1 2	BILAL A. ESSAYLI United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS			
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division			
4	JOANNE S. OSINOFF Assistant United States Attorney			
5	Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section CHRISTINA MARQUEZ (Cal. Bar No. 305301) Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building, Suite 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-4061 Facsimile: (213) 894-7819			
6				
7				
8	Facsimile: (213) 894-7819 E-mail: Christina.Marquez@usdoj.gov			
9	Attorneys for Respondents	ov.		
10	Tittorneys for reespondents			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	YOSTIN SLEIKER GUTIERREZ-	No. 5:25-cv-009	65-SSS-KES	
14	CONTRERAS,	RESPONDENTS' APPLICATION TO		
15	Petitioner,		RATION UNDER SEAL	
16	v.	Hearing Date:	May 9, 2025	
17	WARDEN, DESERT VIEW ANNEX, et al.,	Hearing Time: Ctrm:	1:00 p.m. Riverside Courthouse, Ctrm. 2	
18	Respondents.			
19		Honorable Sunshine S. Sykes United States District Judge		
20		I		
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
26				
27				
28				
20				

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Respondents, by and through undersigned counsel of record, request leave pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2(a) to file portions of the Declaration of Jackson Lara in support of Respondents' Briefing in Opposition to a Preliminary Injunction because disclosure would endanger law enforcement personnel and thwart law enforcement efforts. Petitioner opposes.

Dated: April 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

BILAL A. ESSAYLI
United States Attorney
DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Civil Division
JOANNE S. OSINOFF
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section

/s/ Christina Marquez CHRISTINA MARQUEZ Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Respondents

1

2

3

INTRODUCTION

4 5

6

9

7

8

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Respondents only request sealing the time periods expressed in paragraph 11. Exposing that limited information to the public would risk compromising the effectiveness and safety of lawful removal operations, and that risk outweighs the public's right of access to this narrow operational information.

II. ARGUMENT

The Ninth Circuit recognizes a strong common law presumption in favor of public access to court records. See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003). But "the right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute. Every court has supervisory power over its own records and files, and access has been denied where court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes." Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). Sealing information is permitted when there is a compelling governmental interest and the seal is narrowly tailored to protect that interest. Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Ct., 457 U.S. 596, 606-07 (1982). Courts have found compelling governmental interests in sealing information where disclosure may endanger or undermine law enforcement's activities. See Music Group Macao Com. Offshore Ltd. v. Foote, 2015 WL 3993147, at *3 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015).

Here, Respondents seek an extremely narrow seal to prevent a public disclosure of operational details, a disclosure that could endanger law-enforcement personnel and thwart lawful removals of alien enemies who do not contest their designation. The specific information Respondents seek to seal are the time periods expressed in paragraph 11 of the declaration. With public knowledge of those details, alien enemies who do not intend to contest their designations would know when their removals would be scheduled to occur based on when they receive the notice provided. Using that knowledge, those alien enemies would be better able to coordinate resistance to those removals, which could include physical attacks on law-enforcement and removal-

	Case 5:25-cv-00965-SSS-KES Document 16 Filed 05/02/25 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:108				
	operations personnel. This concern for operational safety—in removing designated Tren				
	de Aragua members who do not contest their designation—justifies the narrowly tailored				
	seal that Respondents seek to maintain. See Globe Newspaper Co., 457 U.S. at 606–07;				
	III. CONCLUSION				
	Based on the foregoing, Respondent's application to seal should be granted.				
	Bused on the foregoing, respondent a approximate to some second of grant and				
	Dated: April 24, 2025 Respectfully submitted,				
	BILAL A. ESSAYLI United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division JOANNE S. OSINOFF Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section				
1	/s/ Christina Marquez CHRISTINA MARQUEZ Assistant United States Attorney				
5	Attorneys for Respondents				
7					
3					
)					
)					
2					
3					
1					
5					
5					
7					
8					