

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
COLUMBUS DIVISION**

JAWAD ALALI,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	Case No. 4:25-CV-82-CDL-AGH
v.	:	28 U.S.C. § 2241
	:	
WARDEN, STEWART DETENTION CENTER,	:	
	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

SECOND MOTION TO DISMISS

On March 7, 2025, the Court received Petitioner’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus (“Petition”). ECF No. 1. On April 11, 2025, Respondent filed the First Motion to Dismiss the Petition, arguing that (1) the Petition was premature, and (2) Petitioner failed to show he was entitled to relief. ECF No. 7. On May 6, 2025, the Court received Petitioner’s Response to the Motion to Dismiss. ECF No. 9. In lieu of filing a reply in support of the First Motion to Dismiss, Respondent now files this Second Motion to Dismiss. Petitioner was removed from the United States to Syria on May 12, 2025, and he is no longer in the custody of Respondent or Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”), Enforcement and Removal Operations (“ERO”). The Petition should consequently be dismissed as moot.

ARGUMENT

On May 12, 2025, ICE/ERO removed Petitioner from the United States to Syria via a charter flight. Ex. A, Form I-205 Warrant of Removal. Petitioner is no longer in ICE/ERO custody. Because Petitioner is no longer in Respondent’s custody, the Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over his claims. Accordingly, the Court should dismiss the Petition as moot.

The case-or-controversy requirement of Article III, section 2 of the United States Constitution subsists through all stages of federal judicial proceedings. *See Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). A petitioner “must have suffered, or be threatened with, an actual injury traceable to the defendant and likely to be redressed by a favorable judicial decision.” *Lewis v. Cont’l Bank Corp.*, 494 U.S. 472, 477 (1990). “The doctrine of mootness derives directly from the case or controversy limitation because an action that is moot cannot be characterized as an active case or controversy.” *Soliman v. United States*, 296 F.3d 1237, 1242 (11th Cir. 2002) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). “Put another way, a case is moot when it no longer presents a live controversy with respect to which the court can grant meaningful relief.” *Fla. Ass’n of Rehab. Facilities, Inc. v. Fla. Dep’t of Health & Rehab. Servs.*, 225 F.3d 1208, 1217 (11th Cir. 2000) (internal quotation mark and citation omitted). Thus, “[i]f events that occur subsequent to the filing of a lawsuit or an appeal deprive the court of the ability to give the plaintiff or appellant meaningful relief, then the case is moot and must be dismissed.” *Al Najjar v. Ashcroft*, 273 F.3d 1330, 1336 (11th Cir. 2001). “Indeed, dismissal is required because mootness is jurisdictional.” *Id.*; *see also De La Teja v. United States*, 321 F.3d 1357, 1362 (11th Cir. 2003). Once a petitioner has been removed from the United States, the dispute regarding his detention is rendered moot and must be dismissed. *See Soliman*, 296 F.3d at 1243.

Here, Petitioner requested release from custody. Pet. 9, ECF No. 1. He was removed from the United States to Syria on May 12, 2025 and is no longer in Respondent or ICE/ERO’s custody. Ex. A. Because Petitioner is not in Respondent’s custody, the Court can no longer give Petitioner any meaningful relief regarding his detention. Accordingly, the Petition is moot and should be dismissed.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the Petition as moot.

Respectfully submitted, this 20th day of May, 2025.

C. SHANELLE BOOKER
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY


BY: s/ Roger C. Grantham, Jr.
ROGER C. GRANTHAM, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 860338
United States Attorney's Office
Middle District of Georgia
P. O. Box 2568
Columbus, Georgia 31902
Phone: (706) 649-7728
roger.grantham@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this date filed the Respondent's Second Motion to Dismiss with the Clerk of the United States District Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

N/A

I further certify that I have this date mailed by United States Postal Service the document and a copy of the Notice of Electronic Filing to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Jawad Alali
A# 
Stewart Detention Center
P.O. Box 248
Lumpkin, GA 31815

This 20th day of May, 2025.

BY: s/ Roger C. Grantham, Jr.
ROGER C. GRANTHAM, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney