ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Case No. 3:25-cv-01926-DMS-DEB

3

8

12

11

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

TO THE CLERK OF COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Local Rule 83.3(g) and having provided notice to Defendants of this motion, ("Petitioner" or "Mr. ") moves this Court for a Petitioner temporary restraining order and for the issuance of an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue as follows:

- A temporary restraining order that Respondents JEREMY CASEY, in 1) his official capacity as Warden of the Imperial Regional Detention Facility; DAVID MARIN, in his official capacity as Field Office Director of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Enforcement and Removal Operations Los Angeles Field Office; KRISTI NOEM, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; and PAMELA BONDI, in her official capacity as Attorney General of the United States be immediately enjoined from continuing to detain Mr. and following his release, be enjoined from re-detaining Mr. without complying with all applicable regulatory, statutory, and constitutional requirements predicate to such detention. Mr. additionally seeks to enjoin Respondents from removing him from the United States to Iran or any other country without first complying with all applicable regulatory, statutory, and constitutional requirements predicate to such removal.
- Respondents shall show cause on ______, 2025 at _____ why a 2) preliminary injunction should not issue requiring them to act as described above.
- The temporary restraining order shall remain effective until such time as 3) the Court has ruled on whether a preliminary injunction should issue. Such relief is necessary to prevent Respondents from violating Mr. s rights and applicable regulatory, statutory, and constitutional provisions.

1 2

4

5

3

6 7

8

11

10

12 13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

TITIONER'S M

Dated: July 30, 2025

Mr. requests that this Court waive any bond requirement because enjoining Respondents from violating applicable regulatory, statutory, and constitutional provisions will not financially affect Respondents.

This Motion is made on the grounds that Mr. is likely to succeed on the merits of this case, will suffer irreparable harm without immediate injunctive relief, the balance of equities tips sharply in his favor, and the relief sought is in the public interest. The accompanying Memorandum of Law, declarations, and exhibits set forth the specific reasons, authorities, and facts warranting immediate relief.

On July 29, 2025, counsel for Petitioner contacted counsel for Respondents, Caroline Prime of the US Attorney's Office for the Southern District of California. Counsel for Petitioner gave notice to Ms. Prime that Petitioner would be filing the instant habeas petition as well as a motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and a Preliminary Injunction. Ms. Prime referred counsel for Petitioner to Erin Dimbleby, Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of California. Counsel for Petitioner further notified Ms. Dimbleby that Petitioner would be filing the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus as well as a motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. Ms. Dimbleby informed counsel for Petitioner that she had reached out to ICE and would remit with any updates once they were notified of Petitioner's case. Counsel for Petitioner further notified counsel for Respondents that courtesy copies of the foregoing would be sent by electronic mail as well as served under Fed. R Civ. P. 4.

STEPTOE LLP

/s/Michelle S. Kallen

Michelle S. Kallen Michel Paradis

Jason Wright Patrick Fields

Attorneys for Petitioner



- 3 -