



CRISTIAN DE JESUS CARDENAS BRAVO,

Petitioner.

V.

PAUL PERRY, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 1:25-cv-463-RDA-WEF

PETITIONER'S NOTICE REGARDING IMMIGRATION PROCEEDINGS

Petitioner Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo, through undersigned counsel, submits this Notice Regarding Immigration Proceedings. On May 9, 2025, Mr. Cardenas Bravo, through his immigration counsel, filed a timely Notice of Appeal (NOA) of the Immigration Judge's April 10, 2025, decision with the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). Ex. A, May 9, 2025, Notice of Appeal. The BIA acknowledged receipt of the NOA on May 12, 2025. Ex. B, May 12, 2025, BIA Filing Receipt. Accordingly, Mr. Cardenas Bravo remains detained pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c). See 8 U.S.C. §§ 1226(a), 1231(a)(1)(B); 8 C.F.R. § 1241.1(a).

Dated: May 20, 2025

Respectfully submitted.

/s/ Samantha Hsieh
Samantha Hsieh*
VSB No. 90800
Amica Center for Immigrant Rights
1025 Connecticut Ave., Ste. 701
Washington, DC 20036
Tel: (202) 908-6902
Fax: (202) 331-3341
sam@amicacenter.org

Pro Bono Counsel for Petitioner

U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review Board of Immigration Appeals OMB# 1125-0002

Notice of Appeal from a Decision of an Immigration Judge

A manuscris) on the tace of the check of money grown.	List Name(s) and "A" Number(s) of all Respondent(s)/Applicant(s): Cristian de Jesus CARDENAS BRAVO (A)	For Official Use Only
on variables) on	WARNING: Names and "A" Numbers of everyone appealing the Immigration Judge's decision must be written in item #1. The names and "A" numbers listed will be the only ones considered to be the subjects of the appeal. I am	e box.) (Location, City, State)
	What decision are you appealing? Mark only one box below. If you want to appeal more than one decision, you must use Appeal (Form EOIR-26). I am filing an appeal from the Immigration Judge's decision in merits proceeding deportation, exclusion, asylum, etc.) dated 04/10/2025 I am filing an appeal from the Immigration Judge's decision in bond proceeding (For DHS use only: Did DHS invorprovision before the Immigration Court? Yes. No.) I am filing an appeal from the Immigration Judge's decision denying a motion to reconsider dated (Please attach a copy of the Immigration Judge's decision that you decision that you decision for the Immigration Judge's decision for the Immigration for the Immigration Judge's decision for the Immigration for	ags (example: removal, gs dated ke the automatic stay to reopen or a motion

ther guidance. You	reason(s) for this appeal. Please refer to the General Instructions at item F for further are not limited to the space provided below; use more sheets of paper if necessary and "A" number(s) on every sheet.				
See attached adde	endum.				
(Attach additional sheets if necessary)					
	rgument before the Board of Immigration Appeals? Yes No				
*					
	a separate written brief or statement after filing this Notice of Appeal? X Yes Noted, do you give consent to the BIA Pro Bono Project to have your case				
	ct for potential placement with a free attorney or accredited				
representative, which	may include sharing a summary of your case with potential attorneys and Yes				
A	ives? (There is no guarantee that your case will be accepted for placement accredited representative will accept your case for representation)				
DOM NO CHARACTERS SURES SALES STATES STATES	accreatiea representative witt accept your case for representation)				
WARNING If	you mark "Ves" in item #7, you should also include in your statement above why you				
believe your case	you mark "Yes" in item #7, you should also include in your statement above why you warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a reque				
believe your case	you mark "Yes" in item #7, you should also include in your statement above why you warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief.				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes"	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. 3" in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brief.				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule or statement with:	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brain the time set in the briefing schedule.				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brief.				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule or statement with:	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brain the time set in the briefing schedule. Katherine E. Hyde				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule or statement with: Print Name:	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brain the time set in the briefing schedule. Katherine E. Hyde				
believe your case for oral argument If you mark "Yes briefing schedule or statement with:	warrants review by a three-member panel. The Board ordinarily will not grant a request unless you also file a brief. "in item #8, you will be expected to file a written brief or statement after you receive from the Board. The Board may summarily dismiss your appeal if you do not file a brain the time set in the briefing schedule. Katherine E. Hyde				

12.	Mailing Address of Respondent(s)/Applicant(s) Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo (Name) 11093 S W Lewis Mem Drive (Street Address) (Apartment or Room Number) Bowling Green, VA 22427 (City, State, Zip Code) (Telephone Number)	Mailing Address of Attorney or Representative for the Respondent(s)/Applicant(s) Katherine E. Hyde (Name) 1 N. Charles Street (Street Address) Ste. 2305 (Suite or Room Number) Baltimore, MD 21201 (City, State, Zip Code) (202) 539-1893 (Telephone Number)
	NOTE: If an attorney or representative signs this app	ring days if you move to a new address or change your a Form/Board of Immigration Appeals (Form EOIR-33/BIA). Deal for you, he or she must file with this appeal, a Notice as Before the Board of Immigration Appeals (Form EOIR-
13.	IKatherine Hyde (Name) onto (Date) at(Number	(You Must Complete This) mailed or delivered a copy of this Notice of Appeal (Opposing Party) and Street, City, State, Zip Code)
	SIGN HERE X Kathering	posing Party" is the Assistant Chief Counsel of DHS - ICE. perly, your appeal will be rejected or dismissed.
	(Form EOIR-26A) to this appeal, your appeal may be r	eipt, fee, or a completed Fee Waiver Request ejected or dismissed.
	Read all of the General Instructions. Provided all of the requested information. Completed this form in English. Provided a certified English translation for all non-English attachments. Signed the form.	 YOU? Served a copy of this form and all attachments on the opposing party, if applicable. Completed and signed the Proof of Service Attached the required fee payment receipt, fee, or Fee Waiver Request. If represented by attorney or representative, attach a completed and signed EOIR-27 for each respondent or applicant.

Addendum to Form EOIR-26 Question 6

1. The IJ erred in sustaining the amended charges of removability.

The IJ erred as a matter of law in sustaining the amended charges of removability.

Possession of child pornography under Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-374.1:1 is not sexual abuse of a minor because it is not conduct directed toward a minor and does not have the requisite intent for sexual gratification. Nor is Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-374.1:1 a crime of child abuse because it does not require an act directed at a minor that causes actual or threatened harm and, as a result, does not require the defendant to have acted with the requisite mens rea as to the risk of harm.

2. The IJ erred in assessing the risk of torture.

The IJ clearly erred in his predictive finding regarding the risk of torture if Mr. Cardenas is deported. The record established that Mr. Cardenas experiences psychotic features of severe depression even when he is receiving treatment, and that without that treatment or any sociofamilial support in Mexico, he would more likely than not be confined indefinitely to a psychiatric institution and tortured.

3. The IJ erred in aggregating the sources of torture.

The IJ committed legal error by failing to address Mr. Cardenas's risk of torture from cartels, police, or Mexican society as a vulnerable deportee with severe mental illness and no sociofamilial support in Mexico.

4. The IJ relied on speculation and assumption to conclude the use of prolonged physical and chemical restraints in Mexico is not torture because similar methods are employed in other parts of the world as well.

The IJ speculated when he found that government officials in Mexican psychiatric facilities lack specific intent to torture patients because they use physical and chemical restraints like how they would be used anywhere in the world. This finding is speculative and untethered from the record.

The IJ cherry-picked a quote from Dr. Whitney Duncan's testimony that initially, physical and chemical restraints are often used to protect patient or staff safety. However, Dr. Duncan explained that such restraints are used for such prolonged periods of time that their purpose evolves from safety to punishment and behavior modification. The IJ ignored this testimony and cherry-picked Dr. Duncan's testimony.

5. The IJ speculated, ignored evidence, and cherry-picked the record in finding that Mr. Cardenas could avoid homelessness, institutionalization, and torture by relying on help from his mother and sister or one of their friends.

The IJ speculated that even though Mr. Cardenas has no family support in Mexico, he might be able to receive some kind of support from his sister and mother who live in the United States. He further speculated that his sister or mother may know somebody, maybe multiple people, who could help Cristian if he were deported. This finding runs counter to the evidence and has almost no basis in the record.



6. The IJ legally erred by relying on speculation and assumptions to give reduced weight to a report by Disability Rights International because the group promotes disability rights.

The IJ legally erred in relying on speculation and assumption to give reduced weight to a report from Disability Rights International because he found the group "openly collaborates with activists to promote disability rights, which impacts the reliability of their opinions." The DRI reports are entitled to full weight based on their extensive indicia of reliability, and the IJ erred assuming that DRI's human rights advocacy undermined the report's reliability.

7. Right to Raise Additional Arguments and Request for Three-Member Panel

The respondent reserves the right to raise additional arguments in his written brief after having reviewed the transcript of proceedings.

The respondent requests review by a three-member panel because the IJ's decision is not in conformity with the law or applicable precedent and there is a need to reverse the decision. See 8 C.F.R. § 100.31(e)(6).



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW ANNANDALE IMMIGRATION COURT

ANNANDALE IMMIGRATION COURT A-Number: Respondent Name: CARDENAS BRAVO, CRISTIAN DE Riders: **JESUS** In Removal Proceedings To: Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security Hyde, Katherine Eileen Date: 1 N. Charles Street 04/10/2025 Suite 2305 Baltimore, MD 21201 ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE This is a summary of the oral decision entered on 04/10/2025. The oral decision in this case is

		e official opinion, and the immigration court issued this summary for the convenience of the arties.
	_	oth parties waived the issuance of a formal oral decision in this proceeding.
	I.	Removability
		nigration court found Respondent 🗹 removable 🗖 inadmissible under the following Section(s) of igration and Nationality Act (INA or Act): 237a2Aiii; 237a2Ei
		nigration court found Respondent \square not removable \square not inadmissible under the following (s) of the Act:
	II.	Applications for Relief
Res	pono	dent's application for:
A.	Asy	lum/Withholding/Convention Against Torture
		Asylum was □ granted □ denied □ withdrawn with prejudice □ withdrawn without prejudice
		Withholding of Removal under INA § 241(b)(3) was □ granted □ denied □ withdrawn with prejudice □ withdrawn without prejudice
	V	Withholding of Removal under the Convention Against Torture was ☐ granted ☑ denied ☐ withdrawn with prejudice ☐ withdrawn without prejudice
	abla	Deferral of Removal under the Convention Against Torture was \square granted \square denied \square withdrawn with prejudice \square withdrawn without prejudice
		Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum after notice of the consequences. See INA § 208(d)(6): 8 C.F.R. §1208.20

Filed at BIA on: 05/09/2025 at 03:23:10 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) B. Cancellation of Removal ☐ Cancellation of Removal for Lawful Permanent Residents under INA § 240A(a), was ☐ granted denied withdrawn with prejudice withdrawn without prejudice ☐ Cancellation of Removal for Nonpermanent Residents under INA § 240A(b)(1) was ☐ granted denied withdrawn with prejudice withdrawn without prejudice ☐ Special Rule Cancellation of Removal under INA § 240A(b)(2) was ☐ granted ☐ denied withdrawn with prejudice withdrawn without prejudice C. Waiver ☐ A waiver under INA § was ☐ granted ☐ denied ☐ withdrawn with prejudice ☐ withdrawn without prejudice D. Adjustment of Status ☐ Adjustment of Status under INA § was ☐ granted ☐ denied ☐ withdrawn with prejudice withdrawn without prejudice E. Other III. **Voluntary Departure** ☐ Respondent's application for ☐ pre-conclusion voluntary departure under INA § 240B(a) □ post-conclusion voluntary departure under INA § 240B(b) was □ denied. ☐ Respondent's application for ☐ pre-conclusion voluntary departure under INA § 240B(a) □ post-conclusion voluntary departure under INA § 240B(b) was □ granted, and . The respondent must post a \$ bond with Respondent is ordered to depart by DHS within five business days of this order. Failure to post the bond as required or to depart by the required date will result in an alternate order of removal to taking effect immediately. ☐ The respondent is subject to the following conditions to ensure his or her timely departure from the United States: Further information regarding voluntary departure has been added to the record. Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief, the consequences for failure to depart as ordered, the bond posting requirements, and the consequences of filing a post-order motion to reopen or reconsider: If Respondent fails to voluntarily depart within the time specified or any extensions granted by the DHS, Respondent shall be subject to a civil monetary penalty as provided by relevant statute, regulation, and policy. See INA § 240B(d)(1). The immigration court has set the presumptive civil monetary penalty amount of \$3,000.00 USD \$ USD instead of the presumptive amount. If Respondent fails to voluntarily depart within the time specified, the alternate order of

removal shall automatically take effect, and Respondent shall be ineligible, for a period of

Filed at BIA on: 05/09/2025 at 03:23:10 PM (Eastern Daylight Time)

10 years, for voluntary departure or for relief under sections 240A, 245, 248, and 249 of the Act, to include cancellation of removal, adjustment of status, registry, or change of nonimmigrant status. *Id.* If Respondent files a motion to reopen or reconsider prior to the expiration of the voluntary departure period set forth above, the grant of voluntary departure is automatically terminated; the period allowed for voluntary departure is not stayed, tolled, or extended. If the grant of voluntary departure is automatically terminated upon the filing of such a motion, the penalties for failure to depart under section 240B(d) of the Act shall not apply.

