IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA COLUMBUS DIVISION

Y.R.P.,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 4:25-cv-173-CDL-AGH 28 U.S.C. § 2241

DEPARTMENT of

HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,

Respondents.

RECOMMENDATION OF DISMISSAL

Pending before the Court is Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (ECF No. 1). Petitioner did not submit the required filing fee or motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") with his application. Accordingly, the Clerk notified Petitioner of the need to submit the filing fee or an IFP motion within twenty-one (21) days. Notice of Deficiency, Jun. 5, 2025. Petitioner was warned that "[f]ailure to comply with this notice may result in dismissal by the court." *Id.* The Clerk's notice was returned as undeliverable due to Petitioner no longer being at Folkston Ice Processing Center—the facility Petitioner gave as his address when he filed his petition. Mail Returned, ECF No. 3; Pet. 1, ECF No. 1. Petitioner did not pay the filing fee or move to proceed IFP.

On July 31, 2025, the Court ordered Petitioner to show cause within fourteen days as to why his Petition should not be dismissed for failure to comply. Order, ECF No. 4. Therein, Petitioner was warned that "[f]ailure to respond will likely result in the dismissal of this action." *Id.* The Order to Show Cause was also returned to the

Court as undeliverable with a notation that Petitioner was not at Folkston ICE Processing Center. See Mail Returned, ECF No. 5. The Court has no other address for Petitioner, and without an address, this case cannot proceed. Further, Petitioner has not paid the required filing fee, filed a motion to proceed IFP, or shown cause as to why his petition should not be dismissed. It is therefore recommended that this case be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (allowing for involuntary dismissal for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute or comply with a court order).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Petitioner may serve and file written objections to this Recommendation, or seek an extension of time to file objections, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy hereof. Any objection should be no longer than TWENTY (20) PAGES in length. See M.D. Ga. L.R. 7.4. The district judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the Recommendation to which objection is made. All other portions of the Recommendation may be reviewed for clear error.

Petitioner is hereby notified that, pursuant to Eleventh Circuit Rule 3-1, "[a] party failing to object to a magistrate judge's findings or recommendations contained in a report and recommendation in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions if the party was informed of the time period for objecting and the consequences on appeal for failing to object. In the absence of a proper objection, however, the court may review on appeal for plain error

if necessary in the interests of justice."

SO RECOMMENDED, this 16th day of September, 2025.

s/ Amelia G. Helmick
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE