United States District Court

for the Southern District of Florida

DAVRONBEK BURIEV)	
Petitioner) CASE NUMBER TE	BD
V.)	A
GARRETT RIPA, et al.)	FILED BY D.C.
Respondents)	MAR 1 0 2025
MOTION F	OR JOINDER OF CASES.	ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S. D. OF FLA FT. LAUD.

MOTION FOR JOINDER OF CASES.

Now comes the Petitioner and moves this Court for an Order Joining this Case with that of Huriyat Mamajonova under Docket # 0:25-cv-60242-DMM, because they are identical in nature, in accordance with the provisions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure § 20 and in support thereof submits the following brief.

Respectfully submitted by

Davronbek Buriev

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION.

"Generally, Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows multiple litigants to join in one action if: (1) they assert any right to relief arising out of the same occurrence or series of occurrences, and (2) any question of law or fact in common to all litigants will arise in the action.

In determining whether to deny joinder or order severance, courts consider the requirements of Rule 20 and additional factors, "including (1) whether severance will serve judicial economy; (2) whether prejudice to the parties would be caused by severance; and (3) whether the claims involve different witnesses and evidence."

In the *habeas corpus* context.. multiple petitioners may seek *habeas corpus* relief in one action in order to avoid "considerable expenditure of judicial time and energy in hearing and deciding numerous individual petitions presenting the identical issue,"... see <u>Ferreyra v. Decker</u>, 456 F. Supp. 3d 538, 544 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) (denying the respondents' request to sever a *habeas corpus* action brought by multiple petitioners because "considerations of judicial economy and fairness argue persuasively for the construction of a procedure such as this multi-party habeas action, where Petitioners shar[e] certain complaints about the legality of their confinement.") *See also Mayo v. Walker* - No. 1:22-CV-5810 (LTS).-Dist. Court, Southern District of New York, July 29, 2022.

In this case, the record reflects that the Petitioner arrived in the United States along with Huriat Mamajonova on the same boat, see attached documents, that they were admitted to the country by the same immigration officer, *ibid*, that they were later arrested on the same day by the same immigration officers based on the same accusation, that they are currently being detained at the same facility by the same officials, that they are alleging the same facts and asserting the same

Petitioner sent his original habeas petition to this Court by U.S. Postal Service on or about February 7, 2025. See its tracking history in the attachment. However, due to unknown reasons his package has not been delivered to this Court or returned to him. Instead, the U.S. Postal Service is circulating it between various processing stations. *Ibid.* Therefore, the Court should also accept these documents as filed on that day.

WHEREFORE, the Court should grant this motion.

rights. See Docket # 0:25-cv-60242-DMM, Doc.1, attachment 1.

Respectfully submitted by

Davronbek Buriev