

1 TIMOTHY COURCHAIINE
2 United States Attorney
3 District of Arizona
4 BROCK HEATHCOTTE
5 Assistant U.S. Attorney
6 Arizona State Bar No.014466
7 Two Renaissance Square
8 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1800
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4449
10 Telephone: (602) 514-7500
11 Facsimile: (602) 514-7760
12 Email: Brock.Heathcotte@usdoj.gov
13 *Attorneys for the Respondents*

14 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

16 Juan Daniel Luna-Gonzalez,
17
18 Petitioner,
19
20 v.
21
22 Kristi Noem, *et al.*,
23
24 Respondents.

No. CV-25-03794-PHX-MTL-DMF

**RESPONSE TO FIRST AMENDED
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS (DOC. 12)**

25 Respondents by and through undersigned counsel, respond in opposition to
26 Petitioner's First Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (FAP) (Doc. 12). This
27 response incorporates all statements and arguments made by Respondents in their
28 Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. See Doc. 15.¹ Because the only difference
between the FAP and the original Petition was the addition of another named Respondent,
no further statements or arguments by Respondents are necessary.

Every habeas corpus petition necessarily alleges the same basic ground for relief,
i.e., that the petitioner is detained in violation of the Constitution, laws or treaties of the

¹ Respondents' counsel was unaware that an amended petition had been filed. For unknown reasons, counsel was not added to the Court's ecf list when Doc. 11 was filed, and therefore was notified by email that Docs. 12-14 had been filed by Petitioner.

1 United States. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Here, Petitioner is being held in compliance with
2 Constitution and laws so his petition should be denied.

3 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED November 14, 2025.

4 TIMOTHY COURCHINE
5 United States Attorney
6 District of Arizona

7 */s/ Brock Heathcotte*
8 BROCK HEATHCOTTE
9 Assistant United States Attorney
10 *Attorneys for the United States*

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28