

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**M.K., S.D., M.R.,**

Petitioners

v.

**RICHARD JONES, SHERIFF BUTLER  
COUNTY, OHIO, ET AL.**

Respondents

**Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-281**

**Judge Matthew W. McFarland**

**Magistrate Judge Stephanie K. Bowman**

---

**RESPONDENT RICHARD JONES MOTION TO DISMISS PETITIONERS' PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

---

Now comes Respondent Richard Jones, Sheriff Butler County, Ohio by and through undersigned counsel and respectfully requests this Court dismiss Petitioners' Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). A memorandum in support is attached.

Respectfully submitted.

Michael T. Gmoser  
Prosecuting Attorney of Butler County, Ohio

By 

Kevin J. Gerrity, 0085771

Chief Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

P.O. Box 515

Hamilton, OH 45012-0515

(513) 887-3478

Fax: (513) 887-3748

Kevin.Gerrity@bcohio.gov

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION.....1  
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....3  
PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND STATEMENT OF FACTS.....4  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT.....4  
CONCLUSION.....5  
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....6

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CASES**

Bassett v. National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n, 528 F.3d 4265, 430 (2008).....5

Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed 2d 929, (2007).....5

Braden v. 30<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Ct. of Ky., 410 U.S. 484, 93 S.Ct. 1123, 35 L.Ed.2d 443 (1973)..4

Henderson v. INS, 157 F.3d 106, 122 (2d Cir.1998).....5

Roman v. Ashcroft et al., 340 F.3d 314, 319 (2003).....4,5

Vasquez v. Reno, 233 F.3d 688, 696 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 2000).....4

**STATUTES**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....4,5

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Petitioners filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Declaratory and Injunctive Relief with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio on May 1, 2025. Respondent Richard Jones, Sheriff of Butler County, received service of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on May 9, 2025. Respondent cannot provide any factual background for this matter as he is unable to determine if the Petitioners are in his custody due to Petitioners' use of initials in place of legal names. Respondent does acknowledge that a contract exists between Butler County and United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") for the housing of aliens awaiting deportation process by ICE and that Respondent does hold such persons at the Butler County Jail.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

Respondent Jones, as stated above, cannot determine at the time of this filing if the three Petitioners are in his custody due to the fact they are not named in the Petition for Habeas Corpus. Assuming arguendo that all three Petitioners are in fact being detained at the Butler County Jail Respondent Jones, despite Petitioners' claims, is not the Petitioners' custodian in this case. Therefore the Petition for Habeas Corpus must be dismissed against Respondent Jones pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). "As a general rule, a petitioner should name as a respondent to his habeas corpus petition 'the individual having day-to-day control over the facility in which [the alien] is being detained.'" Roman v. Ashcroft et al., 340 F.3d 314, 319 (2003), quoting in part, Vasquez v. Reno, 233 F.3d 688, 696 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 2000). A "writ of habeas corpus does not act upon the prisoner who seeks relief, but upon the person who holds him in what is alleged to be unlawful custody." Roman at 319, quoting, Braden v. 30<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Ct. of Ky., 410 U.S. 484, 495-95, 93 S.Ct. 1123, 35 L.E.d.2d 443 (1973).

The Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals has ruled in the case of aliens detained by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) (previously Immigration and Naturalization) that, “although the warden of each detention facility technically has day-to-day control over alien detainees, the INS District Director for the district where alien detainees, the INS District Director for the district where a detention facility is located ‘has power over’ alien habeas corpus petitions.” Roman at 320, citing, Henderson v. INS, 157 F.3d 106, 122 (2d Cir.1998). Where local law enforcement contract with ICE to hold aliens detained by the federal government the

wardens of all these facilities act pursuant to INS Detention Standards and are considered agents of the INS District Director in their district. It is clear that the INS does not vest power over detained aliens in the wardens of detention facilities because the INS relies on state and local governments to house federal INS detainees. Whatever daily control state and local governments have over federal INDS detainees, they have that control solely pursuant to the direction of the INS. Roman at 320.


Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) requires dismissal of an action if the Petitioner has failed, “to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.” “Factual allegations contained in a complaint must ‘raise a right to relief above the speculative level.’” Bassett v. National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n, 528 F.3d 4265, 430 (2008), quoting in part, Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed 2d 929, (2007). While Petitioners may or may not be entitled to the relief they seek, such relief cannot be granted by Respondent Jones as he is not the immediate custodian of Petitioners but merely a contractual agent of ICE.

### **CONCLUSION**

Wherefore, for all of the reasons stated above, Respondent prays this Honorable Court grant his motion to dismiss the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Declaratory and Injunctive Relief.

Respectfully submitted.


Michael T. Gmoser  
Prosecuting Attorney of Butler County, Ohio

By   
Kevin J. Gerrity, 0085771  
Chief Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
P.O. Box 515  
Hamilton, OH 45012-0515  
(513) 887-3478  
Fax: (513) 887-3748  
Kevin.Gerrity@bcOhio.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing pleading was electronically filed on May 14, 2025, and will automatically be served on all the parties for whom counsel has entered an appearance.

Michael T. Gmoser  
Prosecuting Attorney of Butler County, Ohio

By   
Kevin J. Gerrity, 0085771  
Chief Assistant Prosecuting Attorney