If Respondent appeals this decision, Respondent must provide to the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board), within 30 days of filing an appeal, sufficient proof of having posted the voluntary departure bond. The Board will not reinstate the voluntary departure period in its final order if Respondent does not submit timely proof to the Board that the voluntary departure bond has been posted.

In the case of conversion to a removal order where the alternate order of removal immediately takes effect, where Respondent willfully fails or refuses to depart from the United States pursuant to the order of removal, to make timely application in good faith for travel or other documents necessary to depart the United States, to present himself or herself at the time and place required for removal by the DHS, or conspires to or takes any action designed to prevent or hamper Respondent's departure pursuant to the order of removal, Respondent may be subject to a civil monetary penalty for each day Respondent is in violation. If Respondent is removable pursuant to INA § 237(a), then he or she shall be further fined or imprisoned for up to 10 years.

IV.	Removal
	Respondent was ordered removed to MEXICO
	In the alternative, Respondent was ordered removed to
	Respondent was advised of the penalties for failure to depart pursuant to the removal order:
	If Respondent is subject to a final order of removal and willfully fails or refuses to depart from the United States pursuant to the order, to make timely application in good faith for travel or other documents necessary to depart the United States, to present himself or herself at the time and place required for removal by the DHS, or conspires to or takes any action designed to prevent or hamper Respondent's departure pursuant to the order of removal, Respondent may be subject to a civil monetary penalty for each day Respondent is in violation. If Respondent is removable pursuant to INA § 237(a), then he or she shall be further fined or imprisoned for up to 10 years.
V.	Other
□ F	Proceedings were dismissed terminated with prejudice terminated without prejudice administratively closed.
	Respondent's status was rescinded under INA § 246.

Other:

· Filed at BIA on: 05/09/2025 at 03:23:10 PM (Eastern Daylight Time)

Appeal:	Department of Homeland Security: Respondent:		Immigrati waived waived		dge: Choi, reserved reserved	Raphael ()4/10/2025
Appeal Due	2:05/12/2025						
	Certifica	ate o	of Service				
	nent was served:						
Via: [M]	Mail [P] Personal Service [I	E] I	Electronic	Servic	e [U]	Address	Unavailable
To: [] No:	ncitizen [] Noncitizen c/o custod	ial o	fficer [H	E] No	oncitizen's	atty/rep.	[E] DHS
	Name : CARDENAS BRAVO, CI						
Riders:							
Date: 04/11	1/2025 By: Trillo, Shanell, Court St	taff					



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS

Hyde, Katherine Eileen Amica Center for Immigrant Rights 1 N. Charles Street Suite 2305 Baltimore, MD 21201 DHS/ICE - OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL - ANN 500 12TH STREET SW, MAIL STOP 5902 WASHINGTON, DC 20536-5902

* *			
N	2	17	70

CARDENAS BRAVO, CRISTIAN DE JESUS

Riders:

Date of Notice: 05/12/2025

FILING RECEIPT FOR APPEAL OR MOTION

The Board of Immigration Appeals (Board or BIA) acknowledges receipt of the appeal or motion and fee or fee waiver request (where applicable) on 05/09/2025 , in the above-referenced case, filed by the Respondent

Additional Comments N/A

WARNING FOR APPEALS:

Departure. If you leave the United States after filing this appeal but before the Board issues a decision, your appeal may be considered withdrawn and the Immigration Judge's decision will become final as if no appeal had been taken (unless you are an "arriving alien" as defined in the regulations under 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(q)).

Proof of posting voluntary departure bond. If you have been granted voluntary departure by the Immigration Judge, you must submit proof of having posted the voluntary departure bond set by the Immigration Judge to the Board. Your submission of proof must be provided to the Board within 30 days of filing this appeal. If you do not timely submit proof to the Board that the voluntary departure bond has been posted, the Board cannot reinstate the period of voluntary departure. 8 C.F.R. § 1240.2(c)(3)(ii).

