# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA COLUMBUS DIVISION

SARYLBEKOV MUKHTARBEK,

:

Petitioner,

Case No. 4:25-CV-142-CDL-CHW

v. : 28 U.S.C. § 2241

WARDEN, STEWART DETENTION

CENTER,<sup>1</sup>

:

Respondent. :

#### **MOTION TO DISMISS**

On May 1, 2025, Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus ("Petition"). ECF No. 1. On June 4, 2025, the Court ordered Respondent to file a response within twenty-one days. ECF No. 6. In lieu of a response, Respondent files this Motion to Dismiss the Petition. Petitioner was removed from the United States on June 3, 2025, and the Petition should consequently be dismissed as moot.

#### **ARGUMENT**

On June 3, 2025, the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") removed Petitioner from the United States. *See* Ex A, Form I-205, Warrant of Removal/Deportation. Because Petitioner is no longer in Respondent's custody, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner names the Attorney General along with the United States Department of Homeland Security, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and officials with both agencies as Respondents in his Petition. "[T]he default rule [for claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2241] is that the proper respondent is the warden of the facility where the prisoner is being held, not the Attorney General or some other remote supervisory official." *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426, 434-35 (2004) (citations omitted). Thus, Respondent has substituted the Warden of Stewart Detention Center as the sole appropriately named respondent in this action.

Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over his claims. Accordingly, the Court should dismiss the Petition as moot.

The case-or-controversy requirement of Article III, section 2 of the United States Constitution subsists through all stages of federal judicial proceedings. See Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). A petitioner "must have suffered, or be threatened with, an actual injury traceable to the defendant and likely to be redressed by a favorable judicial decision." Lewis v. Cont'l Bank Corp., 494 U.S. 472, 477 (1990). "The doctrine of mootness derives directly from the case or controversy limitation because an action that is moot cannot be characterized as an active case or controversy." Soliman v. United States, 296 F.3d 1237, 1242 (11th Cir. 2002) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "Put another way, a case is moot when it no longer presents a live controversy with respect to which the court can grant meaningful relief." Fla. Ass'n of Rehab. Facilities, Inc. v. Fla. Dep't of Health & Rehab. Servs., 225 F.3d 1208, 1217 (11th Cir. 2000) (internal quotation mark and citation omitted). Thus, "[i]f events that occur subsequent to the filing of a lawsuit or an appeal deprive the court of the ability to give the plaintiff or appellant meaningful relief, then the case is moot and must be dismissed." Al Najjar v. Ashcroft, 273 F.3d 1330, 1336 (11th Cir. 2001). "Indeed, dismissal is required because mootness is jurisdictional." *Id.*; see also De La Teja v. United States, 321 F.3d 1357, 1362 (11th Cir. 2003). Once a petitioner has been removed from the United States, the dispute regarding his detention is rendered moot and must be dismissed. See Soliman, 296 F.3d at 1243.

Here, Petitioner requested release from custody. Pet. 8, ECF No. 1. He was removed from the United States on June 3, 2025, and is no longer in Respondent's custody. Ex. A. Because Petitioner is not in Respondent's custody, the Court can no longer give Petitioner any meaningful relief regarding his detention. Accordingly, the Petition is moot and should be dismissed.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Respondent respectfully requests that the Petition be dismissed as moot.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of June, 2025.

WILLIAM R. KEYES UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

s/Roger C. Grantham, Jr. BY:

ROGER C. GRANTHAM, JR. Assistant United States Attorney

Georgia Bar No. 860338

United States Attorney's Office

Middle District of Georgia

P. O. Box 2568

Columbus, Georgia 31902 Phone: (706) 649-7728

roger.grantham@usdoj.gov

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I have this date filed the Motion to Dismiss with the Clerk of the United States District Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

N/A

I further certify that I have this date mailed by United States Postal Service the document and a copy of the Notice of Electronic Filing to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Sarylbekov Mukhtarbek A# Stewart Detention Center P.O. Box 248 Lumpkin, GA 31815

This 24th day of June, 2025

BY: <u>s/Roger C. Grantham, Jr.</u>
ROGER C. GRANTHAM, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney