SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT REGARDING JURISDICTION

3:25-cv-06695-AMO

1 | 2 | s | 3 | j | 4 |]

4 5

67

8

10

11

12

13 Dated: August 29, 2025

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

1

On August 22, 2025, the Court ordered "the Government, by August 29, 2025, to file a supplemental statement no longer than two pages indicating its position on whether the Court would lose jurisdiction over Ortiz Calderon's habeas proceedings if the Government transferred her out of this District." See Dkt. 23.

If habeas jurisdiction is properly established in this District, the Court would not lose jurisdiction if Petitioner were later transferred out of this District. The Supreme Court has held that "when the Government moves a habeas petitioner after she properly files a petition naming her immediate custodian, the District Court retains jurisdiction and may direct the writ to any respondent within its jurisdiction who has legal authority to effectuate the prisoner's release." *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426, 441 (2004); *see also Salcedo Aceros v. Kaiser, et al.*, No. 25-CV-06924-RMI (EKL), 2025 WL 2453968, at *3 n. 1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2025) (citing *Rumsfeld*).

Respectfully submitted,

CRAIG H. MISSAKIAN United States Attorney

/s/ Michael A. Keough
MICHAEL A. KEOUGH
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Respondents