

THE HONORABLE TANA LIN
THE HONORABLE MICHELLE L. PETERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

HIEU TRI NGUYEN

Petitioner,

vs.

PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General of
the United States; KRISTI NOEM,
Secretary, United States Department of
Homeland Security; CAMMILLA
WAMSLEY, Seattle Field Office
Director, United States Citizenship and
Immigration Services; BRUCE SCOTT,
Warden of Immigration Detention
Facility; and the United States
Immigration and Customs Enforcement,

Respondents.

No. CV25-2024-TL-MLP

STIPULATED MOTION TO
EXPEDITE BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Note on Motion Calendar:
October 28, 2025

Petitioner Hieu Tri Nguyen Tran and Federal Respondents, in order to improve efficiency for both the Parties and the Court on Mr. Nguyen’s pending Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, hereby stipulate to a briefing schedule as follows. A comparable Order was recently issued in *Tran v. Scott*, 2:25-cv-01886-TMC-BAT, Dkt. #8, and in *Tran v. Bondi, et. al.*, 2:25-cv-01897-JLR-BAT, Dkt. #11.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Mr. Nguyen filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on October 17, 2025. Dkt. #1. On October 21, 2025, this Court assigned the case to Hon. District Judge Tana Lin and referred it to Hon. Magistrate Judge Michelle L. Peterson. Dkt. #3. On October

1 23, 2025, Judge Peterson ordered the Respondents to file a return showing cause why
2 Mr. Nguyen's petition should not be granted within 30 days (which would be
3 November 22, 2025, a Saturday, making the return due Monday November 24, 2025,
4 pursuant to LCR 6(a)), for Mr. Nguyen to respond to that return within 21 days of its
5 filing (by December 15, 2025), and for Respondents to reply within 28 days of filing
6 the return (by December 22, 2025). Dkt. #7.

7 Under this briefing schedule, the Court would not begin ruling on Mr. Nguyen's
8 habeas petition until the end of December, over two months after he filed his petition.
9 Furthermore, with the case presently referred to a Magistrate Judge, a period of 14 to 28
10 days following the Magistrate Judge's recommended disposition of the petition would
11 follow before the District Judge could issue a final ruling. *See* LCR 72(b).

12 **I. STIPULATED MOTION**

13 To avoid the need for litigation related to a motion for preliminary injunction or
14 temporary restraining order, and to expedite briefing and ruling on the merits of Mr.
15 Nguyen's petition, the parties stipulate to the following:

16 Federal Respondents will file a response to the habeas petition no later than
17 November 7, 2025. Any arguments that the petition should be dismissed shall be made
18 in the response and not by separate motion.

19 Petitioner will file a reply no later than November 14, 2025.

20 The Court will note the habeas petition for November 14, 2025.

21 The parties further request that the Court terminate the referral to Magistrate Judge
22 Peterson, in order to expedite a final ruling by the Court.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of October 2025.

2
3 CHARLES NEIL FLOYD
4 United States Attorney

5 s/ Michelle Lambert,
6 NYS#4666657
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 Western District of Washington
9 United States Attorney's Office
10 1201 Pacific Ave, Ste 700
11 Tacoma, WA 98402
12 253-428-3824
13 Email: Michelle.Lambert@usdoj.gov

14 *Attorneys for Federal Respondents*

5 s/ Rebecca Fish,
6 WSBA No. 57488
7 Assistant Federal Public Defender
8 Federal Public Defender
9 1601 Fifth Avenue, Ste 700
10 Seattle, WA 98101
11 Phone: 206-553-1100
12 E-mail: Becky_Fish@fd.org

13 *Attorney for Petitioner*

14 I certify this motion contains 378 words in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.