# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO DIVISION

### CATALINA SANTIAGO SANTIAGO,

Petitioner,

v.

KRISTI NOEM, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,

PAMELA BONDI, in her official capacity as Attorney General of the United States;

TODD LYONS, in his official capacity as Acting Director and Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;

MARY DE ANDA-YBARRA, in her official capacity as Field Office Director of the El Paso Field Office of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Enforcement and Removal Operations;

ANGEL GARITE, in his official capacity as Assistant Field Office Director of the El Paso Field Office of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Enforcement and Removal Operations;

Respondents.

Case No. 3:25-cv-361-KC

## ADVISORY TO THE COURT

Petitioner Catalina Santiago Santiago ("Ms. Santiago") respectfully submits this Advisory to notify the Court of a recent development in her underlying immigration proceedings which bears on the issues presented in this case. On September 8, 2025, an immigration judge of the El Paso SPC Immigration Court issued a decision terminating Ms. Santiago's removal proceedings. A

reducted copy of the decision is attached as Exhibit 1. The Department of Homeland Security has reserved its right to appeal this decision and Ms. Santiago remains detained.

This Advisory is submitted to keep the Court apprised of pertinent developments as it considers the pending matter.

Dated: September 10, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher Benoit
Christopher Benoit
BENOIT LEGAL PLLC

311 Montana Ave, Ste B, El Paso, TX 79902

(915) 532-5544

chris@coylefirm.com

/s/ Luis Cortes Romero
Luis Cortes Romero\*
NOVO LEGAL

19309 68th Avenue South Suite R102

Kent, Washington 98032

(206) 212-0260

Luis@novo-legal.com

/s/ Amy Rubenstein
Amy Rubenstein\*
NOVO LEGAL

4280 Morrison Road

Denver, Colorado 80219 (303) 335-0250

amy@novo-legal.com

/s/ Bridget Pranzatelli

/s/ Stephanie E. Norton Bridget Pranzatelli\*

Stephanie E. Norton\*†

NATIONAL IMMIGRATION PROJECT

1763 Columbia Road NW

Suite 175 #896645

Washington, DC 20009

(202) 217-4742 bridget@nipnlg.org

ellie@nipnlg.org

/s/ Marisa Ong

Marisa Ong\*

SINGLETON SCHREIBER

6501 Americas Parkway NE Ste #670

Albuquerque, NM 87110

(575) 405-5192

mong@singletonschreiber.com

/s/ Norma Islas

Norma Islas

ISLAS LAW FIRM, PLLC

8201 Lockheed Drive, Ste. 216

El Paso, Texas 79925

(915) 599-9882

islaslaw@msn.com

- † Not admitted in DC; working remotely from Wyoming and admitted in New York only.
- ‡ Not admitted in DC; working remotely from and admitted in Louisiana only.

<sup>\*</sup> Admitted pro hac vice

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I represent Petitioner, Catalina "Xóchitl" Santiago Santiago, and verify that I served this filing on counsel for Respondents via electronic mail.

Dated: September 10, 2025 /s/ Christopher Benoit

Christopher Benoit

# EXHIBIT 1



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW EL PASO SPC IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:

SANTIAGO-SANTIAGO, CATALINA

To:

Islas, Norma Angelica 8201 Lockheed Drive Suite 216 El Paso, TX 79925

		4
A-N	IIIm	ber

Riders:

In Removal Proceedings
Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
Date:

09/08/2025

## ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

"The noncitizen is a beneficiary of . . . deferred action . . . ."

proceeding	Respondent  the Department of Homeland Security has filed a motion to terminate these as, and the non-moving party was accorded notice and an opportunity to respond. The poposed unopposed.
	considering the facts and circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to is $\square$ granted $\square$ with $\square$ without prejudice $\square$ denied because:
	The Department of Homeland Security $\square$ met $\square$ did not meet its burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent is removable as charged. 8 C.F.R. § 1240.8(a).
	Respondent $\square$ met $\square$ did not meet the burden of proving that Respondent is clearly and beyond a doubt entitled to admission to the United States and is not inadmissible as charged. 8 C.F.R. § 1240.8(b)-(c).
abla	Other.
$\square$	Further analysis/explanation:
Arriv	respondent has been accorded DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood vals). Her grant of DACA has not been terminated. As such, termination of emoval proceedings is expressly allowed by 8 C.F.R. 1003.18(d)(1)(ii)(C):

m. Pu

	Immigration Judge: PLETERS, MICHAEL 09/08/2025						
Appeal: Appeal Due	Department of Homeland Security: Respondent: :10/08/2025		waived waived		reserved		
Certificate of Service							
This document was served:							
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service   [ U ] Address Unavailable							
To: [ ] Alien   [ ] Alien c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Alien atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS							
Respondent Name: SANTIAGO-SANTIAGO, CATALINA   A-Number:							
Riders:							
Date: 09/08/2025 By: Davila, Aracely, Court Staff							