Page 1 of 4 Document 13 Filed 06/09/25 Case 2:25-cv-00549-TL District Judge Tana Lin Magistrate Judge Grady J. Leupold UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE Case No. 2:25-cv-00549-TL-GJL AVEL IVANOVICH REVENKO, FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN Petitioner, SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS 12 PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General of the Noted for Consideration: United States; KRISTI NOEM, Secretary, 13 United States Department of Homeland June 9, 2025 Security; DREW BOSTOCK, Seattle Field 14 Office Director, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; WARDEN of 15 | Immigration Detention Facility; and the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Respondents. INTRODUCTION This Court should grant Federal Respondents' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 9, Motion) because Petitioner Avel Ivanovich Revenko's (Revenko) has not met his burden of demonstrating good reason to believe that there is no significant likelihood of his removal to 22 Moldova in the reasonably foreseeable future. Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678, 701 (2001). This reply is supported by the Supplemental Declaration of Deportation Office Christopher Hubbard ("Suppl. Hubbard Decl.").

16

18

19

FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT

OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS

[Case No 2:25-cv-00549-TL-GJL] - 1

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

Seattle, Washington 98101-1271

206-553-7970

10

11

18

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) took custody of Revenko as a result of multiple criminal offenses. His removal order became final on May 16, 2024. Since that 3 time, ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) has continued to make progress towards 4 obtaining a travel document to effect his removal. While this process may be slow, it "does not undermine the conclusion that removal remains foreseeable." See Atikurraheman v. Garland, 6 No. 24-cv-00262-JHC-SKV, 2024 WL 2819242 (W.D. Wash. May 10, 2024), report and 7 | recommendation adopted, No. 24-cv-00262-JHC-SKV, 2024 WL 2818574 (W.D. Wash. June 3, 8 | 2024). Because Petitioner has not and cannot demonstrate that ICE will not be able to remove 9 him, the Court should grant the pending motion to dismiss.

ARGUMENT II.

Revenko has not demonstrated a good reason to believe that there is no significant 12 | likelihood of his removal to Moldova in the reasonably foreseeable future. If a noncitizen remains in post-order detention after six months, the noncitizen has the burden to demonstrate a 14 good reason to believe that there is no significant likelihood of removal in the reasonably 15 | foreseeable future. Zadvydas, 533 U.S. at 701. The Government "must respond with evidence 16 sufficient to rebut that showing." Id. If the Government fails to rebut the noncitizen's showing, 17 the noncitizen is entitled to habeas relief. *Id.* Revenko has not met his burden.

He argues that "ICE has no current ability to deport Mr. Revenko." Dkt. No. 12 19 (Response), at 2. This argument tacitly recognizes that a travel document will be issued, but 20 argues because it is not available today, then Revenko should be released. That is not the standard, however. The question is whether ICE will likely be able to remove Revenko in the 22 | near future, which it demonstrated in its Motion. It is false to speculate that because ICE has not yet secured a travel document that it cannot do so soon simply because ICE does not have an exact timeline. Thus, Revenko's arguments are speculative and vague, at best.

FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS [Case No 2:25-cv-00549-TL-GJL] - 2

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 206-553-7970

18 19 21

To be sure, ICE has been making efforts at removal, and because Moldova has represented that it will issue a travel document, there is no reason to believe that removal will not occur in the near future as ICE currently stated. In particular, ERO recently discussed this matter with the Moldovan consulate on May 23, 2025, and June 3, 2025. Suppl. Hubbard Decl., ¶ 1. ERO needs to schedule a telephone conference between Revenko and the consulate, but this has 6 | been complicated because Revenko is housed at a special unit at the Northwest ICE Processing Center after he was charged with assaulting staff and refusing staff orders. Id. at ¶¶ 2, 3; Original Hubbard Decl., (Dkt. 11), ¶ 18. Thus, his actions are complicating the removal process. 9 Finally, ERO is working to obtain copies of additional identification documents. Suppl. Hubbard 10 | Decl., ¶ 2. Moldova has not denied the travel document request, and it continues to work with 11 ERO for additional information. Iddrisu v. Kelly, No. 17-cv-00038-AFM, 2017 WL 11635015, 12 at *2 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2017) ("Where the evidence shows that the target country has granted (or is merely reviewing or processing) an application for travel documents, federal habeas courts 14 have repeatedly found that an alien has failed to provide a good reason to believe there is no significant likelihood of his removal in the reasonably foreseeable future."). So as before, the process is continuing towards removal, which ICE believes will occur in the reasonably foreseeable future. Suppl. Hubbard Decl., ¶ 3.

CONCLUSION III.

For the foregoing reasons, Federal Respondents respectfully request that this Court deny the Petition and dismiss this matter in its entirety.

FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS [Case No 2:25-cv-00549-TL-GJL] - 3

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 206-553-7970

DATED this 9th day of June, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

TEAL LUTHY MILLER **Acting United States Attorney**

s/ Nickolas Bohl

NICKOLAS BOHL, WSBA No. 48978 **Assistant United States Attorney** United States Attorney's Office Western District of Washington 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271

Phone: 206-553-7970 206-553-4067

Email: nickolas.bohl@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Respondents

I certify that this memorandum contains 675 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.

22

10

12

13

24

FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS [Case No 2:25-cv-00549-TL-GJL] - 4

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 206-553-7970