

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> LOGGED
<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED	<input type="checkbox"/> COPY
SEP 29 2025	
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
BY _____	DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Lenrry Cordero
Alien No. [REDACTED]
Petitioner,

v.

Warden, Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex, and U.S. Immigration and Customs
Enforcement (ICE)
Respondents.

Case No. **CV25-03575-PHX-SPL--ESW**

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF REMOVAL

Petitioner, through this Emergency Motion, respectfully requests that this Court issue an immediate stay of removal prohibiting the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) from removing him from the United States while his pending Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 is under review.

Petitioner has been detained for over 90 days without a foreseeable removal, in violation of *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001). ICE has failed to provide any information about his current location since September 10, 2025, and has denied all written requests for status. This secrecy raises serious due process concerns and creates imminent risk of unlawful deportation.


Legal Grounds:

1. *Zadvydas v. Davis* (2001) prohibits indefinite detention when removal is not reasonably foreseeable.
2. The Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment guarantees notice, transparency, and fair opportunity to be heard.
3. ICE's refusal to disclose Petitioner's current location prevents meaningful access to the courts.

Request for Relief:

1. Issue an immediate stay of removal prohibiting ICE from removing him until final resolution of his habeas corpus petition.
2. Order ICE to disclose his current detention location without delay.

Respectfully submitted,



[Signature of Petitioner]

Lenrry Cordero
Alien No. [REDACTED]
Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT IN PROPER FORM ACCORDING
TO FEDERAL AND/OR LOCAL RULES AND PRACTICES
AND IS SUBJECT TO REJECTION BY THE COURT.
REFERENCE LRCP 5.4, 7.1(a)(1)
(Rule Number/Section)