UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

MIAMI, FL

400 North Miami Avenue, Miami Fl 33128-7716	FILED BY COD.C.
In Matter Of: - Jose Ruis Tamayo A-No:	SEP 03 2025 ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S. D. OF FLA MIAMI
Petitioner Civil Actionabe	

V.

Krong Detention Covin Warden Mr. Reges

Jose Sierra, Field Office Director

Pamela Bondi, Attorney General

Kristi Noem, Secretary of

Department of Homeland Security

Respondent

APPELLANT FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND RELEASE FROM DETENTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Come Now	"Mr-658 TAMAYO" Who
files this motion Pro Se, prays that this court of	onstrue this motion of writ of habeas corpus to
review the lawfulness of his detention by the I	Department of Homeland Security. His continued
detention by the respondent violates 8 U.S.C.	§ 1231(a)(6), as interpreted in Zadvydas V. Davis
533 U.S. 678 (2001).	
In support of this Appellant, Mr. 10	SE La iSTAMAKO alleges as follows:

Page 1 of 10

CUSTODY

Petitioner is in the physical custody of Respondents and the U.S. Immigration and Custody Enforcement (ICE). Petitioner SE Luis Tamayo Mercus detained at the Krome Services Processing Center in Miami FL. Petitioner is under the direct control of respondents and their agents. Krome Wardenar, News, Jose S. Sierra Field officedirector Kristy Norm, Secretaryof Homeland Security and Pamela Bouri US Attorney Control

JURISDICTION

- This action arises under the Constitution of the United States, and the Immigration and National Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et. seq., as amended by the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigration Responsibility Act of 1996 (IIRIRA), Pub. L. No. 104 208, 110 Stat. 1570, and the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 701 et. Seq.
- 2. This Court has the jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241; art. I § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution (Suspended Clause); and 28 USC § 1331, as petitioner is presently in custody under color of the authority of the United States. This Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 USC § 2241, 5 USC § 702, and the all Writs Act, 28 USC § 1651.
- Petitioner has exhausted any and all administrative remedies to the extent required by law.

VENUE

Pursuant to Braden V. 30TH Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky, 401 US. 484, 493-500(1973), venue lies in the Unites States Southern District Court of Florida the district where petitioner is resides.

PARTIES

1.	Petitioner is a native and citizen of CobA Petitioner was first
	taken into ICE custody on 6-21-25, and has remained in ICE
	custody continuously since that date. Petitioner was ordered removed on
1	995 ? The 90-5

- Respondent Pamela Bondi, is the Attorney General of the United States and is
 responsible for the administration of ICE and the implementation and
 enforcement of the Immigration and National Act (INA). As such Mrs. Bondi
 Attorney General has ultimately custodial authority over petitioner.
- 3. Respondent Kristi Noem is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security.
 She is responsible for the administration of ICE custody and the implementation and enforcement of the INA. As such Mrs. Noem secretary of ICE is the legal custodian of petitioner.
- Respondent Jose Sierra is the ICE Field Office Director of the Krome SPC. Field
 Office of ICE and is petitioner's immediate custodian. See Vasquez v. Reno 233 F.
 3d 688, 690(1st Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 122 S. CT. 43 (2001).
- 5. Respondent Warden of the Krome Services Processing Center where petitioner is currently detained under the authority of ICE alternatively may be considered to be Petitioner's immediate custody; MCREYES, Wasdell

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

٠.	Petitioner has been in ice custody since 6-21-25. An Immigration Judge ordered
	the petitioner removed on The 90'S 1885
	die potitioner removed on 1702 70
2.	Petitioner did Appealed Immigrations Judge
m#65	Petitioner did Appealed Amigrations Judge : Ordered of Remobil To The Board of Immigrations"
	APPEOL DVes DNO.
	Appeal, Dyes Dino. IF The answer above is Yes, Write the
	date of Appeal), and date of BTA decision ()
3.	Brief SUMMARYOF PETITIONER TMIGRATION HISTORY (a)
	When Firstarrived in the Unites States. (making
	boot lift from Cubs on 1980):(6)
	COUNTrys You Lived Tubefore The United States.
	CubA);(c)
	You or any Family member ever became Lawful Permanent residents,
	or Applied For Citizenship. (7/5-Mx polx famely).
4.	A Brief Summary of Petitioner's Criminal History
	IFAPPLICable (Bank Robery WiTh
	MO GHMS
	Criminal History Is not coincident with Dangerous Individuals, Hendrick
	Super 358; [591 U.S. es T 357, 358] TerrorisTs, Past sexually Violent behavior of these I revial Coudition That creates all kelihood of such touduct I with E Future
5.	Petitioner was Tation Into ICE Custody due To:
	On data of Raport
	TRA PORTID TO MIDAMAR CANTER
	end was deform no Rioson given.
	Page 4 of 10

