the courthouse will be required to show valid photo identification. See D.C.COLO.LCivR 83.2(b). If this date is not convenient for any party, the parties should either confer and file a motion or jointly

call Chambers at 303.335.2365 to reschedule the scheduling conference to a more convenient date.

2. At least **21 days** in advance of the scheduling conference, the parties shall meet and attempt to agree upon a scheduling order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). The Court strongly encourages the parties to meet face to face, but should that prove impossible, the parties may meet by telephone conference. All parties are jointly responsible for arranging and attending the Rule 26(f) conference.

During the Rule 26(f) conference, the parties shall discuss all of the issues identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(2) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(2-3), including the nature and basis of their claims and defenses and the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case, make or arrange for the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and develop their proposed scheduling/discovery plan. The parties should also discuss the possibility of informal discovery, whether the parties anticipate the need for experts, and whether the parties anticipate substantial electronic discovery. The parties should be prepared to discuss these issues at the scheduling conference.

3. On or before **December 11, 2025**, the parties shall file a joint proposed scheduling order in the form required pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 16.2. A copy of the instructions for the preparation of the proposed scheduling order and the required form can be downloaded from the court's website at [www.cod.uscourts.gov](http://www.cod.uscourts.gov). Pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 16.1, plaintiff shall be responsible for filing the proposed scheduling order, except in cases removed to this court, in which cases the party who removed the case shall file the proposed scheduling order. The proposed scheduling order shall be submitted electronically pursuant to District of Colorado Electronic Case Filing ("ECF") Procedures V.6.1.

4. The parties shall comply with the mandatory disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).

5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d), no discovery shall be served prior to the Rule 26(f) meeting.

DATED: October 22, 2025

BY THE COURT:

s/Scott T. Varholak  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge