IS 44 (Rev. 03/24) Case 2:25-cv-00403-WJ & FRIL POSITE Filed 04/28/25 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
Ben Benyamin Malool				Dora Castro, Mary De Anda-Ybarra, Todd Lyons, Kristi Noem, Pamela J. Bondi							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Essex County, NJ				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant							
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (from Nome	Address and Telephone Monthly	v:		Attorneys (If En		LANDIN	VOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Christopher A. Dodd, Dodd Law Office, LLC, 500				Automeys (4) Kn	UWA)						
아이아 아이 아이 아이는 아이를 가게 하지만 그 아이가 되었다.	NW, Suite 1330, Albu		7102								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Flace an "X" in O	ine Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O		INCIPA		Flace an "X" in and One Box for .			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party i	Citize	en of This State	1		Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		PTF 4	DEF 4	
x 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Farties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		_ 5	5	
			100	en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		_ 6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Tre	Section Action			for: Nature of S				
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY			ORFEITURE/PENAL 5 Drug Related Seizur			KRUPTCY leal 28 USC 158		STATUT		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881		422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal		375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC			
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	F 69	0 Other	- 1	28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL		3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment			
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS		410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking			
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			-	820 Cop 830 Pate		450 Comm		ng	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product					nt - Abbreviated	460 Deport		nced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability				840 Tra	Drug Application demark	The second secon	t Organiza		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		The state of the s	end Trade Secrets	480 Consu			
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	1	 Fair Labor Standards Act 	8	Act	of 2016	485 Teleph	SC 1681 or one Consu		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management	F		L SECURITY		tion Act		
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	H		. (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	490 Cable/ 850 Securi		odities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical		863 DIV	VC/DIWW (405(g))	Excha	nge		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	VS 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	on -		D Title XVI (405(g))	890 Other : 891 Agricu			
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retiremen			(100(8//	893 Enviro			
220 Foredosure		× 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	. [1000	AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo	m of Infor	mation	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			- 1	C/01/10/05/10/10/10	es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitra	ition		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General				200000000000000000000000000000000000000	—Third Party	899 Admin	istrative P	rocedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	146	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Appli	cation	26	USC 7609		view or Ap Decision		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		5 Other Immigration	canon			950 Consti			
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights		Actions	- 1			State S	tatutes		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -			- 1						
		Conditions of			- 1						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" :	n One Per Only)	Confinement						I			
1 Original 2 Res	moved from 3 R	emanded from	1000		ansferr	ed from	6 Multidistri	11 523	Multidis		
				(.97	pecify)		Transfer		Direct F		
	Cite the U.S. Civil State 28 U.S.C. Section 2241(re filing (1	o not cite jurisdiction	al statui	les uniess di	versity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cau	ise:	325	3							
THE DECLIFORED IN	Emergency Petition for V						moures 1				
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND: Yes No											
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE James D.	Barretto.	Peabody District Cou	urt, MA	DOCK	ET NUMBER 25	86CR000054			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD							
4/28/2025		histophe	- +	m inda							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		,									
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG. JUI	OGE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

BEN BENYAMIN MALOOL,

Petitioner.

V.

DORA CASTRO, Warden, Otero County
Processing Center; MARY DE ANDAYBARRA, Field Office Director, El Paso Field
Office, United States Immigration and
Customs Enforcement; TODD LYONS,
Acting Director, United States Immigration
and Customs Enforcement; KRISTI NOEM,
Secretary of the United States Department of
Homeland Security; PAMELA J. BONDI,
United States Attorney General, in their
official capacities,

Respondents.

CASE NO.

VERIFIED EMERGENCY
PETITION FOR WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS AD
PROSEQUENDUM,
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§
2241(c)(5), 2243, AND 1651(a),
FOR TRANSPORTATION OF
PETITIONER TO THE
PEABODY DISTRICT
COURT FOR TRIAL

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Petitioner Ben Benyamin Malool ("Mr. Malool") respectfully petitions this Court for a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum*, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(5), directing the custodian of the Otero County Processing Center (OCPC) to bring him to Massachusetts on or before May 27, 2025, so that he may stand trial in Peabody (Massachusetts) District Court to stand trial on theft and attempted theft charges.
- 2. Mr. Malool is an Israeli citizen who, until the time of his arrest by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) on February 12, 2025, lived with his wife and three young children. Mr. Malool split his time between Massachusetts and Florida. He and his

wife own and operate a number of skin-care stores in the Greater Boston area, and in November, 2024, he got in a dispute with a woman who was in his store purchasing skin-care products. The purchaser wanted her money back for the products; Mr. Malool immediately credited her account with the funds. Any "victim" has been made whole.

- 3. Despite this, an overzealous mall police officer filed charges against Mr. Malool for theft and attempted theft. Mr. Malool was arraigned on these charges (one count of Larceny Over \$1200, and one count of Attempted Larceny), and he posted bail in the Peabody District Court for the amount of \$20,000. Mr. Malool looked forward to challenging these charges in court. However, ICE had other plans. They arrested him and shipped him to the Otero County Processing Center (OCPC) in Chapparal, New Mexico, where he currently remains, awaiting deportation.
- 4. Mr. Malool's criminal case in the Peabody District Court is scheduled for jury trial on May 27, 2025. However, he will be unable to exercise his right to trial unless he is physically present in the Peabody District Court on that date. Additionally, because the allegations constitute a crime of moral turpitude, the outcome of the criminal case directly affects his pending immigration matter.
- 5. Because of his status in ICE custody, however, Mr. Malool cannot transport himself to the Peabody District Court on May 27 to stand trial on the state court allegations.
- 6. Mr. Malool thus faces a legal catch-22, as he is unable to defend himself against the state court charges (because he cannot return to Massachusetts on his own volition while detained by ICE), and simultaneously, he is unable to fully defend himself in his immigration case while the state court charges remain open and pending.

7. Mr. Malool thus moves for a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum*, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(5), directing the custodian of the Otero County Processing Center (OCPC) to bring him before the court in the District of Massachusetts on May 27, 2025, so that he may be transported to the Peabody District Court to stand trial on the charges of Larceny Over \$1200 and Attempted Larceny.

CUSTODY

8. Petitioner is in the physical custody of Respondents. Petitioner is detained in Otero County Processing Center (OCPC) in Chapparal, New Mexico. Petitioner is under the direct control of Respondents and their agents. See 28 U.S.C. § 2243 ("The writ, or order to show cause shall be directed to the person having custody of the person detained.").

JURISDICTION

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241.
- 10. Venue is proper because Petitioner is presently detained in the District of New Mexico by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), under the immediate physical custody and control of ICE officials in New Mexico.

PARTIES

11. Petitioner Ben Benyamin Malool is a citizen of Israel who is currently detained by Respondents at OCPC. On February 11, 2025, Petitioner was arraigned in the Peabody District Court on one count of Larceny Over \$1200, and one count of Attempted Larceny. Petitioner has been detained in ICE Custody since February 12, 2025, the day that he posted bail in the Peabody District Court criminal case.

- 12. Respondent Dora Castro is the Warden of Otero County Processing Center in Chapparal, New Mexico, and is the custodian of Petitioner's detention. She is named in her official capacity.
- 13. Respondent Mary De Anda-Ybarra is the Field Office Director responsible for the El Paso Field Office of ICE with administrative jurisdiction over petitioner. She is a legal custodian of Petitioner and is named in her official capacity.
- 14. Respondent Todd Lyons is the Acting Director for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. He is legally responsible for pursuing efforts to remove Petitioner. He is a legal custodian of Petitioner and is named in his official capacity.
- 15. Respondent Kristi Noem is the Secretary of Homeland Security. She is a custodian of Petitioner and is named in her official capacity.
- 16. Respondent Pamela J. Bondi is the Attorney General of the United States
 Department of Justice. She is a legal custodian of Petitioner and is named in her official capacity,

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 17. Petitioner was originally arraigned on February 11, 2025 in the Peabody District Court on one count of Larceny Over \$1200 and one count of Attempted Larceny. See Commonwealth v. Bob Benito Malool, 2586CR000054.
- 18. After arraignment, Petitioner's bail was set at \$20,000, with the additional condition that he surrender his passport to the Peabody District Court. After his arraignment, Petitioner was remanded to the custody of the Essex County Sheriff's Department.
- 19. Petitioner subsequently posted the bail. Upon his release from custody by the Essex County Sheriff's Department on February 12, 2025, he was immediately arrested by ICE, and subsequently transported to OCPC, where he is presently detained.

- 20. Petitioner intends to exercise his full due process rights, including his right to a trial by jury and confrontation of the witnesses against him, in the state criminal case scheduled for jury trial on May 27, 2025. The estimated length of Petitioner's jury trial is one to two days.¹
- 21. However, Petitioner cannot do so unless he is transported from OCPC to the Peabody District Court on the date of his jury trial. That transportation is impossible without a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum*, directing Petitioners' custodians to facilitate his transport from OCPC to Peabody District Court on the day of trial.
- 22. The writ is particularly important in this case because Petitioner's ability to resolve the state court case will directly affect his federal immigration case. It is counsel's understanding that, because the allegation involves a crime of moral turpitude, it will likely affect the outcome of his upcoming final removal hearing, even if the case has not yet been tried. Put simply, the charges alone—without any due process—mean that Mr. Malool is more likely to be subject to deportation, much to the dismay of his wife and young children. Mr. Malool's only hope is to beat the charges at trial (which he is confident on doing), but he cannot do so if he does not first appear at the trial in Massachusetts.
- 23. Petitioner's next Master Calendar in his immigration case hearing is currently scheduled on April 30, 2025. At that hearing, the immigration court will schedule his Individual Hearing to determine whether he is removable.
- 24. Petitioner therefore seeks a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum* so that he may be transported to his criminal trial in the Peabody District Court on May 27, 2025. Without

5

At the scheduling hearing, the Court ordered an in-person writ of habeas corpus issued for the date of Petitioner's jury trial but has previously expressed verbally that it does not have the power to order a federal facility to transport Mr. Malool from federal court to state court. Accordingly, Mr. Malool is filing this writ to ensure that he may attend his trial.

