

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LAREDO DIVISION

JOSE PADRON COVARRUBIAS,

§

Petitioner,

§

V.

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:25-CV-112

MIGUEL VERGARA, *et al.*,

§

Respondents.

§

§

ADVISORY

A hearing is set on Petitioner's Emergency Opposed Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction, (Dkt. No. 24), for October 8, 2025, at 1:30 p.m. (Dkt. No. 25). Respondents have filed their response to the motion, (Dkt. No. 28), and the motion is now fully briefed.

Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, “[b]efore or after beginning the hearing on a motion for a preliminary injunction, the court may advance the trial on the merits and consolidate it with the hearing.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(2); *Univ. of Texas v. Camenisch*, 451 U.S. 390, 395 (1981) (citation modified) (noting that “the parties should normally receive clear and unambiguous notice of the court’s intent to consolidate the trial and the hearing either before the hearing commences or at a time which will still afford the parties a full opportunity to present their respective cases”).

After reviewing the briefing, the Court finds that there has been a sufficient opportunity for the parties to brief the ultimate issue in the petition. The Court advises the parties that it may consolidate the hearing with the merits of the habeas petition, (Dkt. No. 3).

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED on October 7, 2025.


John A. Kazen
United States District Judge