Viktor Borisovich Tairov

A#

Northwest Detention Center

1623 East J Street

Tacoma, WA 98421-1615

UNI
WEST

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

VIKTOR BORISOVICH TAIROV,

No.

Petitioner,

٧.

PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General of the United States; KRISTI NOEM, Secretary, United States Department of Homeland Security; CAMMILLA WAMSLEY, Seattle Field Office

WAMSLEY, Seattle Field Office Director, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; WARDEN of

Immigration Detention Facility; and the United States Immigration and Customs

Enforcement,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241

PETITION

1. Place of detention:

Northwest Detention Center, 1623 East J Street, Tacoma, Washington 98241-1615, pursuant to a contractual arrangement with my custodian, the ICE Field Office Director at Seattle, Washington.

- 2. Name and location of court and name of judge who imposed confinement: ICE Field Director, Seattle, Washington.
- 3. Case Number or numbers [ICE file number, if known]:



PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (Tairov v. Bondi, et.al., Case No.) - 1

1	4.	Date of Order of Confinement:		
2		A.	Taken into custody by ICE: June 4, 2025	
3		B.	Date of Order of Removal: October 28, 2003	
4	5.	Did yo	ou appeal from the judgment of conviction or the imposition of sentence:	
5		N/A		
6	6.	If you answered "yes" to (5), list		
7		A.	The name of each court or administrative tribunal to which you appealed:	
8			N/A	
9		B.	The result in each court to which you appealed:	
10	•		N/A	
11		C.	The date of each result:	
12			N/A	
13		D.	If known, citations of any written opinions or orders entered pursuant to	
14			such results:	
15			N/A	
16	7.	Have you previously filed petitions for habeas corpus, motions under section		
17		2255 of Title 28, United States Code, or any other applications, petitions or		
18		motions with respect to this confinement:		
19		None		
20	8.	If you	answered "yes" to (7), list with respect to each petition, motion or	
21		application:		
22		A.	The specific nature thereof:	
23			N/A	
24		B.	The name and location of the court in which each was filed:	
25			N/A	
26	;			

1	C.	The disposition:	
2		N/A	
3	D.	The date of such disposition:	
4		N/A	
5	E.	If known, citations of any written opinions or orders entered pursuant to	
6		each such disposition:	
7		N/A	
8	9. State concisely the grounds on which you base your allegation that you are being		
9	held i	in custody unlawfully, and the facts which support each of the grounds.	
10	GROUNDS FOR RELIEF		
11	A.	My indefinite detention by respondent ICE is in violation of my rights to	
12	procedural and substantive due process, as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment to the		
13	United States Constitution.		
14	В.	My rearrest despite my full my compliance with release conditions and	
15	despite no change in circumstances related to my removability violates both the due		
16	process guarantee and the Administrative Procedures Act.		
17	C.	Because I am seeking relief related only to my custody status, which is	
18	not inconsistent with an order of deportation, exhaustion of administrative remedies, if		
19	any, is not required.		
20	D.	I was taken into ICE custody on June 4, 2025. My order of removal	
21	became final on October 28, 2003. In 2011, I was detained over 180 days, at which time		
22	I was released from custody because ICE is unable to deport me.		
23	E.	ICE remains unable to effectuate my removal to Russia, which the State	
24	Department	has designated as "uncooperative" because it refuses to accept deportees in	
25	a timely manner. I have done everything that ICE has asked me to do to try to get travel		
26	documents and will cooperate fully in the process of getting travel documents.		

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (Tairov v. Bondi, et.al., Case No.) - 3

- F. After my release on supervision, I continually reported to ICE and complied with every other requirement. A court dismissed the charges related to my only arrest with prejudice three years ago. My monthly report date was scheduled for June 9, 2025. I received an email from D.O. Cvitanovic requesting that I appear on June 4, 2025 to pick up an "in-compliance" letter to use for renewing my Employment Authorization Document. That request was a ruse to rearrest me when I appeared as requested.
- G. My rearrest resulted from a change in ICE policy, not from an individualized assessment of my risk of flight or dangerousness, and not because of any increased likelihood of removal. I had always appeared at all of my scheduled report dates and was fully in compliance with ICE's requirements. At the time of my rearrest, the ICE officer told me that they did not have travel documents for me yet, and no one has come to visit me to update me regarding the status of my travel document.
- H. Removal to a third country would short-circuit the removal process already underway to my home country, deny me a meaningful opportunity to complete the process of removal to my country of nationality, and be purely punitive.
- I. My rearrest, despite full compliance with supervision conditions, violates my rights to Due Process, fails to comply with the APA's prohibition on arbitrary government action, and ignores the requirements of 8 CFR 241.13(i)(2). ICE did not follow its own rules when rearresting me. See Kong v. United States, 62 F.4th 608, 619-20 (1st Cir. 2023) (regulation requires ICE to show "(1) and individualized determination (2) by ICE that (3) based on changed circumstances (4) removal has become significantly likely in the reasonably foreseeable future."); Nguyen v. Hyde, 25-cv-11470-MJJ (D. Mass. June 2025) (Ordering petitioner's release when ICE could not meet its regulatory burden). ICE provided no lawful rationale for the decision to rearrest me. See Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe, 401 U.S. 402, 413-14

(1971) (citing 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A)-(D)) ("In all cases agency action must be set aside if the action was 'arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion or otherwise not in accordance with law' or if the action failed to meet statutory, procedural, or constitutional requirements.").

- J. I am neither a danger nor a flight risk. Since my release thirteen years ago, I have been a productive, law abiding member of the community. I have built a career in cloud communications as an engineer, working legally and paying taxes.
- K. Because there is not good reason to believe my removal will be effectuated in the foresecable future, ICE has no statutory authority pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(6) to detain me. Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001); Lin Guo Xi v. INS, 298 F.3d 832 (9th Cir. 2002); Thai v. Ashcroft, 366 F.3d 790 (9th Cir. 2004).
- L. The statute authorizing my detention, 8 U.S.C. § 1231, and related regulations are unconstitutional as applied to me and others like me. Because ICE's communications with my country establish no reason to believe I will be deported in the reasonably foreseeable future and because I am neither a danger nor a flight risk, my re- detention is purely a punitive measure that violates my constitutional rights. See Wong Wing v. U.S., 163 U.S. 228, 237 (1896) (holding that punitive measures could not be imposed upon immigrants ordered removed because "all persons within the territory of the United States are entitled to the protection" of the Constitution).
- 10. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court or administrative body as to the claims raised above?
 N/A
- 11. Have you exhausted your administrative remedies with respect to the claims raised above?
- See 9(C), above.

12. If you are seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis, have you completed the sworn affidavit setting forth the required information?

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (Tairov v. Bondi, et.al., Case No.) - 6

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Based upon the illegal and unconstitutional actions listed above, I request that the Court grant my petition and direct respondent to release me from custody, as well as any other relief to which I may be entitled in this proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

I verify, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information is true and correct to the best of my recollection.

DATED this day of August, 2025.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Respectfully submitted,

Viktor Borisovich Tairov [SIGN NAME]

The the state and deposit with the state of the state of

16.1 . Carologian and a companient of the compan

A# Detention

Northwest Detention Center 1623 East J Street Tacoma, WA 98421-1615

In Propria Persona

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (Tairov v. Bondi, et.al., Case No.) - 7