this writ, Petitioner will be legally unable to exercise any of his Constitutional rights in the pending criminal matter, as he will not be able to attend his upcoming trial.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

- 25. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(5), the writ of habeas corpus shall not extend to a prisoner "unless it is necessary to bring him into court to testify *or for trial*" (emphasis added).
- 26. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(5), in conjunction with the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, permits a federal court to exercise its discretion to issue a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum*, directing the custodian of an incarcerated person to bring that prisoner before a court. *See United States v. Mauro*, 436 U.S. 340, 360 (1978); *Carbo v. United States*, 364 U.S. 611, 617-18 (1961); *see also United States v. Kelly*, 661 F.3d 682, 686 (1st Cir. 2011) ("Habeas corpus *ad prosequendum*, a writ derived from English common law, has historically been "issue[d] when it [wa]s necessary to remove a prisoner, in order to prosecute ... in any court, or to be tried in the proper jurisdiction wherein the fact was committed.") (quoting 3 William Blackstone, *Commentaries* 130). "In the United States, this writ has 'a long history, dating back to the First Judiciary Act." *Kelly*, 661 F.3d at 686 (quoting *Mauro*, 436 U.S. at 360).
- 27. The writ "allows the issuing court to 'obtain temporary custody of the prisoner." Kelly, 661 F.3d at 686 (quoting Mauro, 436 U.S. at 362). "The nature of the writ is such that the 'sending state retains full jurisdiction over the prisoner since the prisoner is only 'on loan' to the prosecuting jurisdiction." Id. (quoting Flick v. Bevins, 887 F.2d 778, 781 (7th Cir. 1989)).
- 28. "A court, justice, or judge entertaining an application for a writ of habeas corpus shall forthwith award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

- 29. Once issued, the writ is directed to "the person having custody of the person detained," and "shall be returned within three days unless for good cause additional time, not exceeding twenty days, is allowed." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.
- 30. Because Petitioner is held without bond in ICE custody in New Mexico, he is unable to appear in Peabody District Court in Peabody, Massachusetts on his own volition. A writ of habeas is thus necessary to permit him to attend his trial.
- 31. As the Defendant, Petitioner is a necessary party at his own criminal trial in the state court, and he cannot appear remotely.
- 32. If Petitioner cannot attend his trial, he will be deprived of his rights under the state and federal constitutions. The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, in conjunction with the Fourteenth Amendments, guarantee a defendant's right to due process of law in a criminal trial. *See* U.S. Const. amend. V ("No person shall . . . be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law"); U.S. Const. amend. XIV. The Sixth Amendment, in conjunction with the Fourteenth Amendment, guarantees a defendant's right to a trial by jury and the right to confront the witnesses against him. *See* U.S. Const. amends. VI; XIV. In Massachusetts, those rights are also guaranteed to a defendant by article 12 of the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights.
- 33. Rule 18(a) of the Massachusetts Rules of Criminal Procedure also guarantees a defendant the right to be present at all critical stages of a court proceeding, including trial. *See Commonwealth v. Ng*, 491 Mass. 247, 253 (2023) (citing *Vazquez Diaz v. Commonwealth*, 487 Mass. 336, 344 (2021)) (explaining that "the right is derived from the confrontation and due process clauses of the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, respectively, and art. 12 of the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights").

- 34. Petitioner has been charged with a crime in the Peabody District Court and wishes to assert his rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States

 Constitution and article 12 of the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights. However, he cannot do so unless he is brought to the Peabody District Court on May 27, 2025 to stand trial.
- 35. Thus, a writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum is necessary to permit Petitioner to attend his criminal trial and exercise his state and federal Constitutional rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioners pray that this Court grant the following emergency relief:

- 1. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- 2. Issue a writ of habeas corpus *ad prosequendum* directing the custodian of Petitioner to produce Petitioner, currently in the custody of the Otero County Processing Center in Chaparral, New Mexico, and;
- Direct and Authorize the United States Marshal for the District of New Mexico to serve the writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum on Petitioner and his custodian; and
- Direct and authorize the transportation of Petitioner to the Peabody District Court,
 Lowell Street, Peabody, Massachusetts, 01960, on May 27, 2025, for the length of the criminal jury trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher A. Dodd Dodd Law Office, LLC 500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 1330 Albuquerque. NM 87102 (505) 475-2742 chris@doddnm.com

Eric S. Rosen (*pro hac vice* to be filed) Dynamis LLP 225 Franklin St, 26th Floor Boston, MA 02210 (617) 802-9157 erosen@dynamisllp.com

<u>VERIFICATION BY PERSON ACTING ON PETITIONER'S BEHALF PURSUANT TO</u> <u>28 U.S.C. § 2242</u>

I verify under penalty of p	erjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April
28, 2025	
/s/ Eric Rosen	Date: April 28, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 28, 2025,	a true copy of the above document was filed via
the Court's CM/ECF system and that a copy w	ill be automatically sent to counsel of record.
/s/ Christopher A. Dodd	Date: April 28, 2025