FILED 25 MAG 5 AM 8:09 MDGA-COL

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT. FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA COUMBUS DIVISION

Luis Albergo Alvanez Luan,	Civil Action No.
Petitioner,	
v.	
PAN BONDY , ATTORNEY	
GENERAL; NOEM	
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY;	
SEAN ERVIN	
U.S. ICE FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR FOR THE <b>LE ELO ATLANTA</b> FIELD OFFICE	
and WARDEN OF IMMIGRATION	2
detention facility, <b>StewART</b>	9
Respondents.	
	1

# PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Petitioner, LILL ABERTO AVALET CUAN hereby petitions this Court for a writ of habeas corpus to remedy Petitioner's unlawful detention by Respondents. In support of this petition and complaint for injunctive relief, Petitioner alleges as follows:

#### CUSTODY

1. Petitioner is in the physical custody of Respondents and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). Petitioner is detained at the

Petitioner is under the direct control of Respondents and their agents.

#### JURISDICTION

- 2. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States, and the Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq., as amended by the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA"), Pub. L. No. 104 - 208, 110 Stat. 1570, and the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241; art. I § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution ("Suspension Clause"); and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Petitioner is presently in custody under color of the authority of the United States. and such custody is in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States, This Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, 5 U.S.C. § 702, and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.
- 4. Petitioner has exhausted any and all administrative remedies to the extent required by law.

#### VENUE

5. Pursuant to Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky, 410 U.S. 484, 493 - 500 (1973), venue lies in the United States District Court for the

COLUMBUS DIVISION, the judicial district in which Petitioner	
resides.	
PARTIES	
6. Petitioner is a native and citizen of <u>CUBA</u> . Petitioner was	
first taken into ICE custody on NOVEMBEL 14, 1014, and has remained in ICE	
custody continuously since that date. Petitioner was ordered removed on	
08/13/2024 EVENT NO: ATL 240 3000 177	
ATLANTA, GA, DOLKET PONTRA OFFICE	
7. Respondent PAM BONDY is the Attorney General of the	
United States and is responsible for the administration of ICE and the	
implementation and enforcement of the Immigration & Naturalization Act (INA).	
As such, PAN BOXOY has ultimate custodial authority over Petitioner.	
8. Respondent VUSTI, NOEM is the Secretary of the	
Department of Homeland Security. He is responsible for the administration of ICE	
and the implementation and enforcement of the INA. As such, Wisti, NOFM is	
the legal custodian of Petitioner.	
9. Respondent CEAN FRUIN is the Field Office Director of the	
EN ATLANTA Field Office of ICE and is Petitioner's immediate custodian	
See Vásquez v. Reno, 233 F.3d 688, 690 (1st Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 122 S. Ct. 43	
(2001).	

10. Respondent Warden of STEWART DETENTION CENTRAPER Petitioner is currently detained under the authority of ICE, alternatively may be considered to be Petitioner's immediate custodian.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

11. Petitioner WIS AFERTO HIVAREZ WAN is a native and citizen of
CUBA. Petitioner has been in ICE custody since 11, 14, 2014.
An Immigration Judge ordered the Petitioner removed on 01, 15, 2025
IMMIGRATION COURT LUMPKIN, GEORGIA
12. THE FACILITY OF STEWART DETENTION CENTER
DOESN'T HAVE THE CAPACITY TO TAKE PROPER CARE OF
THE DETAINERS DUE TO it'S ACTUAL PROBLEM OF
BEING OUEL CROWRED.
13. THE FACILITY OF STEWART DETENTION CENTER
CAN'T PROVIDE ME WITH THE RIGHT MEDICAL ABBISTANCE
AS CAROME CARE (2) PATIENT CARDIDVASCULAR AND
THEIR REASON ITS THA THEY DON'T HAVE THE
BUDGET.
14.

15. To date, however, ICE has been unable to remove Petitioner to
CUBA or any other country
or any other country.
16. Petitioner has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him
- ACC
from the United States. PROVEIDE ALL INFORMATION TO
ICE OFFICER. VOLUNTARILY.
17. Petitioner's custody status was first reviewed on DELEMBER 05, 2012
On August 13. 2014 . Petitioner was served with a written decision
On BOOTO . Petitioner was served with a written decision
ordering his/her continued detention.

18. On NOVEMBER 14, 2024. Petitioner was served with a notice
transferring authority over his/her custody status to ICE Headquarters Post-Order
Detention Unit ("HQPDU"). The ENO ATLANTA FIELD OFFICE
ATLANTA GA 30303

## LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR RELIEF SOUGHT

19. In Zadvydas v. Davis. 533 U.S. 678 (2001), the Supreme Court held that six months is the presumptively reasonable period during which ICE may detain aliens in order to effectuate their removal. Id. at 702. In Clark v. Martinez, 543 U.S. 371 (2005), the Supreme Court held that its ruling in Zadvydas applies equally to inadmissible aliens. Department of Homeland Security administrative regulations also recognize that the HQPDU has a six-month period for determining whether there is a significant likelihood of an alien's removal in the reasonably foreseeable future. 8 C.F.R. § 241.13(b)(2)(ii).

20. Petitioner was ordered removed on 13 \ 2024 order became final on 01 15 2025 Therefore, the six-month presumptively reasonable removal period for Petitioner ended on 05

#### CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

#### COUNT ONE

#### STATUTORY VIOLATION

- 21. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. Petitioner's continued detention by Respondents is unlawful and contravenes 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(6) as interpreted by the Supreme Court in Zadvydas. The six-month presumptively reasonable period for removal efforts has expired. Petitioner still has not been removed, and Petitioner continues to languish in detention. Petitioner's removal to LUBA \_\_\_ or any other country is not significantly likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future. The Supreme Court held in Zadvydas and Martinez that ICE's continued detention of someone like Petitioner under such circumstances is unlawful.

### COUNT TWO

#### SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

- 23. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 24. Petitioner's continued detention violates Petitioner's right to substantive due process through a deprivation of the core liberty interest in freedom from bodily restraint.
- 25. The Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment requires that the deprivation of Petitioner's liberty be narrowly tailored to serve a compelling

government interest. While Respondents would have an interest in detaining Petitioner in order to effectuate removal, that interest does not justify the indefinite detention of Petitioner, who is not significantly likely to be removed in the reasonably foreseeable future. Zadvydas recognized that ICE may continue to detain aliens only for a period reasonably necessary to secure the alien's removal. The presumptively reasonable period during which ICE may detain an alien is only six months. Petitioner has already been detained in excess of six months and Petitioner's removal is not significantly likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future.

## COUNT THREE

## PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

- 26. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 above.
- 27. Under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, an alien is entitled to a timely and meaningful opportunity to demonstrate that s/he should not be detained. Petitioner in this case has been denied that opportunity. ICE does not make decisions concerning aliens' custody status in a neutral and impartial manner. The failure of Respondents to provide a neutral decision-maker to review the continued custody of Petitioner violates Petitioner's right to procedural due process.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Court grant the following relief:

- 1) Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- 2) Grant Petitioner a writ of habeas corpus directing the Respondents to immediately release Petitioner from custody;
- 3) Enter preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Respondents from further unlawful detention of Petitioner;
- 4) Award Petitioner attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), as amended, 5 U.S.C. § 504 and 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and on any other basis justified under law; and
- 5) Grant any other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Pelitioner

Date executed

STEWART DETENTION CENTER 146 CCA ROAD, LUMPKIN GEORGIA. 31015