1 2 3 4 5 6	ADAM GORDON United States Attorney SAMUEL W. BETTWY, SBN 94918 MARY CILE GLOVER-ROGERS, SBN 32 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Office of the U.S. Attorney 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, CA 92101-8893 619-546-7125 / 619-546-7751 (fax)	1254		
7	Attorneys for Respondents			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DEV DENIZO V A ZENAT	Case No. 25cv1926 DMS DEB		
11 12	REY DENZO KAZEMI,	CLID DEDLY TO DETITIONED C		
13	Petitioner,	SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING		
14	VS.			
15 16	JEREMY CASEY, in his official capacity as Warden of the Imperial Regional Detention Facility; et al.,			
17	Respondents.			
18				
19	This a sur-reply to Petitioner's August 7, 2025, post-hearing, Supplemental Brief.			
20	ECF No. 21.			
21	July 10, 2025 interview with ICE Officer Linda Lopez			
22	Petitioner provides handwritten notes that provide details of his interview with			
23	Officer Lopez on July 10, 2025. Id. at 11. Those notes corroborate Officer Lopez's			
24	statement ¹ that there was an interview during which she provided an explanation and			
25		Petitioner was represented by counsel at the		
26	time of the interview, id. ("my attorney was present, Mr. Ali Farahmand"), and that his			
27	1 444-1-1-			
28	Petitioner's counsel on August 6, 2025.	email, which was provided to the Court and		

counsel was permitted to ask questions. *Id.* ("May attorney asked if they can issue bond or place me on an ankle monitor and she said no").

Petitioner's notes directly contradict his own July 29, 2025 sworn declaration. See ECF No. 2-2, para. 10 ("Upon my arrival, ICE advised that my release was revoked, and ICE detained me immediately without explanation or discussion"), para. 13 ("I have not participated in any interview, discussion, or other procedure"), id., para. 20 ("Aside from the Notice of Revocation, I have received no explanation for my detention.").

Also, Petitioner's notes were written "[o]n the advice of his immigration counsel," ECF No. 21 at 2, who was present at the July 10, 2025 interview. According to Petitioner's handwritten notes concerning the May 6, 2025 interview, the ICE officer told him to return in two months and that Petitioner asked the officer "why in 2 months because I've been checking in every 12 months." *Id.* at 10. Petitioner's notes show that he was concerned about the upcoming July 10, 2025 check-in, which explains why he brought his immigration attorney with him to the July 10, 2025 interview. This raises the question whether Petitioner's notes, written upon the advice of counsel, accurately reflect all that occurred at the interview.

July 30, 2025 interview with ICE Officer Adrian Gonzalez

Petitioner also provides handwritten notes about his July 30, 2025 interview with Officer Gonzalez, which state that he asked Officer Gonzalez about the success rate of resettling Iranians and that Officer Gonzalez responded that "80% stay in the U.S. if they can't find a 3rd country to deport to." *Id.* at 8. Officer Gonzalez reported to the undersigned that Petitioner is the first Iranian that he has ever processed for removal, whether it be for repatriation or resettlement. And, as the Court noted at the August 6, 2025 hearing, the current resettlement program is recent and unprecedented, so there is clearly not enough data to formulate a statistic about the success rate of resettlements. The statistics offered by Petitioner concern repatriations of Iranians in the last five years, *id.* at 3, and if Officer Gonzalez was referring to those statistics, they have no bearing on what ICE's success rate will be under the current resettlement program.

1 2

3 4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

The period of presumptively reasonable re-detention

Petitioner argues that that there is no presumptively reasonable period of detention upon re-detention, even after a lengthy period of supervised release. That argument does not jibe with the Supreme Court's holding in Zadvydas, which is based on reasonableness and a heightened standard after ICE has been given a reasonable opportunity to execute the outstanding warrant of removal. Likewise, it would not be reasonable to interpret ICE's regulatory framework in a manner that would make re-detentions for removal impracticable. ICE has broad discretion to revoke an order of supervision to execute a warrant of removal. See 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(I)(2)(iii) ("Release may be revoked in the exercise of discretion when, in the opinion of the revoking official . . . (iii) It is appropriate to enforce a removal order or to commence removal proceedings against an alien. . .").

At the August 6, 2025 hearing, the undersigned referred to caselaw holding that, upon re-detention, ICE has at least ninety days to execute the warrant of removal before having to bear the burden of showing significant likelihood of removal in the reasonably foreseeable future. See Nhean v. Brott, No. CV 17-28 (PAM/FLN), 2017 WL 2437268, at *2 (D. Minn. May 2, 2017), report and recommendation adopted, No. CV 17-28 (PAM/FLN), 2017 WL 2437246 (D. Minn. June 5, 2017) ("Nhean's 90-day removal period began to run on October 12, 2010, when his removal order became final, and he was released after 91 days of custody to supervised release on January 11, 2011. Nhean was transferred back into ICE custody on August 26, 2016. Nhean's detention was presumptively reasonable for an additional 90 days (six months in total)"), cited in Sied v. Nielsen, No. 17-CV-06785-LB, 2018 WL 1876907, at *6 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2018); Farah v. INS, No. Civ. 02-4725(DSD/RLE), 2003 WL 221809, at *5 (D. Minn. Jan. 29, 2013) (holding that when the government releases a noncitizen and then revokes the release based on changed circumstances, "the revocation would merely restart the 90-day removal period, not necessarily the presumptively reasonable six-month detention period under Zadvydas").

///

Recent resettlements

As the undersigned stated at the hearing, it was widely reported on August 5, 2025, that the administration is making progress with resettlements. See, e.g., CNN, Rwanda agrees to take in up to 250 migrants deported from the US, Aug. 5, 2025, https://www.cnn.com/2025/08/05/africa/us-rwanda-migrants-deal-intl; Associated Press, Rwanda agrees to take deportees from the US after a previous migrant deal with the UK collapsed, Aug. 5, 2025, https://apnews.com/article/trump-immigrants-deportees-rwanda-us-bb5edea43bb470e76af3ecee5ddad10c ("Government spokesperson Makolo said the agreement with the U.S. was Rwanda doing its part to help with international migration issues because 'our societal values are founded on reintegration and rehabilitation.'"); see also Associated Press, US completes deportation of 8 men to South Sudan after weeks of legal wrangling, July 5, 2025, https://apnews.com/article/trump-south-sudan-djibouti-deport-supreme-court-50f9162cff680b5c8729873e11d514e9 ("The immigrants from Cuba, Laos, Mexico, Myanmar, Vietnam and South Sudan arrived in South Sudan on Friday after a federal judge cleared the way for the Trump administration to relocate them in a case that had gone to the Supreme Court.").

Ninety-Day Custody Review

As the undersigned stated at the August 6, 2025 hearing, and as Officer Gonzalez explained to Petitioner, a ninety-day custody review will be conducted pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(l)(3). The undersigned therefore recommends a status report and/or hearing in mid-October 2025.

DATED:	August	7,	2025
--------	--------	----	------

ADAM GORDON United States Attorney

s/Samuel W. Bettwy SAMUEL W. BETTWY

MARY CILE GLOVER-ROGERS

Assistant U.S. Attorneys

From:

<u>Lopez, Linda</u>

Contreras, Julia; Shields, Charles R

Cc:

RE: Reza Kazemi, A035-807-042; 25cv1926 Wednesday, August 6, 2025 6:48:57 AM

Subject:

Date:

Good morning,

was provided an interview regarding his OSUP revocation. Reza KAZEMI, A

immigration cases were being reviewed and due to the fact that he had a final order of removal was not able to answer at that time were: Where was he to be housed, and what time would he to effect his removal. After explaining this, I asked KAZEMI, if he had any further questions. On 07/10/2025, Reza KAZEMI, A035 807 042, reported to the Santa Ana Sub-Office (SAA) issued, his OSUP was being revoked, and he was going to be taken into immigration custody revocation memo and the Warrant of Removal, Form I-205, I reiterated to KAZEMI that his Once his questions were answered, he was arrested. When KAZEMI was served the OSUP ERO, and was interviewed regarding his immigration case. I explained to KAZEMI that all questions or concerns. He did, and I answered his questions. Two questions he asked that I OSUP was revoked to effect his removal from the U.S., and I asked if he had any further be transferred from SAA ERO.

Please advise if any further information is needed.

Respectfully,

Linda Lopez Deportation Officer Los Angeles Field Office, Santa Ana Sub-office

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Enforcement and Removal Operations

that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, Wanning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information either in written or verbal form. The contents of this communication are considered to be draft, deliberative, and without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO pre-decisional in nature.