6. Petitioner has cooperated fully with the efforts of ICE to remove petitioner from he United States.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR SOUGHT

1. In Zadvydas V. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001), the U.S. Supreme Court held that six months is the presumptively reasonable period during which ICE may detain aliens in order to effectuate their removal. Id. At 702. IN Clark V. Martinez, 543 US. 371 (2005), the Supreme Court held that its ruling in Zadvydas applies regulations also recognize that the HQPDU has a six months period for determining whether there is a significant like hood of an alien's removal in the reasonably foreseeable future. 8 C.F.R. § 241.13(b)(2)(ii).

1000	7 90'S Thompson the six m	905 and the removal order became fina on the presumptively reasonable removal period
1717	for petitioner ended on ? 90	5, 1995 OR 1996

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF COUNT ONE STATUTORY VOILATION

- Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs al statement listed
 Above.

COUNT TWO SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS VOILATION

- 3. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs above.
- 4. Petitioner's continued detention violates petitioner's right to substantive due process through a deprivation of the core liberty interest in freedom from bodily restraints.
- 5. The Due Process Clause of the 5TH Amendment requires that the deprivation of petitioner's liberty be narrowly tailored to serve a compelling government interest. While respondents would have an interest in detaining petitioner in order to effectuate removal, that interest does not justify the indefinite detention of petitioner, who is significantly likely to be removed in the foreseeable future. Zadvydas recognized that ICE may continue to detain aliens only for a period reasonably necessary to secure the aliens only for a period reasonably period during which ICE may detained in excess of six months

and petitioner's removal is not significantly likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future.

COUNT THREE PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS VOILATES

- 6. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs above.
- 7. Under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, an alien is entitled to a timely and meaningful opportunity to demonstrate that he/she should not be detained. Petitioner in this case has been detained that opportunity. ICE does not make decisions concerning alien's custody status in a neutral and impartial manner. The failure of respondent s to provide a neutral decision-maker to review to continued custody of petitioner violates petitioner's rights to procedural due process.

PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Court grant the following relief:

- 1. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- Grant petitioner a writ of habeas corpus directed directing the respondents to immediately release petitioner from custody;
- Enter preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoying respondents from further unlawful detention of petitioner.

- 4. Award petitioner attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), as amended 5 USC § 504 and 28 USC §2412, and on any other basis justified under law; and
- 5. Grant any other and further relief that this Court deem just and proper.

 I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sign: 1058 dois TAnnota No: 8/8/25
Petitioner 55

UNNOTARIZED OATH

Dest Reis Tamage certify under penalty of perjury,

pursuant to 28 USC §1476 that all statements and facts described in document

title ________are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date Executed: 8/8

Name: 65 E dois TAMANO

5:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

hereby certify that the true and correct copy of the forgoing document title, ilebers Lorenz Lorenz Bil. S.C. \$ 2241 - has been placed in the hands of security officials at Krome Services Processing Center, 18201 SW. 12TH St, Miami FL 33194 for the process of mailing via US mail Postal Services "through legal mail category" to the parties listed below.

	Date Executed: 8/8/25 Name: José Latis TAMAKO Sign: Sign: Amako
	Krome Processing Service Center: Warden 55
./	Mr. Reyes 182015.W. 12 ST. Miami, FLorida, 33194,
	18201 S.W. 12 ST. Miami, Florida. 33194
	* Kristi Noem, Secretary For U.S. DePartment of Home Land Security. Washing Ton D. C. 20528
	4. Panela Bondi United States ATTorney General. U.S. DePartment of Justice 950 Pernsylvania Ave Washingtong D. C. 20580
	3) - United States District Court, Southern District of Florida. (Miami Division). 400 NORTH Miami, RM, 8NO9, Michmi, Florida, 33128.
	TOO NOT IN FICIENT, MIN, SNOY, MITOMITE MILLIONIA 33198.

A Rome DROCESSING SIR. 18201 Sw 1250 Minni, Pt 33. Mit, de states Districk Southern Districk

SEP 0 3 2025

ANGELA E. NOBLE
S. D. OF FLA. MIAMI