Autostay Bond Appeals. Please note that the automatic stay will expire 90 days from the date of receipt of the DHS' appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.6(c)(3). If the Board grants the respondent's request for additional briefing time, then the 90-day automatic stay period will be tolled for the same number of days. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.6(c)(4).

Form EOIR-27. If the appeal was filed by DHS and the respondent/applicant wishes to be represented by an attorney or accredited representative in these new proceedings, counsel must complete a new Form EOIR-27 (Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative before the Board of Immigration Appeals). Unless a Form EOIR-27 is received from counsel, the respondent/applicant will be considered pro se before the Board and all future notices, including the Board's decision, will be sent directly to the respondent/applicant and not to counsel.

WARNING FOR MOTIONS:

Stay of removal. Filing a motion with the Board does not automatically stop the DHS from executing an order of removal. If the respondent/applicant is in DHS detention and is about to be removed, you may request the Board to stay the removal on an emergency basis. For more information, call the Clerk's Office at (703) 605-1007.

Form EOIR-27. If the motion was filed by DHS and the respondent/applicant wishes to be represented by an attorney or accredited representative in these new proceedings, counsel must complete a new Form EOIR-27 (Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative before the Board of Immigration Appeals). Unless a Form EOIR-27 is received from counsel, the respondent/applicant will be considered pro se before the Board and all future notices, including the Board's decision, will be sent directly to the respondent/applicant and not to counsel.

FILING INSTRUCTIONS:

If you have any questions about how to file something at the Board, please review the Board's Practice Manual which is available on EOIR's website at www.justice.gov/eoir.

Accepted by: VonackS

U.S. District Court Eastern District of Virginia - (Alexandria) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:25-cv-00463-RDA-WEF Internal Use Only

Cardenas Bravo v. Perry et al

Assigned to: District Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr. Referred to: Magistrate Judge William E. Fitzpatrick

Cause: 8:1105(a) Aliens: Habeas Corpus to Release INS Detainee

Date Filed: 03/14/2025

Date Terminated: 07/16/2025

Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien

Detainee

Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

Petitioner

Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo

represented by Kendra S. Blandon

Amica Center for Immigrant Rights (NA-DC)

1025 Connecticut Ave

Suite 701

Washington, DC 20036

202-846-9192

Fax: 202-331-3341

Email: kendra@amicacenter.org

TERMINATED: 04/29/2025

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Samantha Hsieh

Amica Center for Immigrant Rights

1025 Connecticut Ave. NW

Ste 701

Washington, DC 20036

202-908-6902

Email: sam@amicacenter.org ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Paul Perry

in his official capacity as Warden of the Caroline Detention Facility

represented by Carolyn M. Wesnousky

DOJ-USAO

2100 Jamieson Aveneue Alexandria, VA 22315

703-299-3996

Fax: 703-299-3983

Email; carolyn.wesnousky@usdoj.gov

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Russell Hott

in his official capacity as Field Office Director of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Enforcement and Removal Operations Washington Field Office

represented by Christian James Cooper

DOJ-USAO 2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

703-397-7489

Email: christian.cooper@usdoj.gov LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Carolyn M. Wesnousky
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Kristi Noem

in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security

represented by Christian James Cooper

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Carolyn M. Wesnousky
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Pamela Bondi

in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security

represented by Christian James Cooper

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Carolyn M. Wesnousky
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
07/16/2025	8	(Court only) ***Civil Case Terminated. (Sbro,) (Entered: 07/17/2025)
07/16/2025	23	ORDERED that the action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE re <u>22</u> Stipulation of Dismissal filed by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. Signed by District Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr on 7/16/2025. (Sbro,) (Entered: 07/17/2025)
07/15/2025	22	STIPULATION of Dismissal by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 07/15/2025)
06/30/2025	21	ORDERED that the Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Transcript is GRANTED re 20 MOTION for Leave to File Transcript. Signed by Magistrate Judge William E. Fitzpatrick on 6/30/2025. (Sbro,) (Entered: 06/30/2025)
06/24/2025	20	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Transcript</i> by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A April 10, 2025 Immigration Court Hearing Transcript, # 2 Proposed Order Granting Leave to File Transcript)(Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 06/24/2025)
05/20/2025	<u>19</u>	Appeal, # 2 Exhibit B BIA Filing Receipt)(Fisien, Samanna) (Entered: 65/26/26/26/26)
04/30/2025	2	(Court only) 1 USB Drive Received and routed to RDA chambers. (Sbro,) (Entered: 04/30/2025)
04/29/2025	18	ORDER granting 16 Motion to Withdraw as Attorney. Attorney Kendra S. Blandon terminated. Signed by Magistrate Judge William E. Fitzpatrick on 4/29/2025. (Sbro,) (Entered: 04/30/2025)

04/29/2025		17	ORDER granting 15 Motion for Leave to File (See Order for further details). Signed by Magistrate Judge William E. Fitzpatrick on 4/29/2025. (Sbro,) (Entered: 04/30/2025)
04/29/2025		16	MOTION to Withdraw as Attorney Kendra Blandon by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo (Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 04/29/2025)
04/28/2025	0	15	MOTION for Leave to File Audio Exhibit by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 04/28/2025)
04/25/2025	8	14	REPLY to Response to Motion re 4 MOTION for Order to Show Cause filed by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 04/25/2025)
04/18/2025	12	13	NOTICE by Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem, Paul Perry of Submission of Additional Exhibits (Attachments: # 1 Exhibits Part 10)(Wesnousky, Carolyn) (Entered: 04/18/2025)
04/18/2025		12	NOTICE by Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem, Paul Perry of Submission of Additional Exhibits (Attachments: # 1 Exhibits Part 7, # 2 Exhibits Part 8, # 3 Exhibits Part 9)(Wesnousky, Carolyn) (Entered: 04/18/2025)
04/18/2025	8	11	NOTICE by Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem, Paul Perry of Submission of Additional Exhibits (Attachments: # 1 Exhibits Part 4, # 2 Exhibits Part 5, # 3 Exhibits Part 6)(Wesnousky, Carolyn) (Entered: 04/18/2025)
04/18/2025		10	Response to 1 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (2241),, filed by Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem, Paul Perry. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibits Part 1, # 2 Exhibits Part 2, # 3 Exhibits Part 3)(Wesnousky, Carolyn) (Entered: 04/18/2025)
04/17/2025	8	9	NOTICE of Appearance by Christian James Cooper on behalf of Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem (Cooper, Christian) (Entered: 04/17/2025)
04/11/2025		8	ORDER granting 2 Motion for Pro hac vice. Appointed Kendra S. Blandon for Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. Signed by District Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr on 4/11/2025. (kgall) (Entered: 04/11/2025)
04/09/2025	2	7	NOTICE of Appearance by Carolyn M. Wesnousky on behalf of Pamela Bondi, Russell Hott, Kristi Noem, Paul Perry (Wesnousky, Carolyn) (Entered: 04/09/2025)
03/28/2025		6	ORDER granting 4 Motion for Order to Show Cause (See Order for further details). Signed by District Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr on 3/28/2025. (Sbro,) (Entered: 03/28/2025)
03/19/2025		<u>5</u>	Waiver of re 4 MOTION for Order to Show Cause Waiver of Hearing by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo (Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 03/19/2025)
03/19/2025	2	4	MOTION for Order to Show Cause by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Attachments: † 1 Exhibit Mail Delivery Confirmation, # 2 Proposed Order Proposed Order)(Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 03/19/2025)
03/17/2025		3	Prisoner Data Report(wgar,) (Entered: 03/17/2025)
03/17/2025			Initial Case Assignment to District Judge Rossie D. Alston, Jr and Magistrate Judge William E. Fitzpatrick. (wgar,) (Entered: 03/17/2025)
03/14/2025		2	Motion to appear Pro Hac Vice by Kendra Blandon and Certification of Local Counsel Samantha Hsieh Filing fee \$ 75, receipt number AVAEDC-10062582, by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 03/14/2025)
03/14/2025		1	PETITION for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Filing fee \$ 5 receipt number AVAEDC-10062265.), filed by Cristian de Jesus Cardenas Bravo. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet Civil Cover Sheet, # 2 Exhibit A, Mr. Cardenas' Declaration, # 3 Exhibit B, Evidence of Prima Facie U Visa Eligibility, # 4 Exhibit C, Declaration of Katie Hyde, # 5 Exhibit D, Original and Amended NTA, # 6 Exhibit E, Forensic Competency Evaluation,

7 Exhibit F, Excerpts of Medical History, # 8 Exhibit G, Declaration of Dr. Whitney Duncan, # 2 Exhibit H, Post-Release Plan, # 10 Proposed Order Granting Bond Hearing, # 11 Proposed Order Ordering Release)(Hsieh, Samantha) (Entered: 03/14